

curriculum documents will be presented to the Board of Education for consideration approximately one month prior to the date on which approval will be sought and the superintendent of schools may extend this period to allow further time for citizen reaction to curriculum documents dealing with sensitive topics...." (from Board Resolution No. 400-73, June, 1973); and

WHEREAS, The Program of Studies is the document which contains the prescribed curriculum elements, including instructional objectives, of all MCPS curriculum programs and courses (MCPS Regulation 345-1 Development and Approval of Curriculum and Supporting Materials); and

WHEREAS, Excellence in curriculum can be maintained only by continuing attention to the need for curriculum change; and

WHEREAS, The Council on Instruction, charged by the superintendent with considering recommendations for curriculum change, has recommended approval of six revised semester courses and title changes of six semester courses; and

WHEREAS, The superintendent recommends that the Board approve the course revisions; now therefore be it Resolved, That the Board of Education approve the revisions of Biology AP-A and B (double period), Chemistry AP-A and B (double period), and Physics AP-A and B (single period) presented to the Board of Education on January 24, 1983, for publication in the Program of Studies as part of the MCPS curriculum to become effective in the school year 1984-85; and be it further Resolved, That the Board of Education approve the title changes of Biology 2A and B, Chemistry 2A and B, and Physics 2A and B to Biology AP-A and B, Chemistry AP-A and B, and Physics AP-A and B to become effective in the school year 1983-84.

Resolution No. 157-83 Re: Dedication of Land for Public Street -
Seneca Valley High School (Area 3)

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The Montgomery County Government has requested Board of Education approval of public dedication and final record plat for roadway B-1 where it abuts our Seneca Valley High School site; and

WHEREAS, Final approval and realignment of the new roadway includes certain easements for public improvements, public utilities, and temporary access for the grading of slopes adjacent to the school property; and

WHEREAS, All construction, restoration, and future maintenance activities will be performed at no cost to the Board of Education with the Montgomery County Government and the contractors to assume liability for all damages or injury; and

WHEREAS, These easements and the land dedication for an improved roadway will benefit the surrounding community and facilitate future safety programming of the subject school; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the president and secretary be authorized to execute a final record plat for the realignment of roadway B-1 where it abuts the Seneca Valley High School site, their endorsement to cover the dedication of additional land and all easements for public utilities, public improvements and slope grading which are shown thereon.

Resolution No. 158-83 Re: Storm Drainage Easement at
 Kingsview Future
 Elementary School Site (Area 3)

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The Montgomery County Government has requested Board of Education assistance with the completion of M-90 (Great Seneca Highway) where it abuts our future Kingsview Elementary School site; and

WHEREAS, Final approval and construction of the new roadway includes the right-of-way and easements for public improvements, public utilities, and temporary access for the grading of slopes adjacent to the school property; and

WHEREAS, All construction, full restoration, and future maintenance activities will be performed at no cost to the Board of Education with the Montgomery County Government to assume liability for all damages or injury; and

WHEREAS, These easements and the improved roadway will benefit the surrounding community and facilitate any future development of the subject school property; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the president and secretary be authorized to execute an easement and right-of-way document for the completion of M-90 where it abuts the Kingsview future elementary school site, their endorsement to cover all easements for public utilities, public improvements, and slope grading which are shown thereon.

Resolution No. 159-83 Re: Bid 84-83, Microfiche Cabinets

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Shannon seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Funds have been budgeted for the purchase of microfiche cabinets; now therefore be it

Resolved, That having been duly advertised December 27, 1982, the contract for the furnishing of microfiche cabinets for the period of February 23, 1983, through January 22, 1984, under Invitation to Bid 84-83 be awarded to the low bidder meeting specifications as follows:

	Dollar Volume	Line Items	Awarded
Baltimore Stationery Company Baltimore, Maryland	\$11,116	1	
Resolution No. 160-83		Re: Contract for Request for Proposal 83-12	

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Shannon seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Funds have been budgeted under a grant from the Maryland State Department of Education for an external evaluation of three components of the Career Education Program; now therefore be it

Resolved, That having been duly advertised January 17, 1983, the contract for the evaluation of three components of the Career Education Program under Request for Proposal 83-12 be awarded in the amount of \$14,928 to:

Dr. Dennis Holmes, Washington, D.C.,

the bidder best meeting the technical and business requirements of the RFP.

Resolution No. 161-83	Re: Utilization of a Portion of the FY 1983 Appropriation for Projected Supported Projects for Development of a Model Career Education Program for Poolesville Junior/Senior High School
-----------------------	--

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Praisner seconded by Dr. Shoenberg, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the superintendent of schools be authorized to receive and expend, within the FY 1983 Appropriation for Supported Projects of \$300,000, an additional grant of \$4,000 from the Maryland State Department of Education under the Education Consolidation and Improvement Act of 1981, Chapter 2 for the Development of a Model Career Education Program for Poolesville Junior/Senior High School in the following categories:

Category	Amount
02 Instructional Salaries	\$1,400
03 Instructional Other	1,426
07 Student Transportation	1,030

10 Fixed Charges

144

Total \$4,000

and be it further

Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the county executive and County Council.

Resolution No. 162-83 Re: Utilization of a Portion of the FY 1983
Appropriation for Projected
Supported Projects for Coaching for
Teacher Effectiveness

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Praisner seconded by Dr. Shoenberg, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the superintendent of schools be authorized to receive and expend, within the FY 1983 Appropriation for Supported Projects of \$300,000, a \$3,000 grant award from the Maryland State Department of Education under the Maryland Professional Development Academy to provide Area 3 principals and area staff with the grant proposal entitled Coaching for Teacher Effectiveness in the following categories:

Category	Amount
02 Instructional Salaries	\$ 730
03 Instructional Other	2,200
10 Fixed Charges	70
Total	\$3,000

and be it further

Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the county executive and County Council.

Resolution No. 163-83 Re: Submission of FY 1983 Grant Proposals to
Develop Educational Activities for
Identified Needs Within Area 3

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Praisner seconded by Dr. Shoenberg, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the superintendent of schools be authorized to submit FY 1983 grant proposals to the Washington Post newspaper in the amount of \$10,104 to develop educational activities for identified needs within Area 3; and be it further

Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the county executive and County Council.

Re: Board/Press/Visitor Conference

Ms. Peggy Rice, Citizens Minority Relations Monitoring Committee, appeared before the Board of Education.

Re: Monthly Financial Report

The superintendent stated that with declining fuel oil prices and the warmer weather they were projecting less of a deficit. Dr. Cronin inquired about the employment freeze in special education and whether it had affected the program. Dr. Hiawatha Fountain, associate superintendent, explained that the freeze started earlier with extended year employment during the summer and also involved central office positions; however, special education classrooms were not affected.

Mr. Ewing called attention to \$406,000 in P.L. 874 revenue and \$106,000 in undesignated surplus. The superintendent explained that in April if these funds were needed they could ask for these funds.

Re: Board Member Comments

1. Mrs. Praisner reported that she had attended the performance of "Sweet Honey in the Rock" at Bethesda-Chevy Chase High School. It was an excellent program and appropriate for other schools.

2. Mrs. Peyser stated the following for the record:
If I had been here last Thursday I would have voted against the budget adopted by this Board. This budget does not reflect my priