

Resolved, That the Board of Education enter into a contractual agreement with the firm of Victor Smolen and Associates to provide required design services and administration of the construction contract for the lump sum of \$29,000 for the Springbrook High School Gymnasium project; and be it further

Resolved, That the State Interagency Committee for Public School Construction be informed of this appointment.

Resolution No. 393-84 Re: Architectural Appointment -
Twinbrook Elementary School (Area
2)

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Ewing seconded by Mrs. Shannon, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, It is necessary to appoint an architect to provide required design services and administration of the construction contract for the Twinbrook Elementary School modernization project; and

WHEREAS, Staff has employed the Architect/Engineer Selection Procedures approved by the Board of Education in November, 1975; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education enter into a contractual agreement with the firm of Arley J. Koran, Inc. to provide required design services and administration of the construction contract for the lump sum of \$85,750 for the Twinbrook Elementary School project; and be it further

Resolved, That the State Interagency Committee for Public School Construction be informed of this appointment.

Resolution No. 394-84 Re: Continuation of Contract - Energy
Management Program

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Ewing seconded by Mrs. Shannon, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The Board of Education in FY 1978 awarded a contract to Computerized Electrical Energy Systems, Inc., now Complete Building Services, Inc., (CBS) to furnish and install an energy management computer and system; and

WHEREAS, CBS has agreed to extend the unit equipment prices quoted in its original bid with an agreement that equipment which has a cost lower than that quoted in the original bid will be provided at the new, lower cost; and

WHEREAS, CBS is the only vendor qualified to effect software/equipment changes to the computerized energy management

system without nullifying the original equipment warranties; and WHEREAS, CBS has performed satisfactorily under the existing contract; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the contract with Complete Building Services, Inc., for expansion of the computerized energy management system be extended from July 1, 1984, to June 30, 1985, to connect additional schools (approximately 25) utilizing funds appropriated in the FY 1985 Capital Budget for this purpose (\$700,000).

Resolution No. 395-84 Re: Asbestos Abatement - Takoma Park Junior High School (Area 1) and Col. Zadok Magruder High School (Area 3)

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Ewing seconded by Mrs. Shannon, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Sealed bids were received on July 17, for asbestos abatement at Takoma Park Junior High and Col. Zadok Magruder High Schools as indicated below:

Bidders	Proposal A	Proposal B
		Takoma Park Jr. Magruder High
1. John L. Renshaw, Inc.	\$ 43,211*	\$ 7,210
2. Ruskey & Co. Builders, Inc.	43,900	6,600*
3. Darwin Construction Company, Inc.	110,000	24,000

* Recommended award

and,

WHEREAS, The low bidders, John L. Renshaw, Inc., and Ruskey & Co. Builder, Inc., have performed similar projects satisfactorily; and

WHEREAS, Low bid is within staff estimate but sufficient funds are not available in the asbestos abatement account thus requiring a transfer from the Local Unliquidated Surplus Account; now therefore be it

Resolved, That a contract for \$43,211 be awarded to John L. Renshaw, Inc., to accomplish asbestos abatement at the Takoma Park Junior High School, in accordance with plans and specifications dated July 2, 1984, prepared by the Department of School Facilities; and be it further

Resolved, That a contract for \$6,600 be awarded to Ruskey & Co. Builders, Inc., to accomplish asbestos abatement at the Col. Zadok Magruder High School, in accordance with plans and specifications dated July 2, 1984, prepared by the Department of School Facilities; and be it further

Resolved, That in order for this work to be completed prior to school opening, that the contracts be temporarily charged to Local Capital Improvements Account, 999-18, pending approval of a transfer from the Local Unliquidated Surplus Account, 997-01; and be it further

Resolved, That the county executive be requested to recommend approval of a transfer of \$49,811 from Local Unliquidated Surplus Account, 997-01, to asbestos abatement account, 999-28.

