APPROVED Rockville, Maryland 22-1989 May 22, 1989 The Board of Education of Montgomery County met in regular session at the Carver Educational Services Center, Rockville, Maryland, on Monday, May 22, 1989, at 8:20 p.m. ROLL CALL Present: Dr. James E. Cronin, President in the Chair Mrs. Sharon DiFonzo Mr. Blair G. Ewing Mr. Bruce A. Goldensohn Mrs. Catherine E. Hobbs Mr. Chan Park Mrs. Marilyn J. Praisner Dr. Robert E. Shoenberg Absent: None Others Present: Dr. Harry Pitt, Superintendent of Schools Dr. Paul L. Vance, Deputy Superintendent Mr. Thomas S. Fess, Parliamentarian Ms. Alison Serino, Board Member-elect RESOLUTION NO. 278-89 Re: BOARD AGENDA - MAY 22, 1989

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Praisner seconded by Dr. Shoenberg, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the Board of Education approve its agenda for May 22, 1989.

Re: ANNOUNCEMENT

Dr. Cronin welcomed Ms. Alison Serino, Board member-elect, to the table.

RESOLUTION NO. 279-89 Re: NATIONAL RECOGNITION OF REDLAND MIDDLE SCHOOL

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Praisner seconded by Mr. Ewing, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Each year the United States Department of Education seeks to identify outstanding schools in all sections of the United States through its "School Recognition Program;" and

WHEREAS, The selection of award-winning schools is based on demanding criteria that include high academic achievement, strong professional leadership, a committed and outstanding staff, and extensive community involvement; and

WHEREAS, Only 218 private and public schools across the United States were selected for the honor during the 1988-89 school year from among thousands of schools nominated for consideration; and

WHEREAS, On Tuesday, May 16, 1989, Redland Middle School in Rockville was declared a "School of Excellence" for the second time by the Department of Education; now therefore be it RESOLVED, That the Montgomery County Board of Education extend its congratulations to the students, staff and parents of Redland Middle

School on its receipt of the "Excellence in Education" award; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Montgomery County Board of Education salute Redland Middle School for the outstanding efforts of staff, the involvement and support of parents and the commitment to learning evidenced by its students; and be it further

RESOLVED, That a copy of this resolution be presented to the principal, staff, students and parent leaders of Redland Middle School and that it be made a part of the minutes of the Montgomery County Board of Education.

RESOLUTION NO. 280-89 Re: NATIONAL RECOGNITION OF WHITE OAK INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Shoenberg seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Each year the United States Department of Education seeks to identify outstanding schools in all sections of the United States through its "School Recognition Program;" and

WHEREAS, The selection of award-winning schools is based on demanding criteria that include high academic achievement, strong professional leadership, a committed and outstanding staff, and extensive community involvement; and

WHEREAS, Only 218 private and public schools across the United States were selected for the honor during the 1988-89 school year from among thousands of schools nominated for consideration; and

WHEREAS, On Tuesday, May 16, 1989, White Oak Intermediate School in Silver Spring was declared a "School of Excellence" by the Department of Education; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the Montgomery County Board of Education extend its congratulations to the students, staff and parents of White Oak Intermediate School on its receipt of the "Excellence in Education" award; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Montgomery County Board of Education salute White Oak Intermediate School for the outstanding efforts of staff, the

involvement and support of parents and the commitment to learning evidenced by its students; and be it further

RESOLVED, That a copy of this resolution be presented to the principal, staff, students and parent leaders of White Oak Intermediate School and that it be made a part of the minutes of the Montgomery County Board of Education.

Re: PUBLIC COMMENTS

The following individuals appeared before the Board of Education:

- 1. Roscoe Nix, NAACP
- 2. Miles Goldstein, North West Montgomery County Coalition
- 3. Malcolm Lawrence, Maryland Coalition of Concerned Parents
- 4. Betsy Bretz, West Hillandale Women's Club
- 5. Fran Daly
- 6. Cirea Sanchez-Baca and Karen Smith, Somerset Extended Day Magnet

RESOLUTION NO. 281-89 Re: PROCUREMENT CONTRACTS OVER \$25,000 On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Praisner seconded by Mrs. DiFonzo, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Funds have been budgeted for the purchase of equipment, supplies, and contractual services; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That having been duly advertised, the contracts be awarded to the low bidders meeting specifications as shown for the bids as follows:

AWARDEE(S)

30-89	Ice Cream and Novelties - Extension Briggs Ice Cream Company	\$461,300
117-89	Tractors with Mowers and One-Ton Static Rollers Gaithersburg Ford Tractor Company Gladhill Brothers	\$ 11,290 27,400
	TOTAL	\$ 38,690
113-89	\$ 58,183 1,202	
	TOTAL	\$ 59,385
	TOTAL OVER \$25,000	\$559,373
RESOLUTI	ON NO. 282-89 Re: BID NO. 65-89, SCHOOL BUS PU	RCHASE AND

FINANCING

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Praisner seconded by Mrs. DiFonzo, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Recent changes in tax laws require additional documentation for lease-purchase agreements, and Board of Education authorization relating to a lease-purchase agreement and its designation as a qualified tax-exempt obligation; and

WHEREAS, On December 13, 1988, the Board of Education of Montgomery County awarded the financing for the lease-purchase of 24 school buses (Bid No. 65-89) to First Continental Financial Corporation, and it is now necessary to designate this transaction as a qualified tax-exempt obligation and authorize execution of the documents; and

WHEREAS, In connection with the acquisition of 24 school buses (the "Equipment") to be received July 1, 1989, or after, the Board of Education of Montgomery County (the "Lessee") has determined that the Equipment is necessary for the operation of the public schools and that it is in the public interest of the Lessee and its citizens to enter into an Equipment Lease/Purchase Agreement (the "Lesser") with First Continental Financial Corporation, as lessor (the "Lessor"); and

WHEREAS, Pursuant to Section 265 (b) (3) of the Internal Revenue Code, the Board of Education has reasonably determined that it is appropriate to designate the Lease as a qualified tax-exempt obligation; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the Lessee hereby designates the Lease as a "qualified tax-exempt obligation" for purposes of Section 265 (b) (3) of the Code and declares that the Lease is not a "private activity bond" as defined in the Code and that neither the Lessee nor any of its subordinate entities, if any, reasonably expect to issue in excess of \$10,000,000 aggregate amount of qualified tax-exempt obligations during the calendar year in which the Lease is issued; the Lessee hereby covenants not to designate more than \$10,000,000 aggregate amount of qualified tax-exempt obligations during this calendar year in which the Lease is issued; and a schedule of obligations heretofore designated as "qualified tax-exempt obligations" by the Lessee for the calendar year 1989 is as set forth in other documents which are a part of this transaction; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Board president and the superintendent are authorized to execute the Equipment Lease/Purchase Agreement and other documents necessary for this transaction, which have been reviewed by our attorneys.

