

Professional Growth System

Montgomery County Public Schools, Rockville, Maryland

2025-2026 HANDBOOK

Montgomery County Public Schools



VALUES

Learning
Respect
Relationships
Excellence
Equity

VISION

Future Ready

All students will graduate ready to thrive in a changing world—with the knowledge, skills, and confidence necessary to lead, adapt, and make a positive impact in their communities and beyond...

MISSION

To Unleash Potential

All students will receive a solid academic foundation, grounded in strong critical thinking skills, with opportunities to enhance and enrich their learning. All students will develop resilience, be adaptable, and have a lifelong passion for learning. All students will become effective communicators and collaborators predicated on meaningful relationships. All students will make a positive impact in their community and be ready for success in their personal and professional life.

Board of Education

Ms. Julie Yang President

Ms. Grace Rivera-Oven *Vice President*

Ms. Rita Montoya

Ms. Karla Silvestre

Mrs. Laura Stewart

Ms. Brenda Wolff

Ms. Natalie Zimmerman

Ms. Anuva Maloo Student Member

Montgomery County Public Schools

Thomas W. Taylor, Ed.D., M.B.A. Superintendent of Schools

15 West Gude Drive Rockville, Maryland 20850 www.montgomeryschoolsmd.org

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Supporting Services Professional Growth System Mission Statement	1
Preamble	2
Recruiting and Staffing	4
Evaluation	5
Support Staff Evaluation Schedule for Meeting Competencies	6
Professional Development	
Recognition	
Core Competencies and Performance Criteria 1–7	
Appendixes	
Performance Improvement Process Intake Meeting Form MCPS Form 430-90, Evaluation Form: Supporting Services	29
Professional Growth System	30
SSPGS Tip Sheet for Providers of Indirect Feedback	35
PAR Agreement Form	36
Performance Improvement Process (PIP)	. Inside Back Cover

INTRODUCTION



The third phase of reforming the professional development and evaluation programs for all employees of Montgomery County Public Schools (MCPS) began July 1, 2005, with the implementation of the Supporting Services Professional Growth

System (SSPGS). This followed the rollout of similar systems for teachers and administrators and supervisors. These systems focus on building the capacity of staff to work efficiently and effectively with clear expectations and professionalism in achieving the school system's goal of providing the best educational opportunities for all students. Leaders from all of the employee representative organizations played an important role in shaping the professional growth systems, and this new phase represented the first successful effort to improve the structure for developing, training, and evaluating supporting services staff in almost 30 years.

A collaborative planning process for the SSPGS was established between the leadership of Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Local 500 and MCPS to design a system that would meet the professional development needs of the over 9,000 permanent support professionals who represent over 40 percent of the MCPS workforce of more than 25, 830 employees. This process coincides with efforts to improve performance and accountability throughout the district, not only among students in terms of academic achievement, but also among teachers, administrators, and staff in fulfilling rigorous expectations for a highperforming organization. A comprehensive reform initiative of this magnitude—rarely undertaken let alone achieved elsewhere in the United States—underscores the commitment of our school system and unions to

plan for the future and ensure the provision of a highquality workforce.

Supporting services consists of all non-administrative and non-teacher-level positions. There are approximately 500 different supporting services position classifications. With this in mind, the development of the professional growth system recognizes the role of supporting services employees as multifaceted, everchanging, and integral to supporting teaching and learning. The SSPGS establishes an infrastructure that describes the skills and knowledge required for supporting services staff to assist in building learning communities for students and adults.

Similar to the professional growth systems for teachers and administrators and supervisors, the purpose of the SSPGS is to establish a comprehensive system for recruiting, staffing, developing, evaluating, recognizing, and retaining high-quality supporting services staff in all of our schools and offices. This initiative supports ongoing strategies to ensure the employment of highly qualified and diverse personnel. As with the professional growth systems for teachers and administrators and supervisors, the SSPGS clearly outlines employee expectations for the evaluation process and the peer support process for underperforming supporting services staff.

SUPPORTING SERVICES PROFESSIONAL GROWTH SYSTEM MISSION STATEMENT

The SSPGS is a collaborative process that promotes workforce excellence by applying a core competency model in order to encourage personal and systemic growth and focus on performance through continuous improvement.

PREAMBLE

Organizational Culture of Respect Statement

MCPS recognizes and values the role of all employees as contributors to a learning community that sets high standards of performance for staff and students. By working together through continuous improvement, effective communication, and meaningful involvement in the decision-making process, we provide a high-quality education to every student. We are committed to shared responsibility and a collaborative partnership, integrated into an organizational culture of respect. This culture is built on the belief that all employees, both school-based and non-school-based, are essential to a successful learning environment.

In order to sustain an organizational culture of respect, it is critical that all employees have an awareness, understanding, and tolerance of others' interests, viewpoints, cultures, and backgrounds. This culture promotes a positive work environment that supports the success of each employee, high student achievement, and continuous improvement in a self-renewing organization.

Equity and Cultural Competence

The commitment to foster an organizational culture of respect that is embedded throughout the school system is a priority of the employee associations/unions, the Montgomery County Board of Education, the superintendent of schools, and executive staff. Inherent to this belief is the recognition that there is strength in diversity and the belief that all employees are essential to a successful learning community. Therefore, MCPS commits to creating a positive work environment that does the following:

- Believes that the inclusion of individuals with a broad range of experiences and backgrounds broadens and strengthens education and contributes to student achievement.
- Promotes knowledge and understanding of one's own cultural identity as it influences a culturally competent workplace.
- Values the uniqueness of cultures other than one's own and the richness of cultural diversity and commonality.
- Promotes awareness of and sensitivity to individual differences within various cultural groups.

- Does not tolerate discrimination in any form. It impedes MCPS's ability to discharge its responsibilities to all students and staff and achieve our community's long-standing efforts to create, foster, and promote equity, inclusion, and acceptance for all. The Board recognizes that equity goes beyond meeting the letter of the law. Equity also requires taking proactive steps to identify and redress implicit biases and structural and institutional barriers that too often have resulted in identifiable groups of students and staff being unjustifiably or disproportionately excluded from or underrepresented in key educational program areas and sectors of the workforce, as well as overidentified in student discipline actions. Continued vigilance is necessary to end identified inequities that students and staff experience because of their actual or perceived personal characteristics.*
 - *Personal characteristics include race, ethnicity, color, ancestry, national origin, religion, immigration status, sex, gender, gender identity, gender expression, sexual orientation, family/parental status, marital status, age, physical or mental disability, poverty and socioeconomic status, language, or other legally or constitutionally protected attributes or affiliations.
- Promotes the value of diversity and equity in its professional development offerings, recruitment, hiring, and promotional practices.
- Provides venues for courageous conversations about diversity and equity in a safe, nonjudgmental environment.
- Promotes a focus on diversity and equity through the implementation of each competency.

Role of the Professional Growth System Implementation Teams

Implementation of the components of each professional growth system (PGS) is overseen by a joint multi-stakeholder implementation team. Each team is charged with monitoring the processes and procedures as set forth in the design of the PGS. Through a collaborative and problem-solving process, the implementation teams are responsible for defining expectations and practices and assessing their implementation of the PGSs. In addressing issues that have arisen, the decision-making process will be to seek consensus; when that is not possible, a voting process may be used. Issues that cannot be resolved at the implementation team level may be referred to the appropriate collaboration committee. All PGS handbooks are continuously updated to reflect changes in processes and procedures approved by the appropriate implementation team.

- The implementation teams meet regularly on a schedule agreed on by the members at a meeting prior to July 1, for the subsequent year.
- The implementation teams consist of representative members of the employee associations and administration.
- The implementation teams are chaired by the employee association presidents or designees and MCPS designees, who are appointed by the deputy superintendent.
- The meetings are facilitated by an appointee of the chief, Division of Human Resources and Talent Management.
- An agenda is developed with input from implementation team members or other collaboration committees.

Role of the Joint Professional Growth Systems (PGSs) Implementation Team

The Joint PGSs Implementation Team is composed of all members of each implementation team (A&S, Teacherlevel, and Supporting Services) and is charged with increasing consistency among the PGSs, while valuing and recognizing differences through—

- 1. learning from each PGS to share and implement best practices.
- 2. clarifying processes to improve effectiveness, efficiency, and transparency, and
- 3. analyzing data from all three PGSs, including disaggregated client data by race, gender, and other factors to ensure equity and due process for all employees.

The Joint PGSs Implementation Team is also charged with ensuring that the components of the PGSs (Attracting, Recruiting, Mentoring, Developing, Evaluating, Recognizing, and Retaining) are fully implemented for all employees with fidelity.

- The Joint PGSs Implementation Team uses the same processes described above in the section titled, "Role of the Professional Growth System Implementation Teams."
- The meetings are chaired by a designee appointed by the three employee association presidents (rotated) and a designee appointed by the chief of Human Resources and Development and Chief of Staff.
- The meetings are facilitated by the three association vice presidents and the director of the Department of Professional Growth Systems.
- The Joint PGSs Implementation Team makes recommendations to the superintendent's designee

and the associations, deputies, and chief operating officer (ADC), which serves as the steering committee.

RECRUITING AND STAFFING

Purpose

The SSPGS is essential for attracting and recruiting high-quality supporting services personnel. This comprehensive professional growth system is based on core competencies and performance criteria that reflect the high level of skills and commitment to excellence that is expected of all supporting services personnel. Providing professional development opportunities, such as mentoring and performance recognition, creates a positive climate that motivates individuals to apply for support positions. One of the goals of the SSPGS is to attract a diverse group of individuals. The SSPGS processes are equitable and clearly described so that candidates know what to expect and have confidence in the integrity of the system.

Definition of Recruiting

Recruiting is the process by which candidates are given opportunities to learn about the requirements, job expectations, and benefits of being an MCPS supporting services employee. This includes defining the process used to identify individuals suitable to apply for specific positions.

Recruiting Candidates

In the SSPGS, the process of recruiting includes identifying and encouraging talented personnel who exhibit the competencies—skills, knowledge, and abilities—required

of a supporting services employee. Any individual interested in understanding the requirements of a position can review the job descriptions available on the MCPS website.

Division of Human Resources and Talent Management seeks highly qualified internal and external candidates from diverse backgrounds. Pathways to internal opportunities are provided through the professional development opportunities in SSPGS. External recruitment offers the possibility for hiring experienced candidates to work for MCPS. Avenues for recruitment include local community outreach, universities, other school systems, personal contacts, employee referrals, professional conferences, national publications, and other methods for soliciting interest at local, regional, state, and national levels.