Resolution No. 396-84 Re: Lake Seneca and Flower Hill
Elementary Schools (Area 3)

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Ewing seconded by Mrs. Shannon, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Sealed bids were received on July 12 for the new Lake Seneca and Flower Hill Elementary Schools as indicated below:

Bidder	Job	Base Bid	Total*
Jesse Dustin	L.S.	\$3,846,000	\$4,324,800

The following are add Alternates to the bid:

\$24,000(1)	\$26,500(2)	\$16,000(3)	\$34,100(4)
\$73,000(5)			
\$61,000(6)	\$51,100(7)	\$7,000 (8)	\$121,400(9)
\$15,000(10)			
\$49,700(11)			

Bidder	Job	Base Bid	Total*
Jesse Dustin	F.H.	\$3,844,000	\$4,326,100

The following are add Alternates to the bid:

\$24,000(1)	\$29,200(2)	\$16,300(3)	\$38,600(4)
\$67,000(5)			
\$61,000(6)	\$50,000(7)	\$7,000(8)	\$121,000(9)
\$15,000(10)			
\$53,000(11)			

Bidder	Job	Base Bid	Total*
Jesse Dustin	Comb.	\$7,650,000	\$8,606,900

The following are add Alternates to the bid:

\$47,700(1)	\$55,400(2)	\$32,100(3)	\$72,000(4)
\$140,000(5)			
\$122,000(6)	\$100,600(7)	\$13,900(8)	\$241,200(9)
\$29,800(10)			
\$102,200(11)			

Bidder	Job	Base Bid	Total*
Kimmel & Kimmel, Inc.	Comb.	\$7,746,000	\$8,900,300

The following are add Alternates to the bid:

\$43,500(1) \$46,000(2) \$47,900(3) \$111,000(4)
\$154,200(5)
\$246,000(6) \$103,700(7) \$16,000(8) \$238,000(9)
\$37,000(10)
\$111,000(11)

Bidder	Job	Base Bid	Total*
Perini Construction Incorporated	Comb.	\$7,828,000	\$8,957,400

The following are add Alternates to the Bid:

\$63,000(1) \$51,000(2) \$47,000(3) \$139,000(4)
\$148,900(5)
\$187,900(6) \$105,000(7) \$18,000(8) \$265,00(9)
\$25,000(10)
\$88,000(11)

Bidder	Job	Base Bid	Total*
N. S. Stavrou Construction Co., Inc.		L.S. \$3,961,000	\$4,558,500

The following are add Alternates to the bid:

\$23,000(1) \$24,000(2) \$28,000(3) \$36,000(4)
\$92,000(5)
\$143,00(6) \$52,000(7) \$8,500(8) \$120,000(9)
\$16,000(10)
\$55,000(11)

* Indicates acceptance of base bid and alternates 1 through 11, inclusive.

Description of Alternates:

- Add Alternate 1: Operable Walls and Carpets
- Add Alternate 2: Lighting Management System
- Add Alternate 3: Building Canopies
- Add Alternate 4: Skylights, gym cupola, classroom exhaust fan system
- Add Alternate 5: Air conditioning
- Add Alternate 6: Energy Package
- Add Alternate 7: Kitchen equipment, appliances and unit kitchen
- Add Alternate 8: Athletic flooring
- Add Alternate 9: Paving, landscaping, flagpoles, and miscellaneous site equipment
- Add Alternate 10: Glazed CMU and corridor acoustic CMU
- Add Alternate 11: Miscellaneous Equipment

and

WHEREAS, The low bidder, Jesse Dustin & Son, Inc., has completed numerous projects for Montgomery County Public Schools, the last being Gaithersburg Elementary School, and sufficient funds exist to effect contract awards; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the county executive be requested to recommend approval of a transfer of \$37,303 from Local Unliquidated Surplus Account, 997-01, to Oak View Elementary, 766-11.