RESOLUTION NO. 283-89 Re: CHANGE ORDERS OVER \$25,000

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Shoenberg seconded by Mrs. DiFonzo, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The contract bids for the modernization of Laytonsville and Highland elementary schools contained several alternates; and

WHEREAS, Action on several alternates was deferred pending County Council approval of additional funding in the Board of Education's FY 1990 Capital Budget for these projects; and

WHEREAS, The contractors agreed to hold their prices until funds were available; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Education's FY 1990 Capital Budget has been approved by the County Council and contains the additional funding necessary to award the deferred alternates; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Education approve the following change orders for the amounts and contracts indicated:

ACTIVITY 1

Project:	Highland Elementary School
Description:	Expand administrative area and main entrance
Contractor:	Doyle, Incorporated
Amount	\$142,800

ACTIVITY 2

Project:	Highland Elementary School
Description:	Construct additional parking and kindergarten
	play areas
Contractor:	Doyle, Incorporated
Amount:	\$112,800

ACTIVITY 3 Project:

Project:	Highland Elementary School
Description:	Install kitchen equipment, shelves, venetian
	blinds, etc.
Contractor:	Doyle, Incorporated
Amount:	\$172,000

ACTIVITY 4

Project:	Laytonsville Elementary School		
Description:	Install fixed equipment and architectural		
	casework		
Contractor:	Kimmel & Kimmel		
Amount:	\$168,300		
RESOLUTION NO. 284-89	Re: REPLACEMENT OF BOILERS AND CHILLER -		
	GAITHERSBURG HIGH SCHOOL AUDITORIUM		

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Shoenberg seconded by Mrs. DiFonzo, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Sealed bids were received on May 9, 1989, for the replacement of boilers and chiller at the Gaithersburg High School auditorium as follows:

	BIDDER	BASE BID
1.	EMD Mechanical Specialists	\$123,242
2.	G. W. Mechanical Contractors, Inc.	129,000
3.	M & M Welding and Fabricators, Inc.	132,870
4.	Kerr Contracting Corporation	143,900
5.	Arey, Inc.	145,001
б.	Combustioneer	146,980
7.	R. M. Thornton, Inc.	149,750
8.	J. E. Hurley Machine & Boiler Works, Inc.	166,629
9.	Darwin Construction Co., Inc.	232,000

and

WHEREAS, The low bidder, EMD Mechanical Specialists, has performed successfully similar projects for Montgomery County Public Schools; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That a \$123,242 contract be awarded to EMD Mechanical Specialists for the replacement of boilers and chiller at the Gaithersburg High School auditorium in accordance with plans and specifications prepared by the Department of School Facilities in conjunction with Morton Wood, Jr., Engineer.

RESOLUTION NO. 285-89 Re: KITCHEN EQUIPMENT - MONTGOMERY BLAIR HIGH SCHOOL

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Shoenberg seconded by Mrs. DiFonzo, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Sealed bids were received on May 9, 1989, for the kitchen equipment at Montgomery Blair High School as follows:

	BIDDER	BASE BID	DEDUCT ALTERNATE*	r
2.	Stanley Food & Equipment Regional Equipment, Inc. David B. Lewis, Limited	\$270,456 289,497 297,924	\$47,933.48 42,419.00 48,524.00	
	*Control Panel and Utilities	Distribution	System	

and

WHEREAS, The bid proposal forms received from the first and second low bidders were not completed in accordance with the specifications; and

WHEREAS, The third bidder's cost proposal exceeded the staff estimate of \$250,000; and

WHEREAS, The project can be rebid in time for award and completion by September, 1989; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That all bids for the kitchen equipment at Montgomery Blair High School be rejected, and that it be rebid immediately.

RESOLUTION NO. 286-89 Re: KITCHEN/CAFETERIA MODIFICATIONS - MONTGOMERY BLAIR HIGH SCHOOL

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Shoenberg seconded by Mrs. DiFonzo, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Sealed bids were received on May 9, 1989, for the kitchen/cafeteria modifications at Montgomery Blair High School as follows:

	BIDDER	BASE BID
1.	Smith & Haines, Inc.	\$276,500
2.	Darwin Construction Co., Inc.	343,300

and

WHEREAS, Smith & Haines, Inc., has satisfactorily completed similar projects for Montgomery County Public Schools, and the low bid is within the range of the kitchen consultant's estimate of \$265,000; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That a \$276,500 contract be awarded to Smith & Haines, Inc., for the kitchen/cafeteria modifications at Montgomery Blair High School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared by Commercial Kitchen Concepts in consultation with Department of School Facilities staff.

RESOLUTION NO. 287-89 Re: REPLACEMENT OF BOILERS - GLEN HAVEN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Shoenberg seconded by Mrs. DiFonzo, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Sealed bids were received on May 10, 1989, for the replacement of boilers at Glen Haven Elementary School as follows:

	BIDDER	BASE BID
1.	Arey, Inc.	\$51,100
2.	J. E. Hurley Machine & Boiler Works, Inc.	57,670
3.	R. M. Thornton, Inc.	62,873
4.	G. W. Mechanical Contractors, Inc.	63,000
5.	M & M Welding and Fabricators, Inc.	64,370
6.	Darwin Construction Co., Inc.	64,989
7.	Kerr Contracting Corporation	78,200

WHEREAS, The low bidder, Arey, Inc., has performed successfully similar projects for Montgomery County Public Schools; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That a \$51,100 contract be awarded to Arey, Inc., for the replacement of boilers at Glen Haven Elementary School in accordance with plans and specifications prepared by the Department of School Facilities in conjunction with Morton Wood, Jr., Engineer.

RESOLUTION NO. 288-89 Re: RELOCATION OF 24 LEASED MODULAR CLASSROOM BUILDINGS AT VARIOUS SCHOOLS

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Shoenberg seconded by Mrs. DiFonzo, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, A sealed bid was received on May 11, 1989, for the relocation of 24 leased modular classroom buildings at various schools as follows:

BIDDER	BASE BID
J & L Services	\$192,163.20

and

WHEREAS, Even though only one bid was received it was consistent with the staff estimate of \$200,000; and

WHEREAS, J & L Services has completed similar projects satisfactorily for Montgomery County Public Schools; and

WHEREAS, Sufficient funds are available for contract award; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That a \$192,163.20 contract be awarded to J. L. Services for the relocation of 24 leased modular classroom buildings at various schools in accordance with plans and specifications prepared by the Department of School Facilities.

RESOLUTION NO. 289-89 Re: ENERGY MANAGEMENT AUTOMATION SYSTEMS AT LAYTONSVILLE, MONOCACY, AND MONTGOMERY KNOLLS ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Shoenberg seconded by Mrs. DiFonzo, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, On April 12, 1989, the following bids were received to install computerized energy management systems at Laytonsville, Monocacy, and Montgomery Knolls elementary schools:

and

BIDDER

BID

LAYTONSVILLE MONOCACY MONTGOMERY KNOLLS Robertshaw Controls Company \$61,850 \$58,580 \$63,675

Barber-Colman Pritchett, Inc. \$73,633 \$59,531 \$69,426

and

WHEREAS, Robertshaw Controls Company has submitted the lowest bid and met specifications; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That a \$184,105 contract be awarded to Robertshaw Controls Company to install the automated energy management systems at Laytonsville, Monocacy, and Montgomery Knolls elementary schools in accordance with plans and specifications developed by Von Otto and Bilecky, P.C.

