

APPROVED  
31-1980

Rockville, Maryland  
September 22, 1980

The Board of Education of Montgomery County met in regular session at the Educational Services Center, Rockville, Maryland, on Monday, September 22, 1980, at 8:10 p.m.

ROLL CALL Present: Dr. Daryl W. Shaw, President in the Chair  
Mr. Joseph R. Barse  
Mr. Blair G. Ewing  
Dr. Marian L. Greenblatt  
Mrs. Elizabeth W. Spencer  
Mrs. Carol F. Wallace  
Miss Traci Williams  
Mrs. Eleanor D. Zappone

Absent: None

Others Present: Dr. Edward Andrews, Superintendent of Schools  
Dr. Harry Pitt, Deputy Superintendent  
Dr. Robert S. Shaffner, Executive Assistant

Dr. Shaw asked Mrs. Wallace to take the chair.

Resolution No. 560-80 Re: Board Agenda for September 22, 1980

On motion of Dr. Shaw seconded by Mrs. Wallace, the following resolution was adopted with Mr. Barse, Mr. Ewing, Dr. Greenblatt, Dr. Shaw, Mrs. Spencer, and Mrs. Wallace voting in the affirmative; Mrs. Zappone abstaining (Miss Williams abstaining):

Resolved, That the Board approve its agenda for September 22, 1980, with the item on proposed resolution on human relations courses for selected A&S personnel and counselors being withdrawn from the agenda.

Dr. Shaw assumed the chair.

Resolution No. 561-80 Re: Award of Contract Under RFQ 81-01, Consultants to Assist in the Selection of Tax-Deferred Annuity Carriers

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Ewing seconded by Mrs. Spencer, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, There is a need to expand tax-deferred annuity carriers for employees; and

WHEREAS, Funds have been budgeted for the use of consultants; now therefore be it

Resolved, That having been duly advertised August 20, 1980, the contract to serve as a consultant to assist in the selection of tax-deferred annuity carriers under Request for Qualifications 81-01 be awarded to:

Meidinger and Associates, Inc., Columbia, Maryland,

low bidder meeting specifications.

Resolution No. 562-80                      Re: Formal Acceptance of Darnestown Elementary School Modernization Project (Area 5)

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Spencer seconded by Mrs. Wallace, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That having been duly inspected on September 15, 1980, the Darnestown Elementary School modernization project now be formally accepted, and that the official date of completion be established as that date upon which formal notice is received from the architect that the building has been completed in accordance with plans and specifications, and all contract requirements have been met.

Resolution No. 563-80                      Re: FY 1981 Categorical Transfer Within the Employee Assistance Project

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Ewing seconded by Mrs. Spencer, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the superintendent of schools be authorized, subject to County Council approval, to effect the following transfer for the FY 1981 Employee Assistance Project as funded by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism:

Category	From	To
09 Fixed Charges		\$3,097
11 Health Services	\$3,097	

and be it further

Resolved, That the county executive be requested to recommend approval of this categorical transfer to the County Council and that a copy be sent to the county executive and County Council.

Resolution No. 564-80                      Re: FY 1981 Categorical Transfer Within the Vision Learning





and be it further

Resolved, That the county executive be requested to recommend approval of this resolution to the County Council and that a copy of it be sent to the county executive and County Council.

Resolution No. 568-80

Re: Three Supplemental Positions for  
FY 1981 Vocational Support Teams  
Handi capped

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Ewing seconded by Mrs. Spencer, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the superintendent of schools be authorized, subject to County Council approval, to increase the budgeted positions from two to five, an increase of three; no additional funds are required to finance these positions since sufficient resources exist in the Category 5 Supporting Services Part-time:

Positions	Grade	Description
3.0	8 (10-month)	Special Education Aides, Handi capped

and be it further

Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the county executive and the County Council.

Resolution No. 569-80

Re: Two Supplemental Positions for FY  
1981 Vocational Support Team -  
Disadvantaged

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Ewing seconded by Mrs. Spencer, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the superintendent of schools be authorized, subject to County Council approval, to increase the budgeted vocational support team teacher assistants staffing from eight to ten, an increase of two; no additional funds are required to finance these positions since sufficient resources exist in Category 2 of the FY 1981 Vocational Education Supported Budget:

Positions	Grade	Description
2.0	8 (10-month)	Teacher Assistant

and be it further

Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the county executive and the County Council.