Resolution No. 398-84 Re: Award of Procurement Contracts
over \$25,000

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Shoenberg seconded by Mrs. Shannon, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Funds have been budgeted for the purchase of equipment, supplies, and contractual services; now therefore be it

Resolved, That having been duly advertised, the contracts be awarded to the low bidders meeting specifications as shown for the bids and RFP's as follows:

162-84	Milk, Milk Shake Mixes, Cottage Cheese, Yogurt, and Fruit Juices	
	Name of Vendor(s)	Dollar Value of Contracts
	Shenandoah's Pride Dairy	\$1,285,510
163-84	Tuna Fish, Canned	
	Name of Vendor(s)	
	Smelkinson Bros. Corp.	\$ 33,725
164-84	Groceries and Staples	
	Name of Vendor(s)	
	Carroll County Foods	\$ 15,775
	I. Feldman & Co., Inc.	7,767
	Frederick Produce Co., Inc.	161,823
	Mazo-Lerch Co., Inc.	2,121
	Severo Quality Foods, Inc.	951
	John Sexton and Co.	4,141
	Smelkinson Bros. Corp.	19,268
	Stanley Foods & Equipment Co., Inc.	2,026
	TOTAL	\$ 213,872
165-84	Frozen Baked Items	
	Name of Vendor(s)	
	Granny's Kitchens, Ltd.	\$ 33,990
	Kitchens of Sara Lee	9,792
	Readi-Bake, Inc.	14,750
	TOTAL	\$ 58,532
166-84	Frozen Fruits, Vegetables, and Other Frozen Goods	
	Name of Vendor(s)	
	Edward Boker Frosted Foods, Inc.	\$ 32,917
	Frederick Produce Co., Inc.	41,374
	Mazo-Lerch Co., Inc.	7,940

	Smelkinson Bros. Corp.	35,557
	TOTAL	\$ 117,788
167-84	Poultry Products	
	Name of Vendor(s)	
	Dutterers of Manchester	\$ 13,920
	Mazo-Lerch Co., Inc.	105,600
	TOTAL	\$ 119,520
169-84	Bread and Rolls	
	Name of Vendor(s)	
	Schmidt Baking Co., Inc.	\$ 302,971
174-84	Snack Foods, Chips, and Popcorn	
	Name of Vendor(s)	
	Herr Foods, Inc.	\$ 189,345
175-84	Canned Assorted Fruits	
	Name of Vendor(s)	
	Smelkinson Bros. Corp.	\$ 29,999
176-84	Canned Fruits, Vegetables, Juices, and Soups	
	Name of Vendor(s)	
	Embassy Grocery Corp.	\$ 5,913
	I. Feldman & Co., Inc.	20,432
	Frederick Produce Co, Inc.	48,480
	A. Litteri, Inc.	586
	Mazo-Lerch Co., Inc.	44,642
	John Sexton & Co.	2,314
	Smelkinson Bros. Corp.	24,960
	TOTAL	\$ 147,327
177-84	Frozen Fish	
	Name of Vendor(s)	
	Edward Boker Frosted Foods, Inc.	\$ 37,128
179-84	Cafeteria Disposable Supplies	
	Name of Vendor(s)	
	Acme Paper & Supply Co., Inc.	\$ 163,591
	Kahn Paper Co.	98,838
	Monumental Paper Co.	33,100
	VSA, Inc.	3,212
	TOTAL	\$ 298,741
180-84	Chicken Nuggets	
	Name of Vendor(s)	
	Chaimson Brokerage Co., Inc.	\$ 167,388
181-84	Frozen French Fried Potatoes	
	Name of Vendor(s)	
	Miller's Pre-Prepared Potato Co., Inc.	\$ 207,180
188-84	Processed Meats	
	Name of Vendor(s)	

Doughties Barbecue of Maryland, Inc.	\$	2,180
Mazo-Lerch Co., Inc.		2,910
A. W. Schmidt & Son, Inc.		2,036
Vienna Sausage Mfg. Co.		4,920
TOTAL	\$	12,046

GRAND TOTAL		\$3,221,072
-------------	--	-------------

Resolution No. 399-84 Re: Submission of an FY 1985 Grant Proposal for Staff Training to Improve Instruction for LEP Students

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Shoenberg seconded by Mrs. Shannon, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the superintendent of schools be authorized to submit an FY 1985 grant proposed entitled School Staff Training Program to Improve Academic Instruction to LEP Students; and be it further

Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the county executive and the County Council.

Resolution No. 400-84 Re: Submission of an FY 1985 Grant Proposal to Institute an Individualized Professional Development Program for Teachers

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Shoenberg seconded by Mrs. Shannon, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the superintendent of schools be authorized to submit an FY 1985 grant proposal for approximately \$76,146 to the U.S. Department of Education under the Secretary's Discretionary Program to institute an individualized professional development program for teachers; and be it further

Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the county executive and the County Council.

Resolution No. 401-84 Re: Utilization of a Portion of the FY 1985 Appropriation for Projected Supported Projects for a Chinese Language Course

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Shoenberg seconded by Mrs. Shannon, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the superintendent of schools be authorized to receive and expend, within the FY 1985 Appropriation for Projected Supported Projects, a \$40,000 grant award from the Geraldine R.

Dodge Foundation to begin MCPS Mandarin Chinese language instruction in the following categories:

Category	Position	Amount
02 Instructional Salaries	.7*	\$20,962
03 Instructional Other		11,026
10 Fixed Charges		8,012
	Total	\$40,000

* Teacher (A-D)

and be it further

Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the county executive and the County Council.

Re: Board/Press/Visitor Conference

The following individuals appeared before the Board of Education:

1. Andy Shorr
2. Malcolm Lawrence, Maryland Coalition of Parents on Privacy Rights
3. Patricia Holland
4. Marisa Cade
5. Janette Player
6. Gail Landay, Montgomery County Chapter, NOW

Resolution No. 402-84

Re: Personnel Appointments,
Reassignments, and Transfers

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Peyser seconded by Dr. Shoenberg, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the following personnel appointments, reassignment, and transfers be approved:

Appointment	Present Position	As
Renee Brimfield	Teacher, French Seneca Valley High School	Coordinator of New Pro- gram Development Office of Assoc. Supt. for Instruc. & Program Development Grade N Effective July 24, 1984
Jennie H. Fleming	Acting Adminis. Asst.	Principal

Area 3 Office

Diamond
Elementary
Effective July
24, 1984

Temporary Reassignment for the 1984-1985 School Year

Name and Present Position	Effective Position	Effective Position
	July 24, 1984	July 1, 1985
Leon Clay A&S Teacher Pyle Intermediate	Assistant Principal Julius West Middle School	Principal or other A&S position for which qualified
Transfer	From	To
Sarah Pinkney-Murkey	Assistant Principal Westland Intermediate	Assistant Principal Rockville High School Effective July 24, 1984
Roger Gessay	Assistant Principal Rockville High School	Assistant Principal Westland Intermediate Effective July 24, 1984

Re: Arts Concept Paper

Dr. Cody explained that after the County Council had acted it was their belief they needed to take a step back and look at an arts center as a concept for the school system or for the whole county. Dr. Lois Martin and her staff had prepared a paper which proposed four different models.

Mr. Richard Pioli, director of the Division of Aesthetic Education, stated that Proposal A looked at certain existing instructional programs and at programs they thought should exist. These would include a visual arts center, a new TAPESTRY program for JIM schools, a senior high center for the dance, and a new arts and interdisciplinary magnet school. Proposal B would take many programs and develop them in a shared facility. This would include the Connecticut Park Center School expanded to serve 9-12, the Visual Arts Center expanded to serve 7-8, the Arts and Interdisciplinary Magnet School, TAPESTRY, the Dance Center, and a Visual and Performing Arts and Communication Center, similar in function to the Edison Center. Proposal C is a proposal for a high school for a Visual and Performing Arts and Communication. This

would operate in an existing underutilized high school. Proposal D is a combination of the community and/or the MCPS community using excess school space.

Mr. Ewing asked about the proposal most feasible and most attractive from the point of view of meeting the real needs of MCPS students. Mr. Pioli replied that probably Proposal B was the most favorably accepted proposal. It would provide for growth and expansion of existing programs. He added part of that would be the component which shared the facility with community groups such as practicing arts. This would provide them with artists in residence who could assist staff in instruction. The other part was the art center concept because staff was disposed to have a center for the arts similar to the Edison Center for vocational education. He explained that there would be transportation costs and there were mixed feelings about taking the cream of the crop away from other high schools. There were also concerns about diminished funds after a program was started. However, the most popular option was Proposal B with the community component built in.

Mr. Ewing asked whether they were thinking of a high school or a large junior high school. Mr. Pioli replied that they were looking at a large junior high school or a high school building.

Mrs. Shannon commented that each proposal had advantages and disadvantages. However, she did not know where each proposal fit into the total plan for MCPS. It seemed to her that they were looking at the arts in isolation, and she needed a systems approach to planning. What was missing was the impact on other programs. Dr. Cody stated that from today's discussion they expected to get some indication from the Board on a favored approach. In which case they would produce a more fully developed plan. They had discussed how to build into a planning process a series of questions so that any plan would not be developed in isolation.

Mrs. Praisner said they were talking about the next step being a feasibility study. Mrs. Shannon was talking about the impact on programs, budgets, and other magnets. Mrs. Shannon explained that she could not make a selection from the four options without some additional information.

Dr. Shoenberg recalled that the Board had arrived at this discussion because a Council member had decided to do something with a particular school. On the other side of the coin they had the whole question of adding a school back into their inventory of operating schools. They had other Board interests in special programs including a magnet program in the northern part of the county. He asked how the proposals related to those other issues. While he found the proposals attractive, he would have to ask in place of what were they going to do these things and how this fit into the superintendent's budget picture. He wanted to know how these programs had an impact on other programs and how they stood in relation to the Board's priorities. Mr. Ewing noted that another sobering point was that the \$1 million allocation might not

materialize at all. However, they had said that they would look at the arts concept in the shorter term and decide whether they wanted to take any more steps and give the community and Peary some notice. His thought was this was well worth their continuing to pursue. He found the concepts were attractive and observed that in the past the Board had talked about a performing arts school. He urged that the Board take the next steps and ask staff to supply the information requested by Mrs. Shannon. He said that it should be understood that this might or might not be something they would choose to include in the budget.

It seemed to Mrs. Praisner that they were talking about feasibility issues without a massive study. These would include an impact on current priorities, other priorities of the school system, other programs, etc. She said that unless there were objections she would suggest focusing on Proposal B, in addition to providing information about the other proposals. Mrs. Shannon was not sure she wanted to concentrate on Proposal B. She would like the paper to include some sense of the progress as the youngster started out in elementary school. Dr. Shoenberg commented that one of the things that did not emerge was the kind of student who would be the product of these programs. Mrs. Praisner inquired about the relationship of the Connecticut Park program to other programs and not just the arts program. She questioned the ability of the school system to staff a dance program at the same time MCPS was having difficulty finding math and science teachers. Dr. Cody indicated that the staff would come forth with another report. Mrs. Praisner thought it would be useful to share today's paper with citizen groups as well as MCCPTA.

Resolution No. 403-84 Re: Pupil Transportation Policy EEA

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Peysner seconded by Dr. Shoenberg, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The Board-adopted FY 1985 operating budget instructed staff to provide transportation to gifted and talented pupils; and

WHEREAS, It is necessary to amend the transportation policy to delete the restriction of regular pupils on special education buses; and

WHEREAS, The Board was requested to consider current safety standards for students who must cross mainline railroad tracks at grade level; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to amend the transportation policy to add language on grade crossings; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Pupil Transportation Policy EEA be amended as follows:

1. Delete A.1.c)
Special education buses are only for designated special

education students and are not available for the use of other students except the superintendent may at his discretion allow handicapped students and their siblings to be transported to the same school.

2. Add to B.8.

Secondary students are expected to be able to cross all controlled intersections safely except junior high students are not required to cross mainline railroad tracks at grade level.

3. Add to B.9.

Elementary school children are usually expected to be able to cross controlled intersections safely except on major highways and mainline railroad tracks at grade level.

and be it further

Resolved, That the effective date of these revisions will be July 23, 1984.

Re: Revision Curriculum - Health
Education, Grade 8 Health Unit

Mrs. Shannon moved and Mr. Ewing seconded the following:

WHEREAS, The school laws of Maryland specify that the county superintendent shall prepare courses of study and recommend them for adoption by the county Board (The Annotated Code of the Public General Laws of Maryland, Education Section 4-205); and

WHEREAS, Board of Education policy has resolved "that newly developed curriculum documents will be presented to the Board of Education for consideration approximately one month prior to the date on which approval will be sought and the superintendent of schools may extend this period to allow further time for citizen reaction to curriculum documents dealing with sensitive topics..." (Board Resolution No. 40-73, June 19, 1973); and

WHEREAS, The Program of Studies is the document which contains the prescribed curriculum elements, including instructional objectives, of all MCPS curriculum programs and courses (MCPS Regulation IFB-RA: Development and Approval of Curriculum and Supporting Materials); and

WHEREAS, Excellence in curriculum can be maintained only by continuing attention to the need for curriculum change; and

WHEREAS, The Council on Instruction, charged by the superintendent with considering recommendations for curriculum change, has recommended approval of support materials for the two instructional objectives adopted by the Board of Education through action on Resolution 501-83, May 23, 1983, to support instruction about pregnancy prevention as a part of the Grade 8 Health Unit in the

with Mr. Ewing, Mrs. Praisner, Mrs. Shannon, and Dr. Shoenberg voting in the affirmative; Dr. Greenblatt and Mrs. Peyser voting in the negative:

WHEREAS, The school laws of Maryland specify that the county superintendent shall prepare courses of study and recommend them for adoption by the county Board (The Annotated Code of the Public General Laws of Maryland, Education Section 4-205); and

WHEREAS, Board of Education policy has resolved "that newly developed curriculum documents will be presented to the Board of Education for consideration approximately one month prior to the date on which approval will be sought and the superintendent of schools may extend this period to allow further time for citizen reaction to curriculum documents dealing with sensitive topics..." (Board Resolution No. 40-73, June 19, 1973); and

WHEREAS, The Program of Studies is the document which contains the prescribed curriculum elements, including instructional objectives, of all MCPS curriculum programs and courses (MCPS Regulation IFB-RA: Development and Approval of Curriculum and Supporting Materials); and

WHEREAS, Excellence in curriculum can be maintained only by continuing attention to the need for curriculum change; and

WHEREAS, The Council on Instruction, charged by the superintendent with considering recommendations for curriculum change, has recommended approval of support materials for the two instructional objectives adopted by the Board of Education through action on Resolution 501-83, May 23, 1983, to support instruction about pregnancy prevention as a part of the Grade 8 Health Unit in the Program of Studies for Health Education, Grades K-12; and

WHEREAS, The Citizens' Advisory Committee on Family Life and Human Development reviewed and approved the instructional materials which support the Board-adopted instructional objectives dealing with pregnancy prevention as a part of the Grade 8 Health Unit in the Program of Studies for Health Education, Grades K-12; and

WHEREAS, The superintendent recommends that the Board approve the support materials for the instructional objectives dealing with pregnancy prevention as a part of the Grade 8 Health Unit presented to the Board on June 25, 1984; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education approve the support materials for the instructional objectives dealing with pregnancy prevention as a part of the Grade 8 Health Unit.

Re: Board Member Comments

1. Mrs. Peyser reported that she had received a response to her memorandum on the grading policy in which she asked whether a student could pass a course if the student had not completed the

objectives. The answer was yes. She understood that there was a group looking at the grading policy, and she urged the superintendent to make the necessary revisions to the policy or recommend that the Board make the revisions. Dr. Pitt said that there was a small group looking at grading regulations, and a larger group would be needed if the material were to come to the Board.

2. Mrs. Peyser said that some schools did an excellent job of publicizing and congratulating students who received four's and five's on their advanced placement examinations. She hoped that the superintendent would urge all schools to follow this practice, and she reminded the superintendent that there was a Board policy to honor students and their teachers for AP scores.

3. Mr. Ewing reported that he had attended a meeting on July 10 at Takoma Park Junior High School where plans for the magnet were discussed. He thought that the staff had done a magnificent job of explaining the program, and he hoped that other Board members would have an opportunity to see the presentation. He was concerned about transportation from the upper county to Takoma Park, and he wondered what staff plans were to resolve the problem. Dr. Cody said they were now considering adding more buses to the Takoma Park route to resolve the time problem. Mr. Fazakerley added that the Takoma Park project manager felt that five runs would solve the problem; however, they were still studying the optimization of the additional routes.

4. Mr. Ewing said that he had given Board members a memo about meeting the needs of upcounty students. He asked that Board members give consideration to planning for new programs in the upper county, and he said that he would raise this as a matter of new business at the August 7 meeting. He thought that if they did plan ahead they would use the excellent approaches that had been developed for programs at Blair and Takoma Park.

5. Dr. Greenblatt presented the staff with pictures of Bud Caputo and the Woodward Jazz Band. She explained that the band as well as the Montgomery Jazz Ensemble had played for President Reagan's Academic Fitness Awards Program.

6. Mr. Ewing stated that the Maryland National Capital Park and Planning Commission had a new population forecast coming out in August. He asked that the forecast be provided to the Board.

7. Mrs. Praisner raised questions about the items of information on Twinbrook and the South Germantown Elementary. At Twinbrook she asked about the day-care space, and at South Germantown she was concerned about the process for increasing the size of the gym. She asked about the cost of a larger gym and information on how the Board and county government were involved in this decision.

Mrs. Peyser left the meeting at this point.

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Shoenberg seconded by Mr. Ewing, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The Board of Education of Montgomery County is authorized by Article 76A, Section 11(a) of the Annotated Code of Maryland to conduct certain of its meetings in executive closed session; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education of Montgomery County hereby conduct its meeting in executive closed session beginning on August 7, 1984, at 9 a.m. to discuss, consider, deliberate, and/or otherwise decide the employment, assignment, appointment, promotion, demotion, compensation, discipline, removal, or resignation of employees, appointees, or officials over whom it has jurisdiction, or any other personnel matter affecting one or more particular individuals and to comply with a specific constitutional, statutory or judicially imposed requirement protecting particular proceedings or matters from public disclosure as permitted under Article 76A, Section 11(a) and that such meeting shall continue in executive closed session until the completion of business; and be it further

Resolved, That such meeting continue in executive closed session at noon to discuss the matters listed above as permitted under Article 76A, Section 11(a) and that such meeting shall continue in executive closed session until the completion of business.

Resolution No. 407-84 Re: Executive Session - August 8,
1984

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Shoenberg seconded by Mr. Ewing, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The Board of Education of Montgomery County is authorized by Article 76A, Section 11(a) of the Annotated Code of Maryland to conduct certain of its meetings in executive closed session; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education of Montgomery County hereby conduct its meeting in executive closed session beginning on August 8, 1984, at 8 p.m. to discuss, consider, deliberate, and/or otherwise decide the employment, assignment, appointment, promotion, demotion, compensation, discipline, removal, or resignation of employees, appointees, or officials over whom it has jurisdiction, or any other personnel matter affecting one or more particular individuals and to comply with a specific constitutional, statutory or judicially imposed requirement protecting particular proceedings or matters from public disclosure as permitted under Article 76A, Section 11(a) and that such meeting shall continue in executive closed session until the completion of business.

Re: New Business

Mrs. Shannon moved and Dr. Greenblatt seconded the following:

WHEREAS, Excellence in education and the recognition of excellence take many forms; and

WHEREAS, One form of recognition, to reemphasize academics, is by awarding academic letters; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education requests the superintendent to establish a committee to review the concept of awarding academic letters; and be it further

Resolved, That this committee be composed of both secondary principals and appropriate area and central office staff; and be it further

Resolved, That the committee consider criteria for process and implementation as well as timing and feedback indicators; and be it further

Resolved, That the committee also review the awarding of athletic letters for the criteria used and possible relationship to academic letters; and be it further

Resolved, That the superintendent report back to the Board of Education his own and the committee's recommendations on the subject of awarding academic letters.

Re: Items of Information

Board members received the following items of information:

1. Educational Specification - South Germantown Elementary
2. Twinbrook Renovation

Resolution No. 408-84 Re: Adjournment

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Shoenberg seconded by Mr. Ewing, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the Board of Education adjourn its meeting at 10:45 p.m.

President

Secretary

WSC:mlw