RESOLUTION NO. 290-89 Re: ABATEMENT OF ASBESTOS-CONTAINING MATERIALS AT VARIOUS SCHOOLS

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Shoenberg seconded by Mrs. DiFonzo, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Asbestos abatement services are required at various schools to ensure compliance with AHERA regulations and to support construction and maintenance activities; and

WHEREAS, Sealed bids were received on May 3, 1989, for abatement of asbestos-containing materials on a "time and materials" basis; and

WHEREAS, Because of the volume of work to be performed within relatively tight deadlines and minimal notice, no single bidder was able to provide the required service; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That level-of-services agreements in accordance with unit costs stipulated in the bid specifications be awarded to the three low bidders as follows:

	BIDDER	AMOUNT
1.	Marcor of Maryland, Inc.	\$200,000 limit
	LVI Environmental Services, Inc.	100,000 limit
3.	Asbestos Environmental Services	75,000 limit
	of Maryland, Inc.	

RESOLUTION NO. 291-89 Re: BLEACHER REPLACEMENT AT VARIOUS SCHOOLS

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Shoenberg seconded by Mrs. DiFonzo, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Sealed bids were received on April 24, 1989, to replace indoor bleachers at Cabin John and Wood middle schools, Herbert

Hoover Junior High School, and Kennedy, Magruder, and Seneca Valley high schools in accordance with specifications prepared by the Department of School Facilities; and

WHEREAS, The lowest responsible bidder meeting specifications is Modern Doors and Equipment Company, a firm which has provided similar services satisfactorily for the Montgomery County Public Schools; and

WHEREAS, Sufficient funds are available to award this contract; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That a \$435,680 contract be awarded to Modern Doors and Equipment Company to replace bleachers at Cabin John and Wood middle schools, Herbert Hoover Junior High School, and Kennedy, Magruder, and Seneca Valley high schools.

RESOLUTION NO. 292-89 Re: RELOCATION OF 34 LOCALLY-OWNED MODULAR CLASSROOM BUILDINGS AT VARIOUS SCHOOLS

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Shoenberg seconded by Mrs. DiFonzo, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, A sealed bid was received on May 11, 1989, for the relocation of 34 locally-owned modular classroom buildings at various schools as follows:

BIDDER				BASE	BID
Commercial M	Aodular	Systems	Inc.	\$249,	900

and

WHEREAS, Even though only one bid was received it was consistent with the staff estimate of \$250,000; and

WHEREAS, Commercial Modular Systems Inc., has completed similar projects satisfactorily for the Montgomery County Public Schools; and

WHEREAS, Sufficient funds are available for contract award; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That a \$249,900 contract be awarded to Commercial Modular Systems Inc., for the relocation of 34 locally-owned modular classroom buildings at various schools in accordance with plans and specifications prepared by the Department of School Facilities.

Re: LEASED SPACE

Dr. Shoenberg moved and Mrs. DiFonzo seconded the following:

WHEREAS, Several administrative units will be displaced by the utilization of the Woodward Center as a holding school while Sligo Middle School undergoes modernization; and

WHEREAS, There is insufficient space in MCPS-owned facilities to house all administrative units; and

WHEREAS, The Department of Personnel Services requires additional space that cannot be accommodated in the present facility; and

WHEREAS, Staff has located sufficient space in commercial buildings within a five mile radius of the Carver Educational Services Center that also is accessible by major transportation systems; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the superintendent be authorized to locate appropriate space and negotiate a lease at the most favorable and cost effective terms to enable the timely relocation of the Department of Personnel Services.

RESOLUTION NO. 293-89 Re: AN AMENDMENT TO THE PROPOSED RESOLUTION ON LEASED SPACE

On motion of Dr. Shoenberg seconded by Mr. Ewing, the following resolution was adopted with Dr. Cronin, Mrs. DiFonzo, Mr. Ewing, Mr. Goldensohn, (Mr. Park), Mrs. Praisner, and Dr. Shoenberg voting in the affirmative; Mrs. Hobbs abstaining:

RESOLVED, That the proposed resolution on leased space be amended to add "subject to Board approval" after "negotiate a lease" in the RESOLVED clause.

RESOLUTION NO. 294-89 Re: LEASED SPACE

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Shoenberg seconded by Mr. Ewing, the following resolution was adopted with Dr. Cronin, Mrs. DiFonzo, Mr. Ewing, Mr. Goldensohn, (Mr. Park), Mrs. Praisner, and Dr. Shoenberg voting in the affirmative; Mrs. Hobbs voting in the negative:

WHEREAS, Several administrative units will be displaced by the utilization of the Woodward Center as a holding school while Sligo Middle School undergoes modernization; and

WHEREAS, There is insufficient space in MCPS-owned facilities to house all administrative units; and

WHEREAS, The Department of Personnel Services requires additional space that cannot be accommodated in the present facility; and

WHEREAS, Staff has located sufficient space in commercial buildings within a five mile radius of the Carver Educational Services Center that also is accessible by major transportation systems; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the superintendent be authorized to locate appropriate space and negotiate a lease, subject to Board approval, at the most

favorable and cost effective terms to enable the timely relocation of the Department of Personnel Services.

RESOLUTION NO. 295-89 Re: FY 1989 CATEGORICAL TRANSFER WITHIN THE MARYLAND'S TOMORROW PROGRAM

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. DiFonzo seconded by Dr. Shoenberg, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the superintendent of schools be authorized to effect the following categorical transfer within the FY 1989 Maryland's Tomorrow Program in accordance with the County Council provision for transfers:

	CATEGORY	FROM	ТО
02	Instructional Salaries		\$13,607
03	Other Instructional Costs	\$ 7,510	
04	Special Education	1,847	
07	Pupil Transportation	700	
10	Fixed Charges	3,550	
	TOTAL	\$13,607	\$13,607

and be it further

RESOLVED, That a copy of this resolution be transmitted to the county executive and the County Council.

RESOLUTION NO. 296-89 Re: FY 1989 SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION FOR A SUMMER MARYLAND'S TOMORROW PROGRAM FOR AT-RISK YOUTH

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. DiFonzo seconded by Dr. Shoenberg, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the superintendent of schools be authorized, subject to County Council approval, to receive and expend an FY 1989 supplemental appropriation of \$55,720 from the Montgomery County Private Industry Council under the Job Training Partnership Act for a summer Maryland's Tomorrow program for at-risk youth in the following categories:

	CATEGORY	AMOUNT
02	Instructional Salaries	\$42,332
03	Other Instructional Costs	5,000
07	Student Transportation	5,000
10	Fixed Charges	3,388

TOTAL

and be it further

RESOLVED, That the county executive be requested to recommend approval of this resolution to the County Council and a copy be transmitted to the county executive and the County Council.

RESOLUTION NO. 297-89 Re: FY 1989 SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION FOR THE CONTINUATION OF PROJECT ADAPT (COMPUTERIZED ADAPTIVE TESTING)

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. DiFonzo seconded by Dr. Shoenberg, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the superintendent of schools be authorized, subject to County Council approval, to receive and expend an FY 1989 supplemental appropriation of \$50,000 from the Maryland State Department of Education Program Assessment Branch, for the continuation of Project ADAPT (computerized adaptive testing) in the following categories:

	CATEGORY	AMOUNT
01 10	Administration Fixed Charges	\$46,712 3,288
	TOTAL	 \$50,000

and be it further

RESOLVED, That the county executive be requested to recommend approval of this resolution to the County Council and a copy be transmitted to the county executive and the County Council.