Resolution No. 570-80

Re: FY 1981 Supplemental  
Appropriation - CETA Job

Opportunity Benefits for Students  
(JOBS)

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Spencer seconded by Mrs. Zappone, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, An agreement has been worked out between the Montgomery County Office of Family Resources and the school system staff for continuation of the Job Opportunity Benefits for Students Program (JOBS) from October 1, 1980, through September 30, 1981, whereby MCPS serves as a contractor for the necessary services; and

WHEREAS, The grant from the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA) is for \$166,970; and

WHEREAS, There is no local fund matching requirement now or in the future to receive this grant; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the superintendent of schools be authorized to enter into a contract with the Montgomery County Office of Family Services to effect continuation of the federally funded JOBS program through September 30, 1981, and be authorized, subject to County Council approval, to receive and expend \$166,970 in FY 1981 in the categories below including the four additional positions:

Category	Positions	Supplemental
02 Instructional Salaries	4.0*	\$ 81,388
03 Instructional Other		55,694
06 Pupil Transportation		10,000
07 Operation of Plant and Equipment		2,000
09 Fixed Charges		17,888
	Total	\$166,970

* Coordinator (C-D) 10-month	1.0
Teachers (A-D) 10-month	2.0
Clerk-Typist II (Grade 8)	1.0
Total	4.0

and be it further

Resolved, That the county executive be requested to recommend approval of this resolution to the County Council and that a copy be sent to the county executive and County Council.

Resolution No. 571-80                      Re: FY 1981 Supplemental  
Appropriation-- CETA Transition of  
In-School Handicapped Youth  
From School To Work

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Spencer seconded by Mrs. Zappone, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the superintendent of schools be authorized to enter into a contract with the Montgomery County Office of Family Services to effect continuation of the federally funded Transition of In-School Handicapped Youth From School To Work project through September 30, 1981, and be authorized, subject to County Council approval, to receive and expend under CETA \$75,000 in FY 1981 in the categories below:

Category	Supplemental
02 Instructional Salaries	\$51,338
03 Instructional Other	8,110
06 Pupil Transportation	2,660
07 Operation of Plant and Equipment	1,200
09 Fixed Charges	11,692
Total	\$75,000

and be it further

Resolved, That superintendent of schools be authorized, subject to County Council approval, to establish 2.0 Career Counseling/Placement Teacher positions (Grade A-D, 10-month); and be it further

Resolved, That the county executive be requested to recommend approval of this resolution to County Council and that a copy be sent to the county executive and County Council.

Re: Board/Press/Visitor Conference

Mr. Carl Settlemyer of Magruder High School appeared before the Board.

Re: A Motion by Dr. Greenblatt on MC 201-81 Student Member Voting Rights

Dr. Greenblatt moved that the Board oppose MC 201-81, Student Member Voting Rights. Mr. Barse seconded the motion.

Re: A Substitute Motion by Mrs. Spencer on MC 201-81 - Student Member Voting Rights

Mrs. Spencer moved that the Board take no position on MC 201-81 - Student Member Voting Rights. Mr. Ewing seconded the motion.

Re: An Amendment to the Substitute Motion on MC 201-81 - Student Member Voting Rights (FAILED)

An amendment by Miss Williams to the substitute motion on MC 201-81 - Student Member Voting Rights to add that the Board of Education would request that Montgomery County Delegation scheduled a public

hearing on this bill failed with Mr. Ewing, Dr. Shaw, and Mrs. Spencer voting in the affirmative; Mr. Barse, Dr. Greenblatt, Mrs. Wallace, and Mrs. Zappone voting in the negative (Miss Williams affirmative).