Candidates need to understand the core competencies expected of our employees and exhibit behaviors that demonstrate these competencies, such as the overall safety and well-being of the students. (See pages 15-21.) An understanding of student learning and MCPS educational goals is central to working for MCPS.

Conclusion

It is the goal of DHRTM to recruit the most qualified and talented pool of candidates interested in working for MCPS.



EVALUATION

Purpose

The evaluation component of the SSPGS serves to ensure and document quality performance of supporting services employees and provide feedback for continuous professional development.

The goals of the system are to—

- promote personal and organizational excellence,
- streamline the evaluation process, and
- focus on performance and growth.

Element of the Evaluation Process

Definition and Responsibilities of the Parties Involved

- **Employee**—the person who is being evaluated according to core competency criteria.
- **Evaluator**—the person who is the direct supervisor of the employee. When possible, the evaluator should be one supervisory level above the employee. For example, a building service manager would be the evaluator for a building service worker. A paraeducator would be evaluated by the school principal or assistant principal.
- Evaluations for employees without direct supervisors—
 When a supporting services employee does not work under the direction of an immediate supervisor, the evaluation document and meeting will be performed by the principal or administrator/supervisor, with input provided from indirect feedback sources. It is the responsibility of the principal to remain fully knowledgeable of the employee's performance, through periodic meetings with the employee regarding their ongoing job performance and progress on their professional development plan (PDP), as well as through ongoing consultation with providers of indirect feedback.
- Provider of indirect feedback—an MCPS administrator/ supervisor/resource teacher who does not have direct evaluator responsibility but who has first-hand knowledge of the employee's job performance, as measured by the core competencies. (See Evaluator Tip Sheet, p. 31.)

• Reviewer—the person who is ultimately responsible for the overall operations and performance of a division, department, or facility/school. When possible, the reviewer should be two supervisory levels above the employee. For example, the building service manager would ask a principal or designee to be the reviewer for a building service worker. In addition, the reviewer is responsible for ensuring that the evaluation accurately reflects an employee's job performance.

Note: In schools where there are no assistant principals, the roles of evaluator and reviewer may be performed by the principal. In this case, no reviewer signature is required on the evaluation form.

Evaluation Cycles

Formal evaluations are performed according to a regular cycle, which is not linked to or determinative of an employee's scheduled step increase in compensation. Step increases occur independent of evaluation cycles.

- New employees—A Permanent Status Evaluation occurs immediately prior to the end of the six-month probationary period. Another formal evaluation of new employees is required 18 months later, at the two-year mark of MCPS employment. After year two, formal evaluations are performed every three years.
- Probationary employees—A Permanent Status Extension evaluation could occurs immediately before the end of the six-month probationary period for employees who are working towards meeting competency in two or fewer core competencies. In lieu of termination, employees will be afforded an option to participate in a six-month probationary period extension with PAR support before receiving a Permanent Status Evaluation. A Permanent Status evaluation of new employees is required at the conclusion of the extension period. Another formal evaluation of new employees is required 12 months later, at the two-year mark of MCPS employment. After year two, formal evaluations are performed every three years.
- **Current employees**—All employees who have been evaluated at the end of the two-year mark, or who have been employed by MCPS in the same job classification for more than two years, will undergo a formal evaluation every three years.

SUPPORT STAFF EVALUATION SCHEDULE FOR MEETING COMPETENCIES

LENGTH OF SERVICE (YEARS)	EVALUATION (E) OR PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT (P)
0.5 (6 mos.)	Е
2	Е
3	P
4	P
5	Е
6	P
7	P
8	Е
9	P
10	P
11	Е
12	P
13	P
14	Е
15	P
16	P
17	Е
18	P
19	P
20	Е
21	P
22	P

Note: Employees hired prior to March 1 of a given year will be credited with one year of service in the evaluation cycle.

Circumstances Affecting Evaluation Cycles

If an employee has a change in position that requires a six-month probationary period, or if an employee's six-month probationary period is extended with PAR support, then the regular professional growth cycle would be interrupted. Upon successful completion of the probationary period in the new position, the employee would return to the place in the professional growth cycle where they were at the time immediately before the new position was taken. If the employee does not meet competency on the permanent status evaluation, they will be returned to their previously held position and will return to the place in the growth cycle where they were at the time immediately prior to taking the new position.

For employees who are in an evaluation year, evaluations are due for employees not meeting core competencies by the first Friday in March; but may be turned in as early as January 1 of that year. For employees who are meeting all core competencies, the evaluations are due by the last day of school for students. Regardless of the number of MCPS positions an employee holds, there will only be one professional growth cycle for each employee. For employees with multiple positions, a separate evaluation form should be completed for each position. In instances where an employee is on leave and an evaluation is due, the evaluator shall consult with DPGS to determine, on a case-by-case basis, the appropriate course of action for providing notification and addressing performance concerns. Formal evaluations are not completed for employees who are in the Peer Assistance and Review (PAR) program.

Performance Improvement Process (PIP)

Overview

The Performance Improvement Process (PIP) provides underperforming supporting services employees with an opportunity to receive the intensive individualized assistance and professional development necessary to improve job performance and meet the core competency criteria of the SSPGS. PIP is the product of collaboration among representatives of the SEIU Local 500, administrators/supervisors, and MCPS, all of whom are committed to its successful implementation. PIP is available to all employees after their ninth month of employment, regardless of whether they are in an evaluation or a professional development year. Employees who are identified as underperforming between achieving permanent status and the end of the ninth month of employment may not be referred to PIP but must receive support at their work location.

PIP offers supporting services employees several options to address issues of underperformance, including a six-month Peer Assistance and Review (PAR) program, a 90-day (calendar days) Special Evaluation, the opportunity for reassignment to a previously held position at which the employee was successful, and resignation. Participation in the PAR program is voluntary. Underperforming employees who decide against participation in PAR and who reject the 90-day Special Evaluation option may choose to either return to a position at which they were successful in the past or resign. Employees are not eligible to voluntarily transfer to or apply for another position while they are in PAR or a 90-day Special Evaluation.

Definition and Responsibilities of the Parties Involved

- It is the responsibility of all parties to adhere to appropriate levels of confidentiality regarding an employee's inclusion in PIP.
- Employees who have received a "Does Not Meet Competency" rating in one or more core competencies on a formal evaluation, or who have a documented underperformance that has been discussed with them by their administrator/ supervisor, are referred to PIP by the administrator/ supervisor.
- An employee holding the same position (title and job classification) at more than one MCPS location who is referred to PIP by an administrator/supervisor at one location and chooses PAR, will receive PGC support in all locations.
- In the multiyear professional growth cycle of the SSPGS, administrators/supervisors are responsible for evaluating their employees as well as supporting them during their professional growth years. They gather data independent of the professional growth consultant (PGC) on the employee's progress while the employee is in the PAR program. They continue to observe, provide feedback, and coordinate support when necessary. No information from the PGC reports may be used by an administrator/supervisor in their own evaluation of an employee.
- The PGCs are the direct liaisons among employees, administrators/supervisors, and the PAR Panel. They work under the direction of a SEIU Liaison, who is the contact person for administrators/ supervisors referring an employee to PIP. The SEIU Liaison assigns cases and serves as the primary facilitator for the PGC staff. (When the SEIU executive vice president is not an MCPS employee, the SEIU president will appoint the SEIU Liaison to the Professional Growth Systems who will also serve as the co-chair of the SSPGS PAR Panel. PGCs are experienced supporting services personnel who demonstrate outstanding professionalism and oral and written communication skills. They provide assistance and direct support to employees who do not meet core competencies during a formal evaluation or who are referred to PIP by an administrator/supervisor on the basis of a documented history of underperformance on the job. While PGCs share observations and final summative reports with administrators/supervisors, that documentation and the formal evaluation by the supervisor are independent of each other.

The PGC position works on a four-year rotation schedule. After two years away from the position, former PGCs may re-apply for a second rotation but will only be considered if the PGC team needs their particular expertise. The co-chairs of the Supporting Services PAR Panel will determine what, if any, expertise is needed.

The duties of the PGC include the following:

- » Conducting fact-finding with administrators/ supervisors and the referred employees.
- » Conducting initial intake meetings with employees to identify appropriate options for resolving jobrelated underperformance issues.
- » Reporting the outcome of intake meetings to the PAR triad/quad and other stakeholders.
- » Finalizing a PAR Agreement for employees who opt for the PAR program that specifies the commitments and expectations relating to job performance on the part of the referring administrator/supervisor and the employee.
- » Maintaining a database of caseload histories.
- » Performing on-site observations.
- » Helping employees remedy core competency deficiencies.
- » Coordinating support structures for improving technical job knowledge.
- » Guiding employees' efforts to contribute to student achievement.
- » Providing feedback to employees.
- » Meeting with and providing feedback to referring administrators/supervisors.
- » Preparing and submitting monthly to the PAR Panel, summary documentation and judgments regarding the progress of employees in the PAR program.
- The PAR Panel oversees the PAR component of PIP. It consists of 12 members appointed by the superintendent of schools: six representatives, including a co-chair, from supporting services recommended by SEIU Local 500 and six representatives, including a co-chair, recommended by MCPS. Panel members serve for five years. PAR Panel members are accountable to their respective organizations to ensure organizational and institutional support of the program. They hear the summative reports and recommendations provided by the PGCs and are responsible for the overall success of the program. The PAR Panel sends its recommendations directly to the superintendent of schools, or the superintendent's designee, who reviews and makes final decisions on all matters related to an individual employee's employment status.

The duties of the PAR Panel may include the following:

- » Reviewing the disposition of all cases referred to PIP.
- » Overseeing the cases of employees involved in PAR.

- » Recruiting, interviewing, and selecting the PGCs.
- » Evaluating the performance of the PGCs.
- » Meeting monthly with the PGCs to receive reports on employee progress in the PAR program.
- » Advising the PGCs regarding sources of support for employees.
- » Reviewing concerns of participating employees or administrators/supervisors regarding PAR.
- » Making one of the following personnel recommendations to the superintendent of schools, or their designee, at the completion of an employee's PAR participation, based on the PGC's reports, the administrator's/supervisor's data, and other supporting information.
 - 1. Successful completion of the PAR program and return to the regular professional growth cycle.
 - 2. Recommendation of a return to a previously successful position, if the underperformance is based solely on not meeting the Knowledge of Job competency.
 - 3. Dismissal.