RESOLUTION NO. 298-89 Re: FY 1989 SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION FOR THE STATE LEGALIZATION IMPACT ASSISTANCE GRANT (SLIAG)

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. DiFonzo seconded by Dr. Shoenberg, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the superintendent of schools be authorized, subject to County Council approval, to receive and expend an FY 1989 supplemental appropriation of \$20,050 from the Maryland State Department of Education under the Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986, for the State Legalization Impact Assistance Grant in the following categories:

	CATEGORY		AMOUNT
02	Instructional	Salaries	\$15,500

03	Other	Instructional	Costs	3,000
10	Fixed	Charges		1,550
	TOTAL			\$20,050

and be it further

RESOLVED, That the county executive be requested to recommend approval of this resolution to the County Council and a copy be transmitted to the county executive and the County Council.

RESOLUTION NO. 299-89 Re: SUBMISSION OF AN FY 1990 GRANT PROPOSAL FOR A MODEL PARENT RESOURCE CENTER FOR EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. DiFonzo seconded by Dr. Shoenberg, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the superintendent of schools be authorized to submit an FY 1990 grant proposal for \$7,000 to the Maryland State Department of Education under the Dependent Care Grant to fund a project to increase the quality of school-age child care program implementation in the New Hampshire Estates/Oak View attendance area; and be it further

RESOLVED, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the county executive and the County Council.

RESOLUTION NO. 300-89 Re: PERSONNEL APPOINTMENT

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Praisner seconded by Mrs. DiFonzo, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the following personnel appointment be approved:

APPOINTMENT	PRESENT POSITION	AS
Edward S. Clements	Acting Director Edison Career Center	Principal Edison Career Center

Effective: 5-23-89

RESOLUTION NO. 301-89 Re: PERSONNEL APPOINTMENT

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Praisner seconded by Mrs. DiFonzo, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the following personnel appointment be approved:

APPOINTMENT	PRESENT	POSITION	AS
-------------	---------	----------	----

Rose Ann Armes Elementary Principal Principal

RESOLUTION NO. 302-89 Re: PERSONNEL APPOINTMENT

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Praisner seconded by Mrs. DiFonzo, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the following personnel appointment be approved: APPOINTMENT PRESENT POSITION AS Renee C. Barrett Acting Asst. Principal Principal Diamond ES Potomac ES Effective: 7-1-89

RESOLUTION NO. 303-89 Re: PERSONNEL APPOINTMENT

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Praisner seconded by Mrs. DiFonzo, the following resolution was adopted with Dr. Cronin, Mrs. DiFonzo, Mr. Ewing, Mr. Goldensohn, (Mr. Park), Mrs. Praisner, and Dr. Shoenberg voting in the affirmative; Mrs. Hobbs abstaining:

RESOLVED, That the following personnel appointment be approved:

APPOINTMENT PRESENT POSITION AS

Dorothy J. Raff Principal Principal Charlesmont ES Jones Lane ES Baltimore County Effective: 7-1-89 Public Schools Baltimore, MD

RESOLUTION NO. 304-89 Re: PERSONNEL APPOINTMENT

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Praisner seconded by Mrs. DiFonzo, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the following personnel appointment be approved:

APPOINTMENT	PRESENT POSITION	AS
Julia E. Toxie	Assistant Principal Farquhar MS	Principal Olney ES Effective: 7-1-89

RESOLUTION NO. 305-89 Re: PERSONNEL TRANSFER

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Praisner seconded by Mrs. DiFonzo, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the following personnel transfer be approved:

TRANSFER	FROM	ТО
James V. Griesi	Principal South Lake ES	Principal Flower Hill ES

Effective: 7-1-89

RESOLUTION NO. 306-89 Re: ACADEMIC LEAVE

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Praisner seconded by Mrs. DiFonzo, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the following personnel be granted academic leave for the period indicated:

Curtis, Cheryl Instructional Assistant Damascus Elementary School Years of Service in Montgomery County -- 9 Period of Leave -- August 31, 1989 through June 15, 1990 Attend Hood College to earn bachelor's degree in education

Gale, Charles Science Teacher Chevy Chase Elementary School Years of Service in Montgomery County -- 15 Period of Leave -- August 29, 1989 through June 18, 1990 Attend University of Maryland to complete doctoral program in elementary science

Gallagher, Frances Classroom Teacher Stonegate Elementary School Years of Service in Montgomery County -- 17 Period of Leave -- August 29, 1989 through June 18, 1990 Attend University of Maryland to earn master's degree in elementary counseling

Glaser, Irene Curriculum Coordinator (Art) Department of Aesthetic Education Years of Service in Montgomery County -- 15 Period of Leave -- September 1, 1989 through June 30, 1990 Attend University of Maryland to complete doctoral program in art education

Haughey, Barbara Reading Specialist Tilden Intermediate School Years of Service in Montgomery County -- 8 Period of Leave -- August 29, 1989 through June 18, 1990 Attend University of Maryland to complete doctoral program in reading Jaquette, Barbara Home Economics Teacher Albert Einstein High School Years of Service in Montgomery County -- 21 Period of Leave -- August 29, 1989 through June 18, 1990 Attend University of Maryland to complete master of social work degree Jervay, Debra Speech Pathologist Stephen Knolls PEP Program Years of Service in Montgomery County -- 13 Period of Leave -- August 29, 1989 through June 18, 1990 Attend Georgetown University to complete doctoral study in linguistics Krask, Elizabeth Pupil Personnel Worker Area 1 Administrative Office Years of Service in Montgomery County -- 8.7 Period of Leave -- July 1, 1989 through June 30, 1990 Attend University of Maryland to continue doctoral program in school psychology Kreitman, Kaye Classroom Teacher Jones Lane Elementary School Years of Service in Montgomery County -- 11 Period of Leave -- August 29, 1989 through June 18, 1990 Attend Hood College to earn master's degree as reading specialist Lee, Katsuko Pupil Personnel Worker Area 1 Administrative Office Years of Service in Montgomery County -- 17 Period of Leave -- July 1, 1989 through June 30, 1990 Attend George Mason University to complete doctoral program in cross cultural counseling Moran, John Classroom Teacher Candlewood Elementary School Years of Service in Montgomery County -- 10.5 Period of Leave -- August 29, 1989 through June 18, 1990 Attend Western Maryland College to complete requirements for certification in elementary counseling Nugent, Mary Classroom Teacher Churchill High School