Re: A Substitute Motion on MC 201-81  
Student Member Voting Rights  
(FAILED)

A substitute motion by Mrs. Spencer that the Board take no position on MC 201-81 - Student Member Voting Rights failed with Mr. Ewing, Dr. Shaw, and Mrs. Spencer voting in the affirmative; Mr. Barse, Dr. Greenblatt, Mrs. Wallace, and Mrs. Zappone voting in the negative (Miss Williams abstaining).

Re: A Motion to Postpone  
Consideration  
of MC 201-81 - Student Member  
Voting Rights (FAILED)

A motion by Miss Williams that the Board postpone indefinitely consideration of MC 201-81 - Student Member Voting Rights failed with Mr. Ewing, Dr. Shaw, and Mrs. Spencer voting in the affirmative; Mr. Barse, Dr. Greenblatt, Mrs. Wallace, and Mrs. Zappone voting in the negative (Miss Williams affirmative).

Resolution No. 572-80                      Re: MC 201-81 - Student Member Voting  
Rights

On motion of Dr. Greenblatt seconded by Mr. Barse, the following resolution was adopted with Mr. Barse, Mr. Ewing, Dr. Greenblatt, Dr. Shaw, Mrs. Wallace, and Mrs. Zappone voting in the affirmative; Mrs. Spencer voting in the negative (Miss Williams abstaining):

Resolved, That the Board of Education oppose MC 201-81 - Student Member Voting Rights.

Resolution No. 573-80                      Re: Ir-0244 - Strikes by Public  
School Employees

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Spencer seconded by Mrs. Wallace, the following resolution was adopted with Dr. Greenblatt, Dr. Shaw, Mrs. Spencer, Mrs. Wallace, and Mrs. Zappone voting in the affirmative; Mr. Barse voting in the negative; Mr. Ewing abstaining (Miss Williams abstaining):

Resolved, That the Board of Education oppose Ir-0244 - Strikes by Public School Employees.

Re: A Motion by Mr. Ewing on Ir-0249  
Agency Shop (FAILED)

A motion by Mr. Ewing that the Board take no position on Ir-0249 - Agency Shop failed for lack of a second.



Re: A Motion by Mr. Ewing on Ir-0249  
Agency Shop (FAILED)

A motion by Mr. Ewing that the Board support Ir-0249 - Agency Shop failed with Mr. Barse and Mr. Ewing voting in the affirmative; Dr. Greenblatt, Dr. Shaw, Mrs. Spencer, Mrs. Wallace, and Mrs. Zappone voting in the negative (Miss Williams negative).

Re: A Motion by Mr. Ewing on Ir-0249  
Agency Shop (FAILED)

A motion by Mr. Ewing that the Board take no position on Ir-0249 - Agency Shop failed for lack of a second.

Re: A Motion by Dr. Greenblatt on  
Ir-0249- Agency Shop  
(FAILED)

A motion by Dr. Greenblatt that the Board take no position on Ir-0249 - Agency Shop until it received a legal opinion on whether the Board could on its own negotiate for agency shop without having a law failed with Mr. Ewing and Dr. Greenblatt voting in the affirmative; Mr. Barse, Dr. Shaw, Mrs. Spencer, Mrs. Wallace, and Mrs. Zappone voting in the negative (Miss Williams negative).

Resolution No. 574-80                      Re: Ir-0249 - Agency Shop

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Wallace seconded by Mrs. Zappone, the following resolution was adopted with Dr. Greenblatt, Dr. Shaw, Mrs. Spencer, Mrs. Wallace, and Mrs. Zappone voting in the affirmative; Mr. Barse and Mr. Ewing voting in the negative (Miss Williams abstaining):

Resolved, That the Board of Education oppose Ir-0249 - Agency Shop.

Re: A Motion by Mrs. Wallace on  
Ir-0258 - Trespass on Public  
School Grounds After School

Hours

Mrs. Wallace moved that the Board oppose Ir-0258 - Trespass on Public School Grounds After School Hours and support it as a statewide bill. Mrs. Zappone seconded the motion.

Resolution No. 575-80                      Re: Ir-0258 - Trespass on Public  
School Grounds After School  
Hours

On motion of Mrs. Spencer seconded by Mr. Ewing, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the Board of Education delete the first part of the recommendation opposing Ir-0258 - Trespass on Public School Grounds

After School Hours and support the bill as a statewide bill.

Resolution No. 576-80                      Re: Ir-0278 - Cardi opul monary  
Resuscitation  
CPR Classes in High School PE

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Wallace seconded by Mrs. Zappone, the following resolution was adopted unanimously (Miss Williams negative):

Resolved, That the Board of Education oppose Ir-0278 -  
Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation (CPR) Classes in High School PE.

Resolution No. 577-80                      Re: MC 204-81 - School Board Election  
Withdrawals

On motion of Mrs. Wallace seconded by Mr. Barse, the following resolution was adopted with Mr. Barse, Mr. Ewing, Dr. Greenblatt, Dr. Shaw, Mrs. Wallace, and Mrs. Zappone voting in the affirmative; Mrs. Spencer abstaining (Miss Williams abstaining):

Resolved, That the Board of Education support MC 204-81 - School Board Election Withdrawals.

Re: Presentation of the Annual Report  
of the Commission on Children and  
Youth

Mr. I. Austin Heyman, chairperson, stated that the commission was pleased to have this first meeting with the Board of Education and hoped that there would be many more. He said that on September 24 they would be meeting with the county executive and on September 30 with the County Council. He explained that the commission had been created through legislative action to advise the County Council and Board of Education regarding services which supported children and youth.

Mr. Heyman commented that this past year marked a major turning point in Montgomery County because after a decade of feuding the two halves of government had worked together to address the question of substance use. He reported that the commission had also addressed the problem of teenage pregnancy and that report had been provided to the Board. He indicated that their drug and alcohol paper was in its final draft, and he stated that as far as drug abuse was concerned the problem was a community one. It will only be solved when there is a community view to do so. As far as the schools were concerned, the commission felt it was important to have an adult in the school to whom the children could relate. They felt that strengthening the counseling function was very important, and they thought new ways had to be found for teachers to relate to students more.

Mr. Heyman stated that another example of cooperative efforts related to RICA. He said there was still a need for FY 1982

funding for an additional cottage. He indicated that the commission was interested in the questions of early childhood care and day care, and the commission would be participating in the Board's forum.

Ms. Tatyana Moss said that they were given the mandate to do their study on teenage pregnancy from the MCPS task force, the county executive, and the Council on Adolescents. She said that they had set themselves some definite goals and wanted to emphasize the importance of shared community responsibility. They wanted to encourage a comprehensive approach to the delivery of services. She said they had received data from the Health Department and received information from the schools and Home Instruction. They surveyed by questionnaire the 30 agencies that gave services to this population. They studied some models of services in other communities and visited five schools. Their basic findings from the data they could get showed that in the last ten years the births to teenagers had not increased. They hovered around 7.5 percent of total births. She explained that there was no mandate to report pregnancies so they could not really get the full picture on pregnancies. There was, however, a reporting of abortions. They found that while the population of teenagers with children did not increase the population that terminated the pregnancies more than doubled in percentages.

Ms. Moss stated that their recommendations addressed two parts of the problem. The first was the delivery of services and were they adequate. The second was in the area of preventive education. She said that they were interested in the reduction of these figures. They recommended that MCPS ensure that every student took a comprehensive course in health education by the ninth grade, provide a child development and parenting course for junior high school, and identify and make accessible at all times a trained staff person in junior and senior high schools.

Dr. Greenblatt inquired about the recommendation to provide a child development and parenting course in the junior high school. Ms. Lila Trachtenberg explained that the purpose of this was to familiarize students with the parenting responsibility before they reached an age when they might be parents. This might be a preventive for their becoming parents too soon. Dr. Greenblatt stated that she was concerned about their solution because the parents of junior high school children had responsibilities in this area. Ms. Trachtenberg replied that the parents had responsibility in the whole area of preventive education, but they felt that perhaps it should be a shared responsibility.

Mrs. Zappone pointed out that the commission had looked at the New Futures School in Albuquerque, New Mexico; however, that program was not among their recommendations. Ms. Moss replied that they did not make specific recommendations because transportation problems in Montgomery County precluded their recommending any one model at this point. Mrs. Zappone said that some of the problems the commission had pointed out were the lack of infant care so that

girls could go back to school and finish their education. She said the school system's primary concern was the education of the mother. Their report indicated that there were over 500 girls in this category and MCPS could provide that kind of service to that population and provide an infant care at the facility which would eliminate that problem. However, this was not one of the recommendations. Ms. Moss replied that this was a recommendation under consideration in the model program. She explained that they did not specifically recommend one program but rather pointed out the needs.

Mr. Ewing thought they had done a good job of documenting the problem. He noted there was a strong recommendation to establish a better basis for determining the scope of the problem. He wondered if agencies supplying the data were being cooperative, and he asked if there was anything the school system could do in a positive way to assist in the process to make sure in the future that the data were made available. Mr. Charles Short replied that when the commission met with the county executive he agreed to assign the Health Systems Planning Agency to collect the data. He reported that they had a commitment from the superintendent to assist in collecting the data. Ms. Trachtenberg added that there was one area that made collection of data difficult. She said that the withdrawal forms did not list pregnancy as a reason for withdrawing.

Mr. Barse asked if the members of the commission believed there was any role of the public schools in offering moral guidance to students in how to respond to human sexuality. Ms. Moss replied that they believed a health education program should have parenting, physical information, emotional information, and responsibilities and that such a health program was best given this broad a base. Mr. Barse said that he saw no recommendation regarding moral guidance of young people as to how they should behave. He felt it should be a major part of their recommendations, and he considered it to be a major flaw in their report.

Mrs. Wallace noted that the recommendation was for health education for every ninth grader. She wondered whether they had taken into account the problems of the six-period day and their going to 9-12 high schools. If they included health education as a requirement that would be in direct opposition to their recommendation that it not displace other courses or electives. Ms. Moss replied that they had not found the proper answer and thought it was an issue that had to be considered just as any other curriculum issue had to be considered. Dr. Shaw pointed out that the report stated "by the ninth grade." Mrs. Wallace wondered why they had chosen the ninth grade. Ms. Trachtenberg said they felt by the ninth grade most children were sexually aware and a large number were sexually active.

Miss Williams asked if the commission had found a concentration of pregnancies in terms of race, socioeconomic status, or geographic

areas. Ms. Moss replied that they did not have this information. Mr. Heyman reported that they had asked various groups for comments on the report and would share this information with the Board and the county executive. The superintendent indicated that the staff was currently looking at the entire health curriculum. The Board would receive proposals in the early spring or late winter. Dr. Greenblatt thanked the commission for their report. She asked whether the student automatically went on Home Instruction because it was her understanding that the students were still present in the school. She wondered whether there was glamour added to the fact that the girl had something growing in her body and it was a new experience. She also wondered whether it would be better to have these girls on home instruction. Ms. Moss remarked that they had all seen newspaper publicity about acceptance of unwed mothers. She said that she could not speak to whether or not there was glamour in these situations.

Mrs. Wallace remarked that she found the report very general and said she would really want to know what the commission considered to be a comprehensive recommendation. The superintendent asked the commission for any additional specifics they could supply the Board. Dr. Shaw thanked the commission for their report.

Re: Leland/Kensington Feasibility Study

The superintendent reported that sometime ago they made a proposal to conduct a study of what ought to happen in the Leland/Kensington area of the county. They had considered the possibility of modernizing Leland or Kensington, building a new facility on the Kensington site, or continuing the use of Leland with no capital improvements. He pointed out that the Board had also received an information item on Western Junior High School which was somewhat related to this topic.

Mr. Benjamin Elliott presented slides of the two schools and provided the Board with a narrative overview of all the options. Mr. Barse inquired about which alternative was deficient in not meeting standards. Mr. Elliott replied that there were two gymnasiums at Kensington but they were not large enough. The Leland gym was fine; however, the media center at Leland was one classroom too small. In both schools there would be some deficiencies in classroom space. He pointed out that in a new building everything would be designed to meet requirements. Mr. Barse said that he had reached the conclusion that only two alternatives, 3 (new school) and 3A (retaining a portion of Kensington) met the requirements, and Mr. Elliott indicated that he was correct.

Dr. Greenblatt asked about parking for 100 cars at Leland and whether or not that was an excessive number. Dr. Philip Rohr, director of the Division of Construction and Capital Projects, replied that at a junior high school they would normally have spaces for 100 to 125 cars. Dr. Shaw noted that at Kensington they

had changed the whole entrance to the building from one side to another. Mr. Elliott replied that this was correct, but they would still retain the original entrance.

Mrs. Wallace remarked that this was one of the most comprehensive documents she had seen in a long time, and she felt that it would require a lot of Board discussion in the near future. She commended the architect and staff on a job well done. Mrs. Zappone asked why the media center could not have a ramp because the Kensington site was not confined as far as space was concerned. Mr. Elliott replied that it was possible to have a ramp but the terrain made it very difficult to provide.

Mr. Ewing recalled that at an earlier session the Board had asked some questions about the impact on racial balance, and he was not clear how this would affect the Rosemary Hills Cluster. Dr. George Fisher, director of the Department of Educational Facilities Planning and Development, replied that the two questions were (1) what was the long-term cluster definition going to be and (2) were they going to try to achieve a continuous articulation pattern for the school. If the cluster were to stay the same, the Kensington site was on the extreme northern periphery of the cluster. He felt they needed to have firm definitions before deciding which site would serve them better. Mrs. Wallace noted that the paper they had received from the superintendent stated that Somerset would no longer feed into Leland. Dr. Fisher explained that at present Somerset was made up of students from Somerset and Rock Creek Forest. Fifteen percent of the students were from Rock Creek Forest and they articulated into Leland. The Somerset children did not go to Leland.

Mr. Barse commended the superintendent for the process of decision making that he had suggested in his memorandum of August 12. He noted that the final decision would be made in March or April as part of the master planning process. The superintendent reported that they had been using the Kensington Junior High School building for joint occupants, but they had been advised by the fire marshal that after this year he would probably close them down for joint occupancy. Mr. William Wilder, director of the Department of School Facilities, explained that to be in conformance with the fire codes they would have to invest substantial sums of money in the building. He thought they might be able to occupy the building under a grandfather clause, but at present there were no MCPS children in the building. Dr. Shaw pointed out that it was going to be close to a year before they made direct moves on the solution of this. He felt that they might need the Kensington building as a safety valve. The superintendent agreed to find out whether or not they could use the building as a school.

Mr. Ewing inquired about a timetable for a new or renovated facility. Dr. Rohr replied that planning could occur in 1982 with construction in 1983. The superintendent said it was his intention to carry planning funds in the budget request. Dr. Greenblatt asked for an estimate of the value of either property if the county

were to sell it.

Re: Additional Teaching Positions

Mr. Ewing moved approval of the superintendent's proposed resolution, and Mrs. Spencer seconded the motion:

WHEREAS, Enrollment is now expected to be higher on September 30 than projected; and

WHEREAS, Continuing efforts are being made to implement the class size objectives specified during the budget process; and

WHEREAS, No additional budgeted teaching positions remain to be allocated; and

WHEREAS, The superintendent is implementing a freeze in selected non-teaching vacancies; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education establish 14 classroom teaching positions, at an estimated cost of \$203,000 including fringe benefits; and be it further

Resolved, That the superintendent provide, during the fall, a further financial review with recommendations as to whether additional funding requests should be made to the County Council relating to the final enrollment data and possible categorical deficits.

Resolution No. 578-80

Re: An Amendment to the Resolution on Additional Teaching Positions

On motion of Mrs. Wallace seconded by Mr. Barse, the following resolution was adopted with Mr. Barse, Mr. Ewing, Dr. Greenblatt, Mrs. Wallace, and Mrs. Zappone voting in the affirmative; Dr. Shaw and Mrs. Spencer abstaining\*:

WHEREAS, Enrollment is now expected to be higher on September 30 than projected; and

WHEREAS, Continuing efforts are being made to implement the class size objectives specified during the budget process; and

WHEREAS, No additional budgeted teaching positions remain to be allocated; and

WHEREAS, The superintendent is implementing a freeze in selected nonteaching vacancies; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education establish 19 classroom teaching positions, at an estimated cost of \$275,500 including fringe benefits; and be it further

Resolved, That the superintendent provide, during the fall, a

further financial review with recommendations as to whether additional funding requests should be made to the County Council relating to the final enrollment data and possible categorical deficits.