Components of the Performance Improvement Process PIP is designed to provide underperforming supporting services employees with the professional development opportunities required to improve performance and meet the core competency criteria of the SSPGS. It is a mechanism for maintaining systemwide quality control and a highly skilled and effective workforce. The following are components of PIP:

Referral—In order to make a referral and initiate PIP, an administrator/supervisor must contact the director of the Department of Professional Growth Systems (DPGS), DHRTM, with evidence of an employee's underperformance. Referrals to PIP take place under the following circumstances:

- Evaluation Year Process—An administrator/ supervisor assigns to an employee a "Does Not Meet Core Competency" rating in one or more core competencies during a formal evaluation. Documentation supporting this rating will be provided to the assigned PGC.
- Non-Evaluation Year Process—An administrator/ supervisor has identified and documented underperformance that has been discussed with the employee over time. To make a referral, the administrator/supervisor should send email to the director of DPGS.

Minimum Guidelines for Sufficient Documentation for Referral to the Performance Improvement Process

Underperformance concerns must be documented in a minimum of three documents per related core competency and indicate that the supervisor did the following:

- explained expectations for meeting competency;
- provided support, strategies, and feedback to improve performance; and
- allowed the employee a reasonable amount of time to improve performance.

The documentation informing the employee of performance concerns was signed and dated by the employee. If the employee declined to sign, a witness to the interaction signed a sentence to that effect.

The underperformance concerns and related documentation occurred within the last three years from the date of the referral.

Fact Finding/Eligibility to Transfer—A PGC is assigned to the case by the PAR Panel co-chair. The PGC conducts interviews and, together with their PAR triad/quad, analyzes information from the administrator/supervisor and the employee to determine the merits of the referral.

If the PGC and PAR triad/quad determine there is sufficient data to substantiate underperformance concerns, an intake meeting is scheduled. The PGC notifies the director of DPGS, the director and the assistant director of Human Capital Management, the referring administrator/supervisor, the employee, and other stakeholders of the scheduled date. Until the notification occurs, the employee is eligible to participate in the voluntary transfer process. If the employee has an application in progress before the initial intake meeting is scheduled, it will not be interrupted; the employee will be allowed to interview and accept a position if it is offered.

The application and performance improvement processes continue on parallel tracks. Once the employee has been given notification of the initial intake meeting, no further new applications will be considered. The employee will only be able to accept a new position if the offer was made based on an application submitted prior to the notification of intake.

Intake Meeting—If the PGC and the PAR triad/quad determine that a referral is merited, an initial intake meeting is scheduled. The initial meeting will be scheduled within 10 working days of the submission of appropriate documentation by the referring administrator/supervisor and referred employee. The initial intake meeting provides the PGC with the opportunity to discuss with the employee the issues prompting the referral as well as options available to address the issues. The employee

is then able to make an informed decision regarding the best action to take. At the final intake meeting (at least three working days later), the employee informs the PGC of their choice. The results of all intake meetings go to the co-chairs of the PAR Panel, the Division of Human Resources and Talent Management, the president of SEIU, and the administrator/supervisor.

If, on the basis of fact finding, the PGC believes that the documentation with the referral DOES NOT merit continued involvement in PIP, the PGC would report that outcome to the PAR triad/quad for review. Upon confirmation, the employee would remain in their current position and continue in the professional growth cycle. The PGC would notify the administrator/supervisor and the director of DPGS. They would then ensure that the final rating on the employee's evaluation is changed to "Meets All Core Competencies."

If, on the basis of fact finding, the PGC finds that the documentation supports competency deficiencies and indicates a need for continued involvement in PIP, the PGC would explain the options available to the employee. The employee would then reach a decision regarding which of the following options to choose:

- Peer Assistance and Review (PAR) Program—If, as a result of the intake meeting, the employee decides to participate in the PAR program, the PGC would inform the referring administrator/supervisor. Then, in collaboration with all stakeholders, the PGC creates a PAR Agreement and presents it to their PAR triad/quad for vetting. Once vetted, the PGC meets with the employee and administrator/ supervisor to review and sign the Agreement. The PAR program may last for up to six months, during which time the PGC would carefully monitor the progress of the employee, conduct progress meetings to provide feedback on their work in the program, and report back to the PAR Panel. The employee waives their right to grieve a termination for performance reasons when they enter the PAR program. (See the SEIU Local 500 negotiated Agreement, Article 29F.)
- Special Evaluation—A 90-day (calendar days) Special Evaluation is available to the employee in lieu of the PAR program. In some circumstances, an employee's best option may not be PAR. A process of shorter duration or sharper focus may be accomplished by means of a 90-day Special Evaluation. A special evaluation, which occurs under the auspices of DHRTM, offers local support, the development of an Action Plan to address issues of underperformance, and constructive feedback from local administrators. Action Plans are designed by the administrator/ supervisor and the employee, in collaboration, and it is the responsibility of those two parties to see that

- the provisions for support and improvement are met. Because a special evaluation is not part of PAR, the employee is not assigned to a PGC and the PAR Panel has no oversight over the case. Grievance rights are retained. (See the SEIU Local 500 negotiated Agreement, Article 29F.)
- If a change in the administrator/ supervisor occurs within the 90-day Special Evaluation period, the exiting administrator/ supervisor will submit an Interim Evaluation based on the performance demonstrated within that 90-day Special Evaluation period and the Action Plan to DHRTM. If another administrator/supervisor is established within the 90-day Special Evaluation period, the Interim Evaluation and the Action Plan will be provided to the employee and new administrator/supervisor from DHRTM. The new administrator/supervisor will sign an addendum to the Action Plan and complete an Off-cycle Evaluation following the conclusion of the 90-day Special Evaluation period.
- In instances when the employee goes on ERSC approved leave during the 90-day Special Evaluation period, the administrator/supervisor will submit an Interim Evaluation based on the performance demonstrated within that 90-day Special Evaluation period and the Action Plan to DHRTM. Upon the employee's return to work, the Interim Evaluation and the Action Plan will be provided to the employee and administrator/supervisor from DHRTM. The employee and administrator/supervisor will sign an addendum to the Action Plan reflecting the remaining days of the 90-day Special Evaluation period. The administrator/supervisor will complete an Off-cycle Evaluation following the conclusion of the 90-day Special Evaluation.
- Return to a previous position of success—An employee may opt to return to a position in which they were previously successful, if that position is available and provided that returning to the previously held position does not result in a promotion within their existing job family (see MCPS Career Pathways website for more information www. montgomeryschoolsmd.org/departments/personnel/ career-pathways/index.aspx). Positions with a separate POS Code, for reasons not related to job content, should be considered the same position, for purposes of this section. If the employee chooses to return to a position at which they were successful in the past, the six-month probationary period is waived and the regular evaluation process is applied. If the employee has held only one position in MCPS, and therefore does not have a previously successful position to return to, this option does not apply. This choice may result in a placement at a lower pay grade and salary reduction.

If an underperforming employee does not choose any of these options, the 90-day Special Evaluation would be the default decision.

Note: PAR is a one-time option per employee in any one job classification. If an employee already has taken advantage of the PAR program and underperformance concerns in the same job classification arise at a later date, a referral to PIP in the form of an email or evaluation may be made to DHRTM. A PGC would conduct fact finding to determine the merits of the referral. If, on the basis of fact finding, the PGC finds that the documentation in the referral does not merit continued involvement in PIP, the PGC would report that outcome to the director of DPGS and the administrator/supervisor. If, on the basis of fact finding, the PGC finds that the documentation in the referral does relate to core competency deficiencies and indicates a need for continued involvement in PIP, the employee may choose the 90-day Special Evaluation, return to a previously held successful position, if available and applicable, or resign/retire.

The Peer Assistance and Review (PAR) Agreement or Action **Plan**—In order for PIP to work, both the employee and the referring administrator/supervisor must be invested in the process and committed to a strategy to address issues of underperformance. For employees opting for the PAR program, a PAR Agreement must be approved by the administrator/supervisor, the PGC, and employee, and then vetted by the PAR triad/quad. For employees opting for a 90-day Special Evaluation, an Action Plan must be designed by the referring administrator/supervisor and the employee, under the auspices of the director of the DPGS. The PAR Agreement and the Action Plan are intended to address specific areas of underperformance. They may include formal and informal training opportunities, peer coaching, and other appropriate avenues of support. Strategies for support included in the PAR Agreement or Action Plan should, whenever possible, be provided to an employee during their regular schedule. Employees shall be permitted to attend and be granted professional leave to fulfill the strategies listed in the PAR Agreement or Action Plan. It should take approximately 30 working days between the original referral and the signing of the PAR Agreement or Action Plan. Communication and collaboration among the PGCs, administrators/supervisors, central services staff, and other MCPS offices and resources are essential to PIP.

Mechanics of the Peer Assistance and Review (PAR) Program

The PAR program is voluntary and is designed to resolve underperformance issues and provide employees with the skills they need for success. After referral to PIP, a PGC will research the case and schedule an intake meeting for the employee. PAR is one of the options

presented by the PGC and begins once an employee and their administrator/supervisor are committed to a PAR Agreement and the Agreement has been vetted by all the parties involved. The PGC assigned to the case coordinates resources and monitors the employee's progress through six months of peer assistance. During this period, the PGC meets with administrator/supervisor and employee; performs a minimum of two formal observations—one announced and one unannounced; collects and records data pertinent to the case; provides additional resources for technical support as required; and makes monthly reports to the PAR Panel. PAR support will be extended by two weeks for an employee when the employee has returned from 10 or more working days of leave approved by the Employee and Retiree Service Center (not annual or personal leave) or when there has been a transition of a new PGC supporting the employee. A total of four weeks of extensions will be permitted during PAR. At the three-month mark of the PAR program, the PGC, with the PAR Panel's acknowledgement, conducts and interim conference with the employee to discuss their progress in PAR and complete an Interim Conference Form.

- If demonstrable progress has been made toward the resolution of underperformance issues, the employee may continue in the PAR program for the remaining three-month period or, if underperformance issues have been resolved, the employee may be released from the PAR program at that time, if all stakeholders and the PAR Panel are in agreement.
- If demonstrable progress has not been made during the initial three months of the PAR program, the employee may continue in the PAR program for the remaining three-month period or the PGC may discuss with the employee an option to return to a position in which they were previously successful, if such a position is available.

The PAR Panel is informed of the determination.

If the employee chooses to return to a position in which they were previously successful, the PGC will inform the Department of Human Capital Management. The Department of Human Capital Management will follow the standard policy of reassignment. If the successful employee chooses to continue for the remaining three months of the PAR program, at the end of the sixth month, the PGC would present a summative report and final judgment to the PAR Panel. The PGC may determine that:

- The employee has met the core competencies.
- The employee has not met the core competencies.

The PGC meets with the administrator/supervisor before the final judgment is made to the PAR Panel.

At that time, both parties would review the summative report and the PGC's judgment.

The PGC meets with and informs the employee of the judgment that is going to the PAR Panel and reviews the summative report with the employee. The PAR Panel would then make the final personnel recommendation to the superintendent of schools or their designee.

The PAR Panel requires a quorum of eight members in order to vote—four from SEIU and four from MCAAP/MCBOA. Outcomes are decided on a majority rules basis.

Recommendation Process

Agreement: The PGC and administrator/supervisor agree that the employee meets competency.

If the PGC and the administrator/supervisor agree that the employee meets competency, the PAR Panel would make a final recommendation to return the employee to their professional growth cycle. The PAR Panel notifies the employee and administrator/supervisor of the decision in writing. In the case of agreement among all parties, the employee and the administrator/supervisor do not attend a PAR Panel meeting.

If the PAR Panel disagrees with the judgment of the PGC that a client meets competency, the Panel will reconvene within 10 working days. At this meeting, the administrator/supervisor(s) will have 10 minutes to present to the Panel regarding their judgment that the client is meeting competency. The Panel members may ask the administrator/supervisor(s) questions for 10 minutes.

The client will have the option to present to the Panel, send a written statement to the SEIU Liaison to the Professional Growth Systems to be distributed to the Panel, or decline to do either option. If the client chooses to present, they may have SEIU representation accompany them to serve as a process observer. The client will have 10 minutes to present, and the Panel will have 10 minutes to ask questions. After these presentations, the Panel may request that the PGC return for further questioning.

Once the presentations are completed, the Panel will vote on whether they agree with the PGC's judgment that the client meets competency.

Agreement: The PGC and administrator/supervisor agree that the employee does not meet competency.

If the PGC and administrator/supervisor agree that the employee does not meet competency, the administrator/supervisor would have to appear before the PAR Panel. The employee may appear before the PAR Panel.

Disagreement: The PGC and administrator/supervisor disagree that the employee does not meet competency

or the employee disagrees with PGC and administrator/ supervisor that they do not meet competency.

If the PGC and administrator/supervisor disagree about whether an employee has met competency, the administrator/supervisor would have to appear before the PAR Panel. The employee may appear before the PAR Panel.

In reviewing the facts related to the recommendation from the PGC that an employee has not met the competency, if the PAR Panel concludes that the underperformance is based solely on not meeting competency number 2, Knowledge of the Job, the PAR Panel may make a recommendation that the employee be allowed to return to a previously held position at which the employee was successful. This would be in lieu of a recommendation to the superintendent of schools for termination.

PAR Program Safeguards

In order to guarantee a fair and impartial outcome, the following safeguards have been put in place to protect all parties involved in the PAR program:

- Employees may not be forced into the PAR program. Based on information and guidance given to them at intake meetings by the PGC, they decide whether or not to participate in PAR.
- If an administrator/supervisor refers an employee to PIP as a result of a formal evaluation or a documented history of underperformance and the recommendation of the PGC with their PAR triad/ quad is that, based on the evidence, the employee does in fact meet competency and neither PAR nor Special Evaluation is needed, the administrator/ supervisor may present additional data to the PAR Panel in support of their contention. Final decisions rest with the PAR Panel. If they determine the referral is not warranted, the employee's evaluation would be revised to reflect that the final rating is changed to "Meets All Core Competencies."
- Once an employee has gone through the PAR program, if they feel improperly assessed and that a judgment of not meeting competency by the PGC is not appropriate, the employee may present data to support their contention to the PAR Panel. The decision of the PAR Panel is final, pending the superintendent's or designee's approval.
- At the three- or six-month review, if the PAR Panel determines that an employee has met core competencies and recommends that the employee return to their professional growth cycle and the superintendent or designee overturns that determination, the employee may file a grievance. This is the only circumstance under which an employee who has opted for PAR may file a grievance.

• A memorandum will be placed in the employee's personnel file to reflect either successful completion of PAR or a recommendation of termination.

PAR Program Follow-up

One year after successful completion of PAR, the administrator/supervisor will evaluate the employee to ensure maintenance of skills using MCPS Form 430-90, Evaluation Form: Supporting Services Professional Growth System. The supervisor would indicate on the form that the evaluation is a PAR follow-up. If the PAR follow-up coincides with the employee's evaluation year in the professional growth cycle, then the PAR follow-up evaluation would replace the scheduled formal evaluation for that year.

- If the employee continues to meet competencies, they will continue in the professional growth cycle.
- If the employee does not meet one or more competencies, a PGC will conduct fact finding. If fact finding reveals that the documentation presented has merit, the employee will have only three options available:
 - » A 90-day Special Evaluation,
 - » Return to a previously held successful position if available and applicable, or
 - » Resignation/retirement.

Permanent Status Extension

Probationary Period Extension with Peer Assistance and Review Panel [Review/ Oversight]

Overview

Representatives from MCPS and SEIU Local 500 collaboratively established guidelines for extending the probationary period in 2024-2025. This extension provides an opportunity for the evaluator to offer the employee an additional six months of probation, during which they receive targeted support overseen by the Peer Assistance and Review (PAR) Panel. This initiative aims to enable employees to demonstrate their ability to meet the core competency performance criteria. Participation in this extension is voluntary and will not affect the "one time" per position cap in PAR. It is intended to foster a positive culture of professional learning. Employees who opt not to participate in the extension may choose to resign or return to a position in which they were successful in the past. Employees are not eligible to voluntarily transfer to or apply for another position while they are in the probationary period extension with PAR.

Evaluators refer employees through a Permanent Status Extension evaluation reflecting performance identified in

two or fewer core competencies needing improvement. Strategies and support that have been provided at the work site are to be reflected in PART II—ADDITIONAL COMMENTS of the evaluation. Likewise, PART III—SUGGESTIONS FOR CONTINUED PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT should reflect professional development opportunities that would enhance the employee's performance in the extension period.

Definition and Responsibilities of the Parties Involved

- It is the responsibility of all parties to adhere to appropriate levels of confidentiality regarding an employee's inclusion in the probationary period extension.
- Employees who have received a "Does Not Meet Competency" rating in two or fewer core competencies on a Permanent Status Extension evaluation, that has been discussed with them by their administrator/ supervisor, are referred to the extension opportunity by their administrator/ supervisor.
- An employee holding the same position (title and job classification) at more than one MCPS location who is referred by an administrator/supervisor at one location and chooses the extension, will receive PGC support in all locations.

The PGCs are the direct liaisons among employees, administrators/supervisors, and the PAR Panel. They work under the direction of a SEIU Liaison or Lead PGC, who is the contact person for administrators/ supervisors referring an employee to PIP. The lead PGC assigns cases and serves as the primary facilitator for the PGC staff (When the SEIU executive vice president is not an MCPS employee, the SEIU president will appoint the SEIU Liaison to the Professional Growth Systems who will also serve as the co-chair of the SSPGS PAR Panel In these instances, the appointee will take on the lead PGC responsibilities.) PGCs are experienced supporting services personnel who demonstrate outstanding professionalism and oral and written communication skills. They provide assistance and direct support to employees who do not meet core competencies. While PGCs share observations and final summative reports with administrators/supervisors, that documentation and the formal evaluation by the supervisor are independent of each other.

The duties of the PGC in the probationary period extension include the following:

• Conducting fact-finding with administrators/ supervisors and the referred employees.

- Conducting intake meeting with employees to identify appropriate options for enhanced performance.
- Reporting the outcome of intake meeting to the PAR triad/quad and other stakeholders.
- Finalizing a PAR Agreement for employees who opt for the probationary period extension that specifies the commitments and expectations relating to job performance on the part of the referring administrator/supervisor and the employee.
- Maintaining a database of caseload histories.
- Performing on-site observations.
- Helping employees remedy core competency deficiencies.
- Coordinating support structures for improving technical job knowledge.
- Guiding employees' efforts to contribute to student achievement.
- Providing feedback to employees.
- Meeting with and providing feedback to referring administrators/supervisors.
- Preparing and submitting monthly to the PAR Panel, summary documentation and judgments regarding the progress of employees in the PAR program.

The PAR Panel oversees the PAR component of probationary period extension. It consists of 12 members appointed by the superintendent of schools: six representatives, including a co-chair, from supporting services recommended by SEIU Local 500 and six representatives, including a co-chair, recommended by MCPS. Panel members serve for five years and are accountable to their respective organizations to ensure organizational and institutional support of the program. They read the summative reports and recommendations provided by the PGCs and the Permanent Status evaluation from the administrator before determining if the probationary period extension was fully implemented. The PAR Panel shares directly with the superintendent of schools or the superintendent's designee, who reviews and makes final decisions on all matters related to an individual employee's employment status.

The duties of the PAR Panel may include the following:

- Reviewing the disposition of all cases referred to probationary period extension.
- Overseeing the cases of employees involved in PAR.
- Recruiting, interviewing, and selecting the PGCs.
- Evaluating the performance of the PGCs.

- Meeting monthly with the PGCs to receive reports on employee progress during the probationary period extension.
- Advising the PGCs regarding sources of support for employees.
- Reviewing concerns of participating employees or administrators/supervisors regarding probationary period extension.
- Making one of the following determinations to the superintendent of schools, or their designee, at the completion of an employee's probationary period extension participation, based on the PGC's reports, and the permanent status evaluation submitted by the administrator/supervisor.
 - 1. The probationary period extension program was successfully implemented.
 - 2. The probationary period extension program was unsuccessfully implemented.

The administrators/supervisors are responsible for evaluating and supporting their employees. They gather data on the employee's progress during the extension period, independent of the PGC. They continue to observe, provide feedback, and coordinate support when necessary. No information from the PGC's reports may be used by an administrator/supervisor in their evaluation of an employee.

The duties of the administrators/supervisors in the probationary period extension include the following:

- Participating in fact-finding with the PGC.
- Providing input on creating the PAR Agreement for employees who opt for the probationary period extension that specifies the commitments and expectations relating to job performance on the part of the referring administrator/supervisor and the employee.
- Attending and participating in monthly progress meetings to discuss employee performance.
- Writing a Permanent Status evaluation at the conclusion of the probationary period extension based on performance and independent data.

Components of the Six-Month Probationary Period Extension

The probationary period extension is designed to provide probationary employees with additional job embedded support and time to improve performance and meet the core competency criteria of the SSPGS. Unforeseen circumstances that impact initial performance could contribute to the need for additional time to fully assess an employee. The probationary period extension is a mechanism for ensuring employees new

to a position are highly skilled and can contribute to an effective workforce.

Referral—In order to make a referral and initiate a probationary period extension, an administrator/supervisor must complete a Permanent Status Extension evaluation reflecting performance identified in two or fewer core competencies that need improvement. Strategies and supports that have been provided at the work site are to be reflected in PART II-ADDITIONAL COMMENTS of the evaluation. Likewise, PART III- SUGGESTIONS FOR CONTINUED PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT of the evaluation should reflect professional development opportunities that would enhance the employee's performance in the extension period.

Fact Finding—A PGC is assigned to the case by the lead PGC or PAR Panel co-chair. The PGC conducts interviews and, together with their PAR triad/quad, analyzes information from the administrator/ supervisor and the employee to determine the merits of the referrals supports that could be provided to the employee during an intake meeting. If the PGC and PAR triad/quad determine underperformance concerns in two or fewer core competencies, an intake meeting is scheduled. The PGC notifies the director of DPGS, the director and the assistant director of Human Capital Management, the referring administrator/supervisor, the employee, and other stakeholders of the scheduled intake meeting date.

If, on the basis of fact finding, the PGC and PAR triad/quad believes that the Permanent Status Extension evaluation DOES NOT merit continued involvement in probationary period extension, the PGC would report that outcome to the administrator/supervisor and the director of DPGS and the SSPGS PAR Panel co-chairs. The administrator/supervisor would then submit a "Meets all core competency" Permanent Status evaluation or a "Does not meet one or more of the core competencies" Permanent Status evaluation.

Intake Meeting—If the PGC and the PAR triad/quad determine that a referral is merited, an intake meeting is scheduled. The PGC will make every effort to schedule the meeting will be scheduled within five working days of the submission of the Probationary Period Extension evaluation. The intake meeting provides the PGC with the opportunity to discuss with the employee the issues prompting the referral as well as options available to address the issues. The employee is then able to make an informed decision regarding the best action to take. The employee informs the PGC of their choice. The results of the intake meeting go to the co-chairs of the PAR Panel, the Division of Human Resources and

Talent Management, the president of SEIU, and the administrator/supervisor.

The PGC would explain the options available to the employee. The employee would then reach a decision regarding which of the following options to choose:

- Probationary period extension overseen by the **Peer Assistance and Review Panel**—If, as a result of the intake meeting, the employee decides to participate in the probationary period extension, the PGC will inform the referring administrator/ supervisor, director of DPGS, and the SSPGS PAR Panel co-chairs. Then, in collaboration with all stakeholders, the PGC creates a PAR Agreement and presents it to their PAR triad/quad for vetting. Once vetted, the PGC meets with the employee and administrator/supervisor to review and sign the Agreement before the employee's established initial permanent status date. The extension period will be for six months. During that time, the PGC would carefully monitor the progress of the employee, conduct six progress meetings to provide feedback on their work in the program, and report back to the PAR Panel. The employee waives their right to grieve a termination for performance reasons when they enter the PAR program (See the SEIU Local 500 negotiated Agreement, Article 29F).
- Resign/Termination—If, as a result of the intake meeting, the employee decides to resign, the PGC will inform the Division of Human Resources and Talent Management, and the employee will resign. If the employee does not submit an immediate resignation with an effective date prior to the permanent status date, the Division of Human Resources and Talent Management will terminate the employee.
- **Return to a previous position of success**—An employee may opt to return to a position in which they were previously successful, if that position is available and provided that returning to the previously held position does not result in a promotion within their existing job family. Positions with a separate POS Code, for reasons not related to job content, should be considered the same position, for purposes of this section. If the employee chooses to return to a position at which they were successful in the past, the six-month probationary period is waived and the regular evaluation process is applied. If the employee has held only one position in MCPS, and therefore does not have a previously successful position to return to, this option does not apply. This choice may result in a placement at a lower pay grade and salary reduction.

If a probationary employee does not choose any of these options, termination would be the default decision.

Note: A probationary period extension is not PAR under the Performance Improvement Process, which has a onetime option per employee in any one job classification. An employee who participates in the probationary period extension may, at a later time, participate in PAR through the Performance Improvement Process in alignment with the guidelines of PIP.

The Peer Assistance and Review (PAR) Agreement or Action **Plan**—In order for probationary period extension to be considered successfully implemented, both the employee and the referring administrator/supervisor must be invested in the process and committed to a strategy to address performance issues. For employees opting for the PAR program, a PAR Agreement must be approved by the administrator/supervisor, the PGC, and employee, and then vetted by the PAR triad/quad. The PAR Agreement may include formal and informal training opportunities, peer coaching, and other appropriate avenues of support. Strategies for support included in the PAR Agreement should, whenever possible, be provided to an employee during their regular schedule. Employees shall be permitted to attend and be granted professional leave to fulfill the strategies listed in the PAR Agreement. The signing of the PAR Agreement must should be completed prior to the originally established permanent status date. Communication and collaboration among the PGCs, administrators/supervisors, central services staff, and other MCPS offices and resources are essential to the probationary period extension.

Mechanics of the Peer Assistance and Review (PAR) Program

The probationary period extension is voluntary and is designed to resolve performance issues and provide employees with the skills they need for success. After referral has been submitted, a PGC will research the case and schedule an intake meeting for the employee. Probationary period extension is one of the options presented by the PGC and begins once an employee and their administrator/supervisor are committed to a PAR Agreement and the Agreement has been vetted by all the parties involved. The PGC assigned to the case coordinates resources and monitors the employee's progress through six months of support. During this period, the PGC meets with administrator/supervisor and employee; performs a minimum of two formal observations—one announced and one unannounced; collects and records data pertinent to the case; provides additional resources for technical support as required; and makes monthly reports to the PAR Panel. The PGC, with the PAR Panel's acknowledgement, conducts and interim conference with the employee to discuss their progress in PAR. At the end of the sixth month, the PGC would present a final summative report to the PAR Panel. The PGC will share:

- The employee's performance has improved in the identified core competencies.
- The employee's performance has not improved in the identified core competencies.

The PGC meets with the administrator/supervisor before the final judgment is made to the PAR Panel. At that time, both parties would review the summative report and the PGC's judgment. The PGC meets with and informs the employee of the judgment that is going to the PAR Panel and reviews the summative report with the employee.

Independent of the final summative report from the PGC, the administrator/supervisor submits a Permanent Status evaluation reflecting a "Meets all core competencies" or a "Does not meet one or more of the core competencies." The PAR Panel reviews the final summative report and the Permanent Status evaluation, making a determination regarding the successful or unsuccessful implementation of the probationary period extension program to the superintendent of schools or their designee.

The PAR Panel requires a quorum of eight members in order to vote—four from SEIU and four from MCAAP/MCBOA Outcomes are decided on a majority rules basis

Recommendation Process Agreement: The PAR Panel oversees the probationary period extension to ensure that the PAR Agreement is implemented and that the established process is honored. The PAR Panel would make one of the following determinations to the superintendent of schools, or their designee, at the completion of an employee's probationary period extension participation, based on the PGC's reports and the permanent status evaluation submitted by the administrator/supervisor:

- 1. The probationary period extension was successfully implemented.
- 2. The probationary period extension was unsuccessfully implemented.

The superintendent of schools, or their designee, would honor the permanent status evaluation submitted by the administrator/supervisor, provided the probationary period extension was successfully implemented. If the probationary period extension was unsuccessfully implemented, the superintendent of schools, or their designee, would make a determination concerning the employees' employment.

PAR Program Safeguards

In order to guarantee a fair and impartial outcome, the following safeguards have been put in place to protect all parties involved in the probationary period extension:

- Employees may not be forced into the probationary period extension. Based on information and guidance given to them at the intake meeting by the PGC, they decide whether or not to participate in PAR.
- If an administrator/supervisor refers an employee to a probationary period extension as a result of a formal evaluation and the recommendation of the PGC with their PAR triad/ quad is that, based on the evidence, the employee does, in fact, not meet the qualifications for inclusion in the probationary period extension, the administrator/ supervisor must complete a Permanent Status evaluation to reflect that the final rating is changed to "Meets all core competencies" or a "Does not meet one or more of the core competencies."
- A Permanent Status Evaluation will be placed in the employee's personnel file.

Probationary Period Extension Follow-up

Employees receiving a "Meets all core competencies" permanent status evaluation will continue in the professional growth cycle. If the employee continues to "Meet all core competencies: in evaluations, they will continue in the professional growth cycle. If the employee does not meet one or more competencies in future evaluations, a referral to the Performance Improvement Process is made.

PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT



Vision

The professional development process of the SSPGS will provide comprehensive professional development opportunities that support the continuous growth of a skilled and effective workforce and reflect best practices for adult learners.

Purpose

A major component of the SSPGS is a comprehensive professional development process for all support professionals. The professional development process provides employees with a variety of high-quality, work-related opportunities that expand their job knowledge, help them acquire new skills, and offer support toward meeting all core competency expectations at the time of their scheduled evaluations. A well-designed professional development process promotes individual success on the job and enhances the organizational effectiveness of MCPS.

The SSPGS is based on a three-year evaluation and professional growth cycle that begins at the end of the second year of employment in a position. (For employees hired new to MCPS, the first six-month period of employment in any position is probationary. After permanent status is attained, the employee is evaluated 18 months later at the two-year mark. Scheduled evaluations occur every three years thereafter.) At the beginning of a professional growth cycle, each employee collaborates with their supervisor to discuss areas for growth and identify strategies for successfully meeting professional goals. It is expected that the discussions occur in meetings with the unit member's supervisor during the normal workday of the unit member. In the rare instance that this is not possible, the schedule of the unit member may be adjusted, by mutual agreement, on the day when the meeting is held. In situations where the meeting must occur outside the normal work hours of the unit member and the schedule cannot be adjusted, the supervisor must request and obtain approval for overtime prior to scheduling the meeting.

As the primary component of the professional development process, the formal discussion does the following:

- Provides structure and accountability so that expectations are realistic, understood by both parties, and met in a reasonable manner.
- Enhances performance in the seven core competencies.
- Provides flexibility in the identification of professional growth goals.
- Aligns individual opportunities with the goals and objectives of specific work locations.
- Offers potential for long-range planning that may be revisited and adjusted on an annual basis.
- Contributes to workforce and organizational excellence.

Activities and Practices for Professional Development

The kinds of activities that employee and supervisor discuss demonstrate a thoughtful assessment of current needs; available development opportunities; and future individual, departmental, and organizational goals. Continuous professional development, which benefits both the employee and the organization, may take many forms. Examples of professional development activities appropriate for consideration include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Training development
- Certificate/degree programs
- Staff development opportunities
- · Advisor peer coach or shadowing
- Reflection log
- Committees, task forces, work groups, etc.

Professional Development Review

An employee's progress in meeting the agreed upon goals should be reviewed at least annually by the supervisor and the employee through a collaborative dialogue. By the end of the professional growth cycle, and in anticipation of an employee's scheduled evaluation, regular communication between the employee and the supervisor should ensure that measurable progress has been documented and professional goals met.

Exceptions to the Professional Development Discussion

There are two exceptions, employees who are participating in the PAR program under the terms of a PAR Agreement and employees who are in a 90-day Special Evaluation under the terms of an Action Plan are exempt from having a formal professional development discussion while they are in PAR or special evaluation. When these employees successfully fulfill the terms of their PAR Agreement or Action Plan and meet the requirements of any follow-up evaluations, they resume participation in the professional growth cycle and are expected to collaborate with their supervisor to establish professional development goals.

PGC Positive Support

PGC support will be expanded to include a subset of employees new to MCPS and new to identified position(s) within MCPS. The PGC(s) will coordinate support structures to assist with technical job knowledge and guide the employee's efforts to contribute to student achievement. This PGC positive support is not a part of the Performance Improvement Process.

Supporting Services Mentoring Program

The Supporting Services Mentoring Program is an important component of the SSPGS that provides additional strategies to ensure the employment of highly qualified and diverse support personnel. The Supporting Services Mentoring Program provides mentors upon request to permanent staff to help them excel in their roles, direct them to training and on-the-job work experiences, and prepare them for career development opportunities.

Career Pathways Program

The Career Pathways Program supports SEIU Local 500 unit members to identify career goals and obtain training and direction to attain those goals. The Career Pathways Program offers opportunities for employees to improve their knowledge and skills in content areas that will enable them to reach their career goals, with programs and processes in place to support those objectives.

Conclusion

The SSPGS is intended to provide employees with an environment in which continuous professional development is encouraged. This presupposes that supervisors and employees together take an active role in the design of professional development goals that are realistic, motivational, clear, and effective. The professional development of supporting services employees improves the performance of the individual employee, increases capacity in the organization, and contributes to the success of our students.

RECOGNITION



An organization's success ultimately depends on the commitment of its employees to the organization's vision and goals. MCPS is committed to employee recognition. Recognizing and celebrating those employees who use their diverse knowledge, skills, creativity, and motivation to contribute to the overall success of MCPS is an important component of the SSPGS. The recognition component provides opportunity to highlight the individual achievement of staff in a positive and supportive manner that is consistent with a professional learning community.

Motivation and encouragement promotes a higher standard of performance from employees. The recognition component supports MCPS in its efforts to attract and retain the most qualified employees. Recognition identifies individuals and best practices for celebration and creates and supports an atmosphere of respect within the schools, offices, departments, and divisions of MCPS and the larger community.

Role of Recognition

The role of the recognition component is to identify those practices, performances, and achievements attained by an individual/team that make them stand out. The system sets a standard for excellence by acknowledging these accomplishments. Employee accomplishments to be recognized may include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Earning a degree or certificate.
- Serving specified years in MCPS.
- Performing an act of heroism.
- Receiving awards outside of MCPS.

- Training an intern/new employee.
- Acting as a mentor or peer coach.
- Presenting at a professional conference.
- Holding office in a professional organization.
- Outstanding performance.
- Serving on school system committees.
- Developing better procedures for performing a task.
- Completing unique assignments.
- Teaching courses or training teams or individuals.
- Developing innovative or creative ideas.

Recognition Methods

The recognition of individuals for outstanding work is critical to a positive work environment and enhances the overall work climate. Locally made certificates and awards, verbal recognition at staff meetings, and a "thank you" are examples of employee recognition at the local level that promote increased morale and support a positive work environment. All stakeholders have a responsibility to identify and acknowledge the achievements of the people with whom they supervise and collaborate. As noted, recognition can take a variety of forms that include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Letters of appreciation.
- Recognition at a staff, cluster, administrative and supervisory, or Board of Education meeting.
- Plagues and certificates.
- Professional growth opportunities.
- Articles in The Bulletin/newspaper/website.
- Above and Beyond the Call of Duty (ABCD) awards.
- Nominations for community awards.
- Nominations for Chapter Employee of the Year awards.
- Nominations for the Supporting Services Employee of the Year award.

CORE COMPETENCIES AND PERFORMANCE CRITERIA 1-7

CORE COMPETENCY 1: COMMITMENT TO STUDENTS

Performance Criteria: The employee—

- understands how the job contributes to success for every student;
- cares genuinely about the overall learning environment to ensure student success;
- acts with the student in mind;
- is dedicated to meeting the expectations of principals, supervisors, staff, parents, and students;
- is dedicated to supporting high-quality education for students; and
- is dedicated to the successful achievement/performance of all groups by supporting the elimination of racial and ethnic inequities.

MEETS COMPETENCY	DOES NOT MEET COMPETENCY
Assists and advocates on behalf of students.	Disregards the needs of students.
• Understands the needs of the students and follows up when appropriate.	Does not follow up on issues that may negatively impact students.
Provides appropriate alternative solutions to student .	• Is unwilling to listen or offer assistance.
issues.	• Does not display interest in student needs or inquiries.
• Builds successful relationships with students to ensure a productive learning environment.	• Does not support efforts to provide a clean, safe learning environment for all students.
• Values student achievement.	• Is insensitive when dealing with students.
• Understands their role and responsibility and how they contribute to student achievement.	Displays an attitude that inhibits student confidence and self-esteem.
 Anticipates and responds quickly to student needs. 	Does not support equitable practices in the school and
Contributes to creating and maintaining a positive and	workplace.
safe environment for students.	Does not support and advocate for full stakeholder
• Treats all students fairly.	involvement in decision making.
 Supports equitable practices in the school and workplace. 	• Is unwilling to engage in professional learning that advances the commitment to equity.
Supports and advocates for full stakeholder involvement	Does not model respectful behavior in all interactions.
in decision making.	Does not treat all people fairly and with respect.
• Engages in professional learning that advances the commitment to equity.	• Avoids opportunities to participate in initiatives that advance the commitment to equitable practices.
• Models respectful behavior in all interactions.	
• Treats all people fairly and with respect.	
• Contributes to initiatives that advance the commitment to equitable practices.	

CORE COMPETENCY 2: KNOWLEDGE OF JOB

Performance Criteria: The employee—

- understands assigned job duties;
- is knowledgeable about current and new practices and methods;
- uses appropriate materials, equipment, and resources;
- implements and completes work assignments;
- learns new skills and procedures; and
- knows appropriate policies, procedures, and regulations.

For the position-specific knowledge, skills, and abilities, refer to the job descriptions under the Classification section on the MCPS website, www.montgomeryschoolsmd.org/departments/personnel.

MEETS COMPETENCY	DOES NOT MEET COMPETENCY
 Demonstrates a high degree of competence in jobrelated skills, as outlined in the classification description for the position being evaluated. Maintains appropriate records and equipment. Possesses the knowledge base to get work done. Shares knowledge that will benefit others. Keeps well informed on new procedures, policies, and guidelines. Is effective and productive. Consistently meets the performance criteria of quality and quantity of work. Applies policies and procedures appropriately. Uses resources and materials responsibly. 	 Needs excessive supervision to complete routine tasks. Does not complete assignments in a timely manner. Does not display solid understanding of job responsibilities. Does not adhere to operations standards, policies, and procedures. Consistently makes mistakes and causes rework. Does not attend to detail.

CORE COMPETENCY 3: PROFESSIONALISM

Performance Criteria: The employee—

- is patient to hear the entire story;
- is calm under pressure;
- is timely with information;
- is dependable, reliable, and trustworthy;
- responds to all people equitably;
- is proactive when handling all situations; and
- possesses the ability to handle all matters in a professional and confidential manner.

MEETS COMPETENCY	DOES NOT MEET COMPETENCY
Demonstrates patience and good listening skills.	Lacks patience and interrupts frequently.
• Treats all people with respect and fairness.	Uses inappropriate communication styles.
• Provides efficient and pleasant assistance.	• Is argumentative and/or intimidating.
• Instills confidence in others.	Lacks initiative in job performance.
• Responds to questions and requests in a timely manner.	Rarely demonstrates a positive attitude and demeanor.
• Is dependable and reliable.	Does not treat others fairly/equitably.
Remains calm under pressure.	Violates or is careless about protecting confidentiality.
Approaches situations with a positive attitude.	
Demonstrates initiative.	
Keeps appropriate matters confidential.	
• Demonstrates leadership when circumstances warrant.	
• Shares accountability for outcomes.	

CORE COMPETENCY 4: INTERPERSONAL

Performance Criteria: The employee—

- is polite and approachable;
- is able to be a team member/player;
- cares about people;
- is available and ready to help;
- treats people with respect;
- acts as a mentor and a student advocate;
- attempts to understand other perspectives; and
- relates well to others.

MEETS COMPETENCY	DOES NOT MEET COMPETENCY
Contributes to a positive work environment.	• Is impolite and insensitive to others.
• Is supportive, respectful, and polite.	Maintains distance from others and does not interact
Accepts feedback.	easily.
Works effectively with others.	• Rarely or never functions as a team player.
Is receptive and open-minded.	Does not demonstrate a caring attitude.
• Is willing to consider differing opinions.	• Is rarely available to or refuses to assist others.
Offers assistance when needed.	• Is disrespectful.
Promotes productive interactions.	Displays lack of interest in helping and advocating for students.
Conveys positive personal qualities.	Is unwilling to consider differing opinions.
• Understands the importance of getting things done as a team.	• Is arrogant.
Handles confrontations with tact.	• Is argumentative.
Respects the opinions, abilities, and contributions of	• Is impatient.
others.	• Is self-centered.
Contributes constructively to team efforts.	• Constantly seeks easiest way out.
Demonstrates an appreciation of diversity in the	• Is discourteous toward staff, parents, or students.
workplace.	 Cannot express feelings or relate to another point of view.

CORE COMPETENCY 5: COMMUNICATION

Performance Criteria: The employee—

- understands how to be an active listener;
- is effective in oral and written skills;
- is able to communicate well to manage conflict and deal effectively with problem situations; and
- is tactful when handling situations and difficulties, the least possible disruption.

MEETS COMPETENCY	DOES NOT MEET COMPETENCY
Understands and engages in active listening practices.	Is not attentive or focused.
Demonstrates good judgment in selecting the proper	Does not respond to questions or requests.
mode of communication, e.g., spoken word, memo, letter, email, or fax.	Communicates in a way that disrupts the work environment.
• Sends messages that are clear and concise.	• Frequently interrupts.
• Is direct and constructive in tone, words, and actions.	• Insults in tone and content.
• Ensures the least amount of disruption in handling difficult situations.	Sends messages that aggravate difficult situations.
• Produces organized and complete reports as required.	• Inappropriately withholds information.
Communicates rules and procedures calmly and	Uses inappropriate language.
effectively.	Does not ask for clarification when needed.
• Conveys a favorable image of the organization.	Does not attempt to defuse situations when possible.
• Keeps others informed appropriately at all levels of the organization.	
• Contributes to the efficient flow of information within the organization.	
 Verifies information and instructions, both given and received. 	

CORE COMPETENCY 6: ORGANIZATION

Performance Criteria: The employee—

- knows how to get things done in the classroom, school, office, or other work location;
- assists as needed to organize meetings and tasks;
- anticipates needs of principals, supervisors, staff, parents/guardians, and students;
- gets things done in a timely manner; and
- manages a broad range of activities.

MEETS COMPETENCY	DOES NOT MEET COMPETENCY
• Anticipates and prepares for the completion of tasks, assignments, etc.	 Is inefficient and ineffective at completing tasks. Frequently does not meet schedules and timelines for
 Accomplishes tasks in an orderly, systematic, and resourceful manner. 	completing work. • Is not able to meet multiple demands.
Demonstrates effective time management.Handles multiple demands appropriately.	 Lacks an orderly approach to tasks. Does not maintain an orderly work environment.
Uses correct procedures for maintaining and retrieving materials and records. Attempts to restore order in discreptive situations.	Cannot find materials or records.Uses inappropriate information to justify an action.
Attempts to restore order in disruptive situations.Assembles available facts and makes timely decisions.	Makes hasty, uninformed decisions.

CORE COMPETENCY 7: PROBLEM SOLVING

Performance Criteria: The employee—

- changes routines to fit the needs of the situation;
- accesses and uses resources effectively and efficiently;
- identifies process improvements;
- explores beyond the obvious when solving problems;
- asks appropriate questions to clarify situations;
- is logical when discussing the pros and cons of situations; and
- recognizes issues and their implications quickly" with "promptly recognizes issues and their implications and responds in a timely manner.

MEETS COMPETENCY	DOES NOT MEET COMPETENCY
Adapts to changing situations.	Lacks flexibility.
• Identifies new, creative, or innovative solutions.	• Resists new or innovative ways to accomplish tasks.
Asks appropriate questions.	Does not seek pertinent information beyond the
Knows when to seek help.	obvious.
Assesses problems in a logical and calm manner.	• Is unwilling to ask for assistance.
Anticipates and takes steps to avoid problems before they arise.	Does not recognize issues or anticipate their consequences.
Demonstrates the ability to identify and analyze situa-	Does not apply practical solutions to problems.
tions quickly.	• Does not address problems before they become critical.
Recognizes issues and their implications.	Makes excuses for ignoring problems.
Prioritizes situations and handles them accordingly.	
• Manages routine responsibilities while handling unusual or difficult situations when they arise.	
• Collaborates to find workable solutions to problems.	

APPENDICES

PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT PROCESS INTAKE MEETING FORM

Division of Human Resources and Talent Management MONTGOMERY COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS Rockville, Maryland

Employee Name:	Employe	ee ID#:	Initial Date:
Section 1: Initial Intake Meetir	ıg		
Using information gathered du	ring fact-finding, the pro	ofessional growth consul	ant (PGC) will
• Explain the intake meeting pr	rocess		
Review performance concern	ns		
• Share identified underperfor	mance competency(ies)		
☐ Commitment to Students	☐ Knowledge of Job	Professionalism	
☐ Interpersonal	Communication	Organization	
☐ Problem Solving			
• Present the options available	to the employee (employ	yee will have three worki	ng days to make a decision).
		Employee initia	ıls: Date:
Section 2: Final Intake Me	eeting		
	tions available to the em		e Performance Improvement Process. of underperformance. The employee
1. Participate in Peer	Assistance and Review (P.	AR) program.*	
2. Participate in the 90	0-Day Special Evaluation.	(Employee retains grievar	ce rights.)
_	usly held position at whic nt at a lower pay grade an	*	available. (This choice may result in a
4. Resign.			
- ·	_	-	on for performance reasons, unless the ot supported by a majority vote of the
<i>Note</i> : If the employee does not c Evaluation.	choose one of the options	above, they will automati	cally participate in the 90-Day Special
Section 3: Required signat	tures:		
	at the PGC explained the	options available to me. l	understand each option, and I agree
Employee Name (PRI	NT) E	mployee Signature	Date
PGC Name (PRINT	<u> </u>	SPGC Signature	Date
A confidential copy of this docum	nent is sent to DHRTM ad	ministrator/supervisor SE	SILLLocal 500 president and employee

November 2017



Evaluation Form: Supporting Services Professional Growth System

Office of Human Resources and Development (OHRD)
Department of Professional Growth Systems
MONTGOMERY COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS
Rockville, Maryland

Negotiated Agreement between SEIU Local 500, CTW, and the Board of Education of Montgomery County

Full Legal Name	Employee ID =	# Date//
Department/School	Position_	
*Permanent Status Evaluation (6 mo. Probationary)	****Interim Evaluation	☐ PAR Follow-Up
**Permanent Status Extension Evaluation	2-Year Cycle	90-Day Special Evaluation
***Off-cycle Evaluation	☐ 3-Year Cycle	
* If this is a Permanent Status Evaluation, pro meet the general and technical Knowledge ** A Permanent Status Extension evaluation re needing improvement, strategies and supp ADDITIONAL COMMENTS and PART III- SU *** An Off-cycle Evaluation can be used: • as a tool to work with underperforming • as a way to call attention to exceptional • following the end of the 90-day special **** An Interim Evaluation is prepared if there is regularly scheduled performance evaluation SEIU Local 500, CTW, and the Board of Edu	of Job core competency perfor of Job core competency performance identified in ort that have been provided at IGGESTIONS FOR CONTINUED employees to let them know the ly good work evaluation within the Performance a change of supervisor or if employees Article 30, paragraph B2	mance criteria. two or fewer core competencies as the work site are reflected in PART II-PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT ne status of their current performance nce Improvement Process ployees transfer prior to the time for the of the Negotiated Agreement between
ue DatesPermanent Status Evaluation: Due no later than six mo	nths after the date of hire or pr	ior to end of the six months permanent
status extension period.		
Scheduled Evaluation: Marks Committee and Submittee that the last instructional.	da., fan at., danta	
» Meets Competency: Submit by the last instructional	,	
 » Does Not Meet Competency: Submit by first Friday » Peer Assistance and Review (PAR) Follow-Up: Due on 		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	le year after completing PAR.	
FINAL RATING		
Please indicate the final rating by checking the appropriate ncluded in Parts I, II, and III.	box below. Evidence and comr	nents to support this rating should be
	one or more of the core co	ompetencies
SIGNATURES		
Signature, Evaluator	/Prin	ted Name and Job Title, Evaluator
<i>y</i>		,
Signature, Reviewer	//Prir	nted Name and Job Title, Reviewer
5		
have participated in this evaluation	Signature, Employee	/
	signature, Limployee	Date

Full Legal Name	- 1	10		D .	,	,
Full Legal Name	Emplove	ee ID #	#	Date /	, ,	
I uli Legal I vallie	LITIDIOY		I	Date /	/	

Roles and Responsibilities

• The evaluator is the direct line supervisor. The reviewer is a higher-level supervisor, if one exists. Montgomery County Education Association (MCEA) employees do not write evaluations and are not evaluators or reviewers, but may provide indirect feedback. (See Supporting Services Professional Growth System (SSPGS)—then link to: www.montgomeryschoolsmd.org/departments/professionalgrowth/supporting/default.aspx Handbook for clarification.)

Providing Examples and Evidence

• In the "Examples/Evidence" section, provide specific examples/evidence of how employees have demonstrated or not demonstrated meeting competency. Narratives written in the Claim, Examples/Evidence, Impact, Judgment (CEIJ) format are preferred.

Completing the Form

- Evaluator completes all parts of the form. Reviewer reviews and signs the form. No section of the form should be left blank.
- Evaluator discusses the evaluation with the employee.
- Evaluator and employee sign the evaluation.
- One copy is kept in the supervisor's file, one is given to the employee, and one is sent to the OHRD Department of Professional Growth Systems.

PART I — CORE COMPETENCIES

The core competencies listed below are defined by performance criteria. These performance criteria offer suggestions for how the core competencies may be observed. (See SSPGS Handbook.)

Rating: There are two possible ratings—Meets Competency and Does Not Meet Competency. Using the examples/evidence, determine the overall assessment of the employee's performance in a particular competency.

- **Meets Competency (MC):** Reflects performance over a sustained period of time that clearly and consistently meets competency performance criteria as cited in the SSPGS Handbook.
- Does Not Meet Competency (NMC): Reflects performance that regularly fails to meet competency performance criteria.