Years of Service in Montgomery County -- 11 Period of Leave -- August 29, 1989 through June 18, 1990 Attend University of Maryland to complete graduate program in the field of library science Plaisance, Steven Physical Education Teacher McAuliffe Elementary School Years of Service in Montgomery County -- 16.5 Period of Leave -- August 29, 1989 through June 18, 1990 Attend Johns Hopkins University for master's degree in counseling and guidance Praske, Regina ESOL Teacher Cresthaven Elementary School Years of Service in Montgomery County -- 9 Period of Leave -- August 29, 1989 through June 18, 1990 Attend University of Maryland to earn master's degree in instructional systems development Taliaferro, Martena Supervisor of Elementary Instruction Area 3 Administrative Office Years of Service in Montgomery County -- 22 Period of Leave -- July 1, 1989 through June 30, 1990 Conduct post doctoral study on minority achievement, impact of early learning, and the future of education in America Tevelow, Renee Special Education Teacher McKenney Hills Learning Center Years of Service in Montgomery County -- 14 Period of Leave -- August 29, 1989 through June 18, 1990 Attend University of Maryland to complete requirements for school counselor certification Thurman, Candace Classroom Teacher Walter Johnson High School Years of Service in Montgomery County -- 8 Period of Leave -- August 29, 1989 through June 18, 1990 Attend Georgetown University to enhance teaching skills for advanced placement history and government courses White, Rodney Media Services Technician Area 1 Administrative Office Years of Service in Montgomery County -- 8.5 Period of Leave -- July 1, 1989 through June 30, 1990 Attend Bowie State University to earn master's degree in special education Youngblood, Robert

Instructional Assistant Kennedy High School Learning Center Years of Service in Montgomery County -- 9 Period of Leave -- August 31, 1989 through January 26, 1990 Attend University of Maryland to earn bachelor's degree in art education

Re: SCHOOL-RELATED FUND RAISING

Mrs. Praisner moved and Mrs. DiFonzo seconded the following:

WHEREAS, The current Board of Education policy on fund raising was adopted in 1960 and has not been changed since that time; and

WHEREAS, The current Board policy does not coincide with the extensive fund raising activities that go on in our schools today; and

WHEREAS, A committee including representatives from the Montgomery County Council of Parent Teacher Associations, the Secondary School Administrators Association, the Elementary School Administrators Association, the Deputy Superintendent's office, and the Division of Administrative Analysis and Audits has drafted a new policy; and

WHEREAS, The draft policy was circulated among school personnel, PTA representatives, and community members for comments; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the following policy on Fund Raising be adopted; and be it further

RESOLVED, That Resolution No. 683b-60 be rescinded; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Board receive on an annual basis a report showing by school: (a) amounts received resulting from fund raising, and (b) specific use to which the money was put, together with specific dollar amounts for each general category of expenditure; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Board review this report in 1992 with a view to determining whether its policy needs changing.

CND

SCHOOL-RELATED FUND RAISING

A. Purpose To provide guidelines for school-related fund raising activities and to ensure that fund raising activities do not interfere with the instructional program or the responsibilities of MCPS staff

B. Process and Content The Board of Education recognizes that student organizations and parent groups sometimes provide financial support for school activities in Montgomery County. The Board also recognizes that some activities, such as school fairs, are important because they promote parent participation and result in increasing school and community spirit in addition to any amounts of money they raise for the school.

While many schools and PTAs have emphasized fund raising in the past, raising money is not their primary focus; and school staff should not become dependent on these funds, nor rely on them to purchase materials and instructional equipment. Parent groups are not expected to raise funds to support specific programs or purchase materials to enhance the instructional program. MCPS students are not expected to raise funds.

- 1. Recognized Purposes for School-related Fund Raising
 - a) Supporting activities of school organizations or clubs
 - b) Supporting activities that benefit the student body
 - c) Providing supplemental funds to help defray the costs of optional activities that enhance MCPS programs
 - Providing supplemental materials or equipment that enhance the instructional program or the administrative functions of the school
 - e) Providing supplemental support for staff to participate in professional development activities
- 2. Examples of Appropriate Fund Raising Groups
 - a) School-sponsored organizations and clubs
 - b) Individual school classes or grade-level groups
 - c) Parent-teacher associations
 - d) Other parent-sponsored organizations, such as booster clubs
 - e) Countywide student organizations
- 3. Additional Guidelines for Fund Raising Activities
 - a) The principal must authorize in advance and in accordance with this policy all fund raising activities conducted by school-sponsored organizations or clubs and individual school classes or grade-level groups.
 - b) The deputy superintendent must authorize in advance all fund raising activities conducted by countywide student organizations.

- c) The PTA, Booster Club, or other parent-sponsored organizations will plan and supervise all fund raising activities sponsored by these organizations. The principal will coordinate each activity in advance with the leadership of the organization to make certain that these activities do not interfere with the instructional program or previously planned school-sponsored fund raising activities. The principal will be responsible for informing the leadership when he/she feels the activity is not appropriate.
- d) Representatives of a PTA or other parent-sponsored activity or a school club or organization will handle the daily collections of sales to avoid using or impacting instructional time, either directly or indirectly.
- e) All funds received by a school shall be managed in accordance with the MANUAL OF POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR ADMINISTERING INDEPENDENT ACTIVITY FUNDS.
- f) If funds are used to purchase supplemental educational materials or equipment that enhance the instructional program, or if such materials or equipment are donated, they become the property of MCPS and must be integrated into the MCPS curriculum. All materials and equipment must be approved for use in MCPS, must conform to appropriate standards, specifications, and guidelines, and must be appropriate to the curriculum in the grades where they are to be used. The guidelines established by the Division of Procurement must be followed whenever a school's independent activity funds are used to purchase materials and equipment.
- g) Funds raised by fund raising groups cannot be used to employ anyone to work in the schools during the regular school day.
- h) Principals must inform parents that neither the school nor MCPS endorses the products that are sold or sanctions the services that are offered by businesses.
- i) Fund raising activities are not to include door-to-door sales by any students.
- 4. Implementation of These Guidelines The superintendent will establish whatever administrative regulations or other administrative procedures may be necessary for carrying out the fund raising guidelines outlined in this policy.

C. Review and Reporting

This policy will be reviewed every three years in accordance with the Board of Education policy review process.

Re: A MOTION BY DR. SHOENBERG TO AMEND THE PROPOSED RESOLUTION ON SCHOOL-RELATED FUND RAISING

Dr. Shoenberg moved and Mrs. Praisner seconded that the proposed resolution on school-related fund raising be amended by deleting the last two RESOLVED clauses.

Mr. Ewing asked that the question be divided.

RESOLUTION NO. 307-89 Re: AN AMENDMENT TO THE PROPOSED RESOLUTION ON SCHOOL-RELATED FUND RAISING

On motion of Dr. Shoenberg seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted with Dr. Cronin, Mrs. DiFonzo, Mrs. Praisner, and Dr. Shoenberg voting in the affirmative; Mr. Ewing, Mr. Goldensohn, Mrs. Hobbs, and (Mr. Park) voting in the negative:

RESOLVED, That the proposed resolution on school-related fund raising be amended by deleting the third RESOLVED clause.

RESOLUTION NO. 308-89 Re: AN AMENDMENT TO THE PROPOSED RESOLUTION ON SCHOOL-RELATED FUND RAISING

On motion of Dr. Shoenberg seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted with Dr. Cronin, Mrs. DiFonzo, Mrs. Praisner, and Dr. Shoenberg voting in the affirmative; Mr. Ewing, Mr. Goldensohn, Mrs. Hobbs, and (Mr. Park) abstaining:

RESOLVED, That the proposed resolution on school-related fund raising be amended by deleting the last RESOLVED clause.

Re: A MOTION BY DR. SHOENBERG TO AMEND THE PROPOSED POLICY ON SCHOOL-RELATED FUND RAISING

Dr. Shoenberg moved and Mrs. DiFonzo seconded that the proposed policy on school-related fund raising be amended by substituting "The Board of Education discourages school-sponsored door-to-door sales by elementary students and encourages other organization that raise money for school use to adopt this position" for "i) Fund raising activities are not to include door-to-door sales by any students."

> Re: A MOTION BY MR. GOLDENSOHN TO AMEND DR. SHOENBERG'S MOTION (FAILED)

A motion by Mr. Goldensohn to substitute "K-6" for "elementary" in Dr. Shoenberg's motion failed with Dr. Cronin and Mr. Goldensohn voting in the affirmative; Mrs. DiFonzo and Dr. Shoenberg voting in the negative; Mr. Ewing, Mrs. Hobbs, (Mr. Park), and Mrs. Praisner abstaining.

Re: A SUBSTITUTE MOTION BY MR. GOLDENSOHN

TO AMEND THE PROPOSED POLICY ON SCHOOL-RELATED FUND RAISING (FAILED)

A substitute motion by Mr. Goldensohn to amend the proposed policy on school-related fund raising by substituting "Fund raising activities that include unsolicited residential door-to-door sales by any students without parental escort are to be discouraged" failed for lack of a second.

> Re: A MOTION BY DR. SHOENBERG TO AMEND THE PROPOSED POLICY ON SCHOOL-RELATED FUND RAISING (FAILED)

A motion by Dr. Shoenberg to substitute "The Board of Education discourages school-sponsored door-to-door sales by elementary students and encourages other organizations that raise money for school use to adopt this position" for "i) Fund raising activities are not to include door-to-door sales by any students" failed with Mrs. DiFonzo, Mrs. Hobbs, (Mr. Park), and Dr. Shoenberg voting in the affirmative; Dr. Cronin, Mr. Ewing, Mr. Goldensohn, and Mrs. Praisner voting in the negative.

RESOLUTION NO. 309-89 Re: AN AMENDMENT TO THE PROPOSED POLICY ON SCHOOL-RELATED FUND RAISING

On motion of Mrs. Hobbs seconded by Mr. Goldensohn, the following resolution was adopted with Dr. Cronin, Mr. Goldensohn, Mrs. Hobbs, (Mr. Park), and Dr. Shoenberg voting in the affirmative; Mrs. DiFonzo, Mr. Ewing, and Mrs. Praisner voting in the negative:

RESOLVED, That the proposed policy on school-related fund raising be amended by adding "residential" before door-to-door" in Section 3 (i).

RESOLUTION NO. 310-89 Re: AN AMENDMENT TO THE PROPOSED RESOLUTION ON SCHOOL-RELATED FUND RAISING

On motion of Mrs. Praisner seconded by Dr. Cronin, the following resolution was adopted with Dr. Cronin, Mrs. DiFonzo, Mrs. Hobbs, (Mr. Park), and Mrs. Praisner voting in the affirmative; Mr. Ewing and Dr. Shoenberg voting in the negative; Mr. Goldensohn abstaining:

RESOLVED, That the proposed resolution on school-related fund raising be amended by the addition of the following RESOLVED clause:

RESOLVED, That the policy come back to the Board in one year for review of its implementation.

RESOLUTION NO. 311-89 Re: SCHOOL-RELATED FUND RAISING On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Praisner seconded by Mrs. DiFonzo, the following resolution was adopted with Dr. Cronin, Mr. Goldensohn, Mrs. Hobbs, (Mr. Park), Mrs. Praisner, and Dr. Shoenberg voting in the affirmative; Mr. Ewing and Mrs. DiFonzo* voting in the negative:

*For the record, Mrs. DiFonzo stated that the policy was unenforceable the way it was written and would cause more problems than it solved.

WHEREAS, The current Board of Education policy on fund raising was adopted in 1960 and has not been changed since that time; and

WHEREAS, The current Board policy does not coincide with the extensive fund raising activities that go on in our schools today; and

WHEREAS, A committee including representatives from the Montgomery County Council of Parent Teacher Associations, the Secondary School Administrators Association, the Elementary School Administrators Association, the Deputy Superintendent's office, and the Division of Administrative Analysis and Audits has drafted a new policy; and

WHEREAS, The draft policy was circulated among school personnel, PTA representatives, and community members for comments; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the following policy on Fund Raising be adopted; and be it further

RESOLVED, That Resolution No. 683b-60 be rescinded; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the policy come back to the Board in one year for review of its implementation.

POLICY CND

SCHOOL-RELATED FUND RAISING

A. Purpose

To provide guidelines for school-related fund raising activities and to ensure that fund raising activities do not interfere with the instructional program or the responsibilities of MCPS staff

B. Process and Content

The Board of Education recognizes that student organizations and parent groups sometimes provide financial support for school activities in Montgomery County. The Board also recognizes that some activities, such as school fairs, are important because they promote parent participation and result in increasing school and community spirit in addition to any amounts of money they raise for the school.

While many schools and PTAs have emphasized fund raising in the past, raising money is not their primary focus; and school staff should not become dependent on these funds, nor rely on them to

purchase materials and instructional equipment. Parent groups are not expected to raise funds to support specific programs or purchase materials to enhance the instructional program. MCPS students are not expected to raise funds.

- 1. Recognized Purposes for School-related Fund Raising
 - a) Supporting activities of school organizations or clubs
 - b) Supporting activities that benefit the student body
 - c) Providing supplemental funds to help defray the costs of optional activities that enhance MCPS programs
 - d) Providing supplemental materials or equipment that enhance the instructional program or the administrative functions of the school
 - e) Providing supplemental support for staff to participate in professional development activities
- 2. Examples of Appropriate Fund Raising Groups
 - a) School-sponsored organizations and clubs
 - b) Individual school classes or grade-level groups
 - c) Parent-teacher associations
 - d) Other parent-sponsored organizations, such as booster clubs
 - e) Countywide student organizations
- 3. Additional Guidelines for Fund Raising Activities
 - a) The principal must authorize in advance and in accordance with this policy all fund raising activities conducted by school-sponsored organizations or clubs and individual school classes or grade-level groups.
 - b) The deputy superintendent must authorize in advance all fund raising activities conducted by countywide student organizations.
 - c) The PTA, Booster Club, or other parent-sponsored organizations will plan and supervise all fund raising activities sponsored by these organizations. The principal will coordinate each activity in advance with the leadership of the organization to make certain that these activities do not interfere with the instructional program or previously planned school-sponsored fund raising activities. The principal will be responsible for informing the leadership when he/she feels the

activity is not appropriate.

- d) Representatives of a PTA or other parent-sponsored activity or a school club or organization will handle the daily collections of sales to avoid using or impacting instructional time, either directly or indirectly.
- e) All funds received by a school shall be managed in accordance with the MANUAL OF POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR ADMINISTERING INDEPENDENT ACTIVITY FUNDS.
- f) If funds are used to purchase supplemental educational materials or equipment that enhance the instructional program, or if such materials or equipment are donated, they become the property of MCPS and must be integrated into the MCPS curriculum. All materials and equipment must be approved for use in MCPS, must conform to appropriate standards, specifications, and guidelines, and must be appropriate to the curriculum in the grades where they are to be used. The guidelines established by the Division of Procurement must be followed whenever a school's independent activity funds are used to purchase materials and equipment.
- g) Funds raised by fund raising groups cannot be used to employ anyone to work in the schools during the regular school day.
- h) Principals must inform parents that neither the school nor MCPS endorses the products that are sold or sanctions the services that are offered by businesses.
- i) Fund raising activities are not to include residential door-to-door sales by any students.
- 4. Implementation of These Guidelines

The superintendent will establish whatever administrative regulations or other administrative procedures may be necessary for carrying out the fund raising guidelines outlined in this policy.

C. Review and Reporting

This policy will be reviewed every three years in accordance with the Board of Education policy review process.

Re: STUDENT RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Dr. Pitt explained that this was the biennial review of the student rights policy. The superintendent had the responsibility to form a committee and review the policy. The committee had made one recommendation which he could not support. The recommendation was that the time frame be changed in responding to student recommendations and petitions from five days to ten days.

Mr. James Terrill, chair of the committee, introduced Mr. Mike Michaelson, administrative assistant for student affairs and Dan Elsberg, president of MCJC.

In regard to the recommendation for an abbreviated form of the student rights document, Mrs. Praisner asked who had prepared the sample and whether they were proposing that this be the abbreviated form. Mr. Michaelson replied that this was an idea that came out of an MCR general assembly meeting about two years ago. A Blair student had generated the model before the Board, and it was just a model. Mrs. Praisner thought it was an excellent idea, but she wondered who would review the abbreviated form. Mr. Michaelson assumed that the form would come to the Board. Mr. Terrill added that the policy would not change, but the abbreviated form would give better visibility to the policy.

In regard to Mr. Lawrence's concern about the inclusion of a statement on privacy, Mrs. Praisner was not sure she understood the superintendent's rationale for not including it. Dr. Pitt replied that he had looked at what he could not agree with in the committee's recommendations. He did not have a major issue with Mr. Lawrence's concern. He also pointed out that there was a change in the discipline section. Mr. Terrill added that the committee was asked to look the policy. The letter referred to consisted of guidelines for staff to be using. The committee felt that the letter was a guideline and was not stated in the form of student rights.

It seemed to Mrs. Praisner there was nothing wrong with including that kind of information for students. She would rather err on the side of including more information for students. She was not sure she agreed with Mr. Lawrence's recommendation as to where it should be included, and she was still searching for the appropriate place to include it. Dr. Pitt suggested that staff be given an opportunity to rewrite that section and bring it back to the Board for approval. A number of Board members agreed that the statement should be included in the policy and should be brought back to the Board for approval.

Other Board members suggested making reference to the statement in the policy. Dr. Pitt agreed that staff would come back to the Board with a statement showing where this item would be in the policy or where it would be if it were not going to be in the policy. Mrs. Hobbs called attention to the statement on attendance. She suggested adding a statement that "students have the right to a free and public education up to the age of 21." She explained that this statement was in the ANNOTATED CODE of Maryland. Dr. Cronin suggested that this might be the lead sentence. He said that the Board would vote on these recommendations at the next meeting. Dr. Pitt requested the opportunity to comment on the suggestion made by Mrs. Hobbs.

Mrs. DiFonzo noted that the committee was recommending sanctions against youngsters who had functioned improperly outside of school.

She asked if MCPS was responsible for students during non-school hours if MCPS assumed the right to discipline students for non-school infractions. She added that they had cases where youngsters were arrested during the weekend for drug sales in shopping centers, and the principal made the case that the youngster should be excluded from school. She wondered about the due process rights of students. Ms. Judy Bresler, Board attorney, replied that the area within which principals could take disciplinary action did not mean the school system was responsible in the sense of having control over those non-school related activities. It did permit the school system to react under certain circumstances to what goes on outside of school or off school property which was not the case at the present time. She said that the second part was interesting in that the statement related more to matters of proof. In Mrs. DiFonzo's scenario about the shopping center, there would have to be provable fact and not just allegations. They did have a process which provided for due process. This would be handled like any other suspension or recommendation for expulsion. The factual basis for that would be enlarged to a greater extent than it currently was.

Mrs. DiFonzo said she would be interested in receiving the reactions of the secondary principals about the proposed change. She was concerned about the level of responsibility that would accrue to MCPS and to its administrators, specifically at the secondary level. Dr. Vance agreed to provide that reaction.

Mr. Ewing commented that this was one they might want to avoid altogether. At the Metropolitan Area Boards of Education conference on May 10, the general counsel for the National School Boards Association warned against this kind of policy on the grounds that it was so difficult to develop adequate proof. He thought they might be in for a lot of trouble if they adopted this provision.

Dr. Shoenberg said the only sanction they would want to impose under this additional clause would be exclusion of the student from the school. It would be invoked when a student posed a danger to the school because of activities outside the school. Otherwise, there would be no need to take any intermediate disciplinary action.

Mr. Terrill reported that the committee had spent a good deal of time on this issue. The committee was concerned about an increase in the severity of cases occurring outside of school boundaries that could be a threat to the school. Principals already had the means to exclude students from school who posed a threat or a danger to the students within the school setting. However, if they took away the last line "unless there is a reasonable belief that the health or safety of students will be compromised in the school setting" they were giving a message to students that it did not matter what they did. The committee, particularly the MCCPTA representatives, wanted to say that everyone was responsible for actions beyond school hours. This had come about because of exclusion from school activities. This was occurring now because students were being excluded from participating in sports if they were drinking at a party on a certain night. Ms. Bresler explained that this was allowed because it was disciplinary.

Mrs. Praisner asked if it would be the principal's decision as to whether there was a reasonable belief about safety. Ms. Bresler replied that it would be initially. Mrs. Praisner pointed out that appeal procedures would be available, and Ms. Bresler agreed. Mrs. Praisner indicated that she would like comments from principals and the community prior to the Board's action. Dr. Pitt indicated that he would like some further legal advice on this as well.

Dr. Cronin asked that staff secure reactions now to committee recommendations. When the Board voted, the changes would be sent out for a second round of comments.

RESOLUTION NO. 312-89 Re: CABLECASTING OF BOARD MEETINGS

On motion of Mrs. DiFonzo seconded by Mr. Goldensohn, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the staff be directed to plan a system of three remotely operated cameras to be housed in the rear projection room of the Board Room; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the staff initiate a bid process to have the room ready for cablecasting by September; and be it further

RESOLVED, That a Board committee of three be appointed to recommend to the full Board the types of meetings that would be cablecasted.

Re: RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE FISCAL 1990 OPERATING BUDGET

Mrs. Praisner moved and Dr. Shoenberg seconded the following:

WHEREAS, The Board of Education's Fiscal 1990 Operating Budget was adopted on February 14, 1989, in the amount of \$647,158,759; and

WHEREAS, In appropriating \$643,544,758 for the Board of Education's Fiscal 1990 Operating Budget the County Council made reductions of \$3,614,001 from the various State budget categories as shown in the following schedule:

		BOE Approved As of 2/14/89	Council Reductions	Council Approved On 5/15/89
01	Systemwide Services	\$ 32,612,953	\$ (441,299)	\$ 32,171,654
02	Instruct. Salaries	342,753,268	(1,994,789)	340,758,479
03	Other Instruct. Costs	19,044,065	(206,672)	18,837,393
04	Special Education	69,410,893	(398,645)	69,012,248
05	Student Pers. Services	1,708,431	(17,446)	1,690,985
06	Health Services	44,648	0	44,648
07	Student Transportation	29,296,040	0	29,296,040

08	Operation of Plant	43,619,212	(282,842)	43,336,370
09	Maintenance of Plant	16,400,832	(4,320)	16,396,512
10	Fixed Charges	73,144,096	(255,988)	72,888,108
11	Food Services	638,006	0	638,006
14	Community Services	658,577	(12,000)	646,577
61	Food Service Fund	17,827,738	0	17,827,738
	TOTAL	\$647,158,759	(\$3,614,001)	\$643,544,758

now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Education adopt its Fiscal 1990 Operating Budget as approved by the County Council on May 15, 1989, according to the details shown in Schedule A, in the amount of \$643,544,758; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the county executive and County Council be informed of this action.

RESOLUTION NO. 313-89 Re: AN AMENDMENT TO THE FY 1990 OPERATING BUDGET

On motion of Mrs. Praisner seconded by Mrs. Hobbs, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the FY 1990 Operating Budget be amended by substituting the following:

\$5,000 for CRI Resource Center Materials \$20,000 for Materials for Professional Library \$10,000 for Supplies and Materials \$6,905 for Part-time Salaries in OIPD \$6,900 for Part-time Salaries in Office of Supportive Services

for the proposed reduction of one Training Specialist I - Staff Development, Category 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 314-89 Re: FISCAL 1990 OPERATING BUDGET

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Praisner seconded by Dr. Shoenberg, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The Board of Education's Fiscal 1990 Operating Budget was adopted on February 14, 1989, in the amount of \$647,158,759; and

WHEREAS, In appropriating \$643,544,758 for the Board of Education's Fiscal 1990 Operating Budget the County Council made reductions of \$3,614,001 from the various State budget categories as shown in the following schedule:

				Council
		BOE Approved	Council	Approved
		As of 2/14/89	Reductions	On 5/15/89
01	Systemwide Services	\$ 32,612,953	\$ (441,299)	\$ 32,171,654
02	Instruct. Salaries	342,753,268	(1,994,789)	340,758,479
03	Other Instruct. Costs	19,044,065	(206,672)	18,837,393
04	Special Education	69,410,893	(398,645)	69,012,248
05	Student Pers. Services	1,708,431	(17,446)	1,690,985
06	Health Services	44,648	0	44,648
07	Student Transportation	29,296,040	0	29,296,040
08	Operation of Plant	43,619,212	(282,842)	43,336,370
09	Maintenance of Plant	16,400,832	(4,320)	16,396,512
10	Fixed Charges	73,144,096	(255,988)	72,888,108
11	Food Services	638,006	0	638,006
14	Community Services	658,577	(12,000)	646,577
61	Food Service Fund	17,827,738	0	17,827,738
	TOTAL	\$647,158,759	(\$3,614,001)	\$643,544,758

now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Education adopt its Fiscal 1990 Operating Budget as approved by the County Council on May 15, 1989, according to the details shown in Schedule A, in the amount of \$643,544,758; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the county executive and County Council be informed of this action.

Re: BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS

1. Mrs. DiFonzo reported that she had spent the earlier part of last week visiting the Upper Merion schools. This was one of the school systems with which Montgomery County was unfavorably compared by MCCPTA because of a national book which listed the Upper Merion schools as having an 11 to 1 pupil/teacher ratio. She had spoken with their superintendent, and they had no classes with an average of 11 to 1. They did not have a system-wide class size of 11 to 1. She said that it was very dangerous to draw conclusions based on what one read. She would develop a written report that she would make available to the Board.

2. Mr. Goldensohn stated that on Sunday he and Mr. Ewing and Mrs. Hobbs had attended the dedication ceremony at Goshen Elementary School. It was the largest turnout they had ever seen at a dedication ceremony because it overfilled the gymnasium. The Goshen student government association decided to send a check to Children's Hospital instead of buying something for the school. They hoped this would become a tradition.

3. Mr. Ewing reported that about 100 people had attended the Metropolitan Area Boards of Education forum on school safety and security on May 10. Dr. Pitt was one of the speakers. He now had a

collection of policies from about five school districts covering all of their safety and security arrangements. This was available to the Board and the public through the Board Office. The final report of the forum would be available in about a month to six weeks.

4. In regard to the Goshen dedication, Mr. Ewing said it was a pleasure to see Harrison King, a former Board member, in the audience.

5. Mrs. Praisner said that she and Mr. Goldensohn had represented the Board at the Summer Search program. This was a QIE program that helped to provide exceptional opportunities during the summer for gifted and talented students to attend programs on college campuses during the summer months. The program was consistent with and supported much of the Board's priorities.

6. Mrs. Praisner asked when the Board would discuss the size of all purpose rooms. She would hate to see more schools further down the road before the Board had had an opportunity to discuss this issue. Dr. Pitt assured her that the discussion was on a future agenda.

RESOLUTION NO. 315-89 Re: EXECUTIVE SESSION - JUNE 13, 1989

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. DiFonzo seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The Board of Education of Montgomery County is authorized by Section 10-508, State Government Article of the ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND to conduct certain of its meetings in executive closed session; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Education of Montgomery County hereby conduct its meeting in executive closed session beginning on June 13, 1989, at noon to discuss, consider, deliberate, and/or otherwise decide the employment, assignment, appointment, promotion, demotion, compensation, discipline, removal, or resignation of employees, appointees, or officials over whom it has jurisdiction, or any other personnel matter affecting one or more particular individuals and to comply with a specific constitutional, statutory or judicially imposed requirement that prevents public disclosures about a particular proceeding or matter as permitted under the State Government Article, Section 10-508; and that such meeting shall continue in executive closed session until the completion of business.

Dr. Shoenberg assumed the chair.

RESOLUTION NO. 316-89 Re: MINUTES OF APRIL 24, 1989

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mr. Park, the following resolution was adopted with Dr. Cronin, Mr. Ewing, Mr. Goldensohn, Mrs. Hobbs, (Mr. Park), Mrs. Praisner, and Dr. Shoenberg voting in the affirmative; Mrs. DiFonzo abstaining:

RESOLVED, That the minutes of April 24, 1989, be approved.

Dr. Cronin assumed the chair.

Re: NEW BUSINESS

Mr. Ewing moved and Mr. Goldensohn seconded the following:

RESOLVED, That the Board support the need for asbestos testing, especially the asbestos monitor requested at Stone Mill Elementary School.

RESOLUTION NO. 317-89 Re: PUBLIC COMMENTS ON MINORITY ACHIEVEMENT

On motion of Mr. Ewing seconded by Mrs. Hobbs, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

RESOLVED, That the Board schedule a time to hear from the public on the subject of minority achievement and minority education.

Re: ITEMS OF INFORMATION

Board members received the following items of information:

1. Analysis of CAT Scores

2. Report on Voluntary Ban on Tobacco

Re: ADJOURNMENT

The president adjourned the meeting to an executive session at 11:40 p.m.

PRESIDENT

SECRETARY

HP:mlw