Re: Executive Session

The Board met in executive session from 11:50 p.m. to 12:40 a.m. to discuss personnel matters and sites.

Resolution No. 579-80

Re: Executive Session - October 14, 1980

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Wallace seconded by Mrs. Spencer, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The Board of Education of Montgomery County is authorized by Article 76A, Section 11(a) of the Annotated Code of Maryland to conduct certain of its meetings in executive closed session; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education of Montgomery County hereby conduct its meeting in executive closed session beginning on October 14, 1980, at 9 a.m. to discuss, consider, deliberate, and/or otherwise decide the employment, assignment, appointment, promotion, demotion, compensation, discipline, removal, or resignation of employees, appointees, or officials over whom it has jurisdiction, or any other personnel matter affecting one or more particular individuals, and to comply with a specific constitutional, statutory or judicially imposed requirement protecting particular proceedings or matters from public disclosure as permitted under Article 76A, Section 11(a) and that such meeting shall continue in executive closed session until the completion of business; and be it further

Resolved, That such meeting continue in executive closed session at noon to discuss the matters listed above as permitted under Article 76A, Section 11(a) and that such meeting shall continue in executive closed session until the completion of business.

\* Mrs. Spencer abstained because she felt that the financing of the additional teachers should be done in a different way.

Re: Board Member Comments

1. Mrs. Wallace stated that she had reviewed the information item on the fiscal status report. She requested a dollar-for-dollar accounting for Category 15 expenditures and what the needs were because she had grave problems in that area.

2. Mrs. Zappone reported that the Metropolitan Area Boards met on Wednesday, September 17, and their fall meeting would be at the Springfield Hilton on October 24. The topic for the discussion



would be vocational education. She said that she would be attending the Urban/Suburban Conference out of town on that date and hoped that other Board members could participate in the October 24 meeting of MABE. The spring meeting would be held in Montgomery County.

3. Mrs. Zappone said that she had attended the AASA Legislative Conference and would leave her notes in the Board member office.

4. Mrs. Zappone indicated that the Hispanic Coalition banquet had been held on September 20 and was a very successful event.

5. In regard to in-school suspensions, Miss Williams said she would be interested in visiting one of the centers and finding out how it was working and how it tied in with unexcused absences.

6. Dr. Greenblatt reported that when she went to New York to testify on the bilingual regulations the Montgomery County position was greatly outnumbered by those who had specific interests. She said that Prince George's County was there at the same time and strongly opposed the regulations. She suggested that other Maryland jurisdictions be contacted and urged to write the Department of Education.

Re: New Business

Mrs. Zappone commented that she had been concerned about foreign language courses because students rarely had the opportunity to use the language conversationally. She asked the superintendent to consider piloting two two-week summer camps in French and Spanish for high school students at the Smith Center.

Resolution No. 580-80

Re: Joint Position Paper of  
Tri-Partite Committee

On motion of Mrs. Zappone seconded by Mrs. Wallace, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the Board of Education approve the joint position paper of the Tri-Partite Committee as the Board's position.

Resolution No. 581-80

Re: Personnel Appointment

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Spencer seconded by Mrs. Zappone, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the following personnel appointment be approved:

Appointment	Present Position	As
Eileen C. Levi	Acting Director Division of Head Start	Director of Head Start Department of

School - Based  
Grade I  
Effective  
September 23, 1980

Re: Items of Information

Board members received the following items of information:

1. Uniform Rental Service-- Laundry Services
2. Community Survey Regarding Organizational Pattern - Western Junior High and Argyle Junior High School
3. Fiscal Status Report
4. Report of Gifted and Talented Advisory Committee
5. In-School Suspension Program

Re: Adjournment

The president adjourned the meeting at 12:50 a.m.

President

Secretary

EA: ml