Core Competencies/Performance Criteria	Examples/Evidence	Rating
COMMITMENT TO STUDENTS		
 Understands how the job contributes to Success for Every Student 		
 Cares genuinely about the overall learning environment to ensure student success 		
Acts with the student in mind		
 Is dedicated to meeting expectations of principals, supervisors, staff, parents/ guardians, and students 		
 Is dedicated to supporting high-quality education for students 		
 Is dedicated to the successful achievement/ performance of all groups by supporting the elimination of racial and ethnic inequalities 		□ MC
		- Nivic

Full Legal Name	Emplo	ovee	ID) #	Date	/	/
I dii Legai i vaiile	LITIPIC	Jycc	ייי	' ' '	_ Dutc	_//	

Core Competencies/Performance Criteria	Examples/Evidence	Rating
 KNOWLEDGE OF JOB Understands assigned job duties Is knowledgeable about current and new practices and methods Uses appropriate materials, equipment, and resources Implements and completes work assignments Learns new skills and procedures Knows appropriate policies, procedures, and regulations For the position-specific knowledge, skills, and abilities, refer to the job descriptions under the Classification section on the MCPS website, https://www.montgomeryschoolsmd.org/departments/personnel 		□ MC □ NMC
 PROFESSIONALISM Patient to hear the entire story Calm under pressure Timely with information Is dependable, reliable, and trustworthy Responds to all people equitably Proactive when handling all situations Possesses the ability to handle all matters in a professional and confidential manner 		□ MC □ NMC
 INTERPERSONAL Polite and approachable Able to be a team member/team player Cares about people Available and ready to help Treats people with respect Acts as a mentor and a student advocate Attempts to understand other perspectives Relates well to others 		□ MC □ NMC

- 11 1	LAI	F 1		_	11	_		,	,
Full Lega	al Name	Emplo	oyee I	D:	#	Da	te ,	! /	,

Core Competencies/Performance Criteria	Examples/Evidence	Rating
Understands how to be an active listener Effective in oral and written skills Able to communicate well to manage conflict and deal effectively with problem situations Tactful when handling situations and difficulties with the least possible disruption		□ MC □ NMC
Knows how to get things done in the classroom, school, office, or other work locations Assists as needed to organize meetings and tasks Anticipates needs of principals, supervisors, staff, parents/guardians, and students Gets things done in a timely manner Manages a broad range of activities		□ MC □ NMC
Changes routines to fit the needs of the situation Accesses and uses resources effectively and efficiently Identifies process improvements Explores beyond the obvious when solving problems Asks appropriate questions to clarify situations Logical when discussing the pros and cons of situations Promptly recognizes issues and their implications and responds in a timely manner		□ MC □ NMC

Full Legal Name	Employee ID #	Date//
PART II — ADDITIONAL COMMENTS		
(For example, you might address the past year's record of according to the past year's record of	omplishments, letters of recommendation	on, and training courses taken.)
(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	···, ····· ···,
PART III — SUGGESTIONS FOR CONTINUED PROFES	SIONAL DEVELOPMENT	

EVALUATOR TIP SHEET

Some supporting services staff members spend most of their time working with an MCEA unit member or other MCPS employees (e.g., an area supervisor or manager, resource teacher, media specialist, or instructional specialist) who are not direct-line supervisors. The evaluator should request feedback from non-evaluators who participate in managing or directing the employee's work load. Feedback shared only by the principal or administrator/supervisor should—

- be aligned to the seven core competencies,
- be shared,
- be objective,
- recognize employee strengths, and
- provide suggestions to encourage improvement.

Providers of indirect feedback, including but not limited to MCEA unit members, may not write or sign evaluations.

Use the employee's job description to assist in evaluation writing.

SUPPORTING SERVICES PROFESSIONAL GROWTH SYSTEM (SSPGS) PEER ASSISTANCE AND REVIEW (PAR) AGREEMENT

Employee Name:		Employee ID:			
their administrator/super this PAR agreement, the e (PGC) to address the under to providing feedback and progress through up to s the PGC are governed by Behaviors (Collaborative	lueprint for improvement in visor are committed to PA employee commits to working the preformance issues and to a support to the employee driven months of peer assistant to the Compact of the Orga Compact—Climate Issues in ussed at the meeting when the visor are compacted.	R, a PAR agreement musing with their administrate to work toward meeting curing this process. The PC ace. All interactions between izational Culture of Responsible School System (August	t be signed her/supervisor ompetency and a coordinate een the admoet (Septem 2010). A cop	by all of the part and the Profest and the administer resources an inistrator/super ber 2005) and	arties involved. By signing ssional Growth Consulting trator/supervisor commits d monitors the employee's ervisor, the employee, and the Expected Elements of
Underperforming Competency	Example/Evidence	Strategies for Improvement	Reso	ources	Success Measured By
Section 2: Progress It is understood by all	s meeting dates: parties that these progr	ess dates may be subje	ct to chang	e if necessary	7.
Section 3: Projecte	d dates:				
Three-month Report Date	PAR End Date	Final Summative Report Date			
toward addressing the pe and Talent Management t PAR agreement should, w	I signatures that this PAR agreement re erformance deficiencies out to exercise their best effort whenever possible, be provid professional leave, to fulf	lined in the Agreement, a s to contribute to a succe ded to an employee durin	and the super ssful outcom ng their regu	rvisor and Divi e. Strategies fo lar schedule. E	sion of Human Resources or support included in the
Employe	ee Name (PRINT)	Sių	gnature, Emp	ployee	//
Evaluato	or Name (PRINT)	Si	gnature, Eva		
	ewer (PRINT)		gnature, Rev		
PGC.	Name (PRINT)		Signature, P	GC	Date

Performance Improvement Process (PIP)

Employee Referred by Evaluator

PGC Assigned: Fact Finding

Intake Meeting (Employee decides) 90-Day Special Evaluation Return to Previous Position of Success

Office of Human Resources and Development

Employee retains grievance rights)

be returned to the Professional Growth Cycle

this time, the employee may

If there is not enough documentation at

Retire/ Resign Peer Assistance & Review (PAR)

Up to 6 months of support

3-Month Review

Agreement
(Employee waives grievance rights)

PAR

Return to Professional Growth Cycle

Recommend Dismissal

Continue in PAR

Return to Previous Position, Retires or Resigns Return to Professional Growth Cycle

6-Month Final Review

MCPS NONDISCRIMINATION STATEMENT

Huntgamery County Public Schools (HCPS) prohibits Hagal discrimination lused on men, etimicity, color, amoustry actional origin, actionally, religion, immigration status, sex, gender, gender blentity, gender expression, sexual crientation, family structure/parental status, markal. status, age, ability (cagnitive, sacial/emotional, and physical), poverty and socioeconomic status, language, or other legally or constitutionally protected attributes or affiliations. Discrimination underwines our community's long-standing efforts to courte, fester, and pranote equity, halasten, and acceptures for all. The Board prohibits the use of language and/or the display of langus and symbols that promote into and. can be resumably expected to cause substantial disruption to school or district operations or activities. For more information, please series Huntgamery County Board of Education Policy NEA, Hondiscimination, Equity, and Caltural Profitiency. This Public affirms the Board's ballef that: ends and every student matters, and in particular, that educational outcomes should move be predictable by any individual's extual or procedual. personal characteristics. The Policy also recognizes that equity equites practive steps to binetily and reliess implicit blases, paratices that have an unjustified dispusate impact, and structural and institutional burries that impact equality of educational or employment opportunities. NDS also provides equal access to the Boy/Chi. Scouts and other designated youth groups.*

It is the pullcy of the state of Kuryland that all public and publicly funded schools and school programs operate in couplings with:

- (1) Title VI of the federal Civil Mights Act of 1966; and
- (2) First 26, Subtitio 7 of the Education Article of the Maryland Code, which states that public and publicly funded schools and process may not
 - (a) discriminate against a current student, a prospective student, or the parent or grandless of a current or prospective student on the beak of race. ethnicity, culor, religion, sex, age, anticual ceigin, marital status, sexual mientation, grader identity, or disability
 - (b) refract arrollment of a prospective student, expel a current student, or withhold privileges from a current student, or prospective student, or the parent or granifes of a current or prospective student because of an individual's soce, ethnicity, color, religion, sea, age, national origin, marital status, seveal orientativa, gender identity, or disability; or

(c) discipline, involve a penalty against, or take any other retaliatory action against a student or pursuit or guardian of a student who files a complaint alleging that the program or school discriminated against the student, regardless of the outcome of the computant."*

Please note that contact information and federal, state, or local content requirements may change between editions of this document and shall supercolor statements and references contained in this version. Please see the colline version for the most up-to-date information at revolucity compositive/scot. em/info/rendistria instina.

for implicator completes wheat distribution against ACPS starbets ***	For implicits or completely about the fadeston against ACPI staff***					
Birector of Statest Compliance and Appeals Birkiton of Equity and Organizational Development 850 Hungerford Drive, Saille 200, Bodoville, AD 20850 240-740-3215 SBD@horpsort.org	Busen Beserce Compliance Officer Bivision of Human Resources and Talent Amagement Reportment of Compliance and Investigations 15 West Gode Brive, Suite 8488, Budoville, AD 20050 240-740-2888 BCL@magemet.org					
For student requests for assumunistions under Section 504 of the Juliai-Walles Act of 1973	For staff requests for assumptions under the Associate with Machine Act					
Section 504 Coordinator Fivision of Specialized Support Services, School Connecting Services Unit. 858 Hungerford Drive, Room 257, Buckville, AD 20000 240-087-8031 504-@megamal.org	ADA Compliance Coordinator Bivision of Human Resources and Talent Auragement Reportment of Compliance and Investigations 15 West Gode Brive, Suite 8488, Burdsville, AD 28880 240-740-2888 BCI-glancpond.org					
For impairies or complaints about our disorbation under Title 18, including around incressment, against students or staff***						
Title IX Coordinator Nivision of Squity and Organizational Development, Stantont Compliance and Appents 860 Hungerford Brive, Suite 200, Bochville, RM 20050 240-748-1215 TitleD@neparatorg						

[&]quot;This antiferation complies with the failant Consuctory and Securiory Education Act, as assessed.

This document is available, upon request, in languages other than English and in an alternate format under the Accordance with Disabilities Act, by contacting the NCPS Differ of Communications at 240-740-2837, 1-800-735-2258 (Maryland Beloy), or PDGG-occus and ang. Emfiritude who need sign karyunga interpretation or coral speech transitionation may contact the MOPS Office of Interpreting Services at 240-740-1800, 301-637-2958 (PP) masinierpetingervies@massi.og, or MPSInterpetingServies@massi.og.

[&]quot;This antiferation complies with this failered Elevatory and Secretary Education Act, as amounted."

This solification complies with this failer of Maryland Engelstimes Section 130,01.05.

The individual compliants very to find with allow againsts, such as the following: U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (GRO), Emiliatera Habita Office, OH Faller Return English Section 130, Emiliates Research Re (RB), ECROpol.gov, or worst.mi.gov/schoot/of/from/life/ben/complaint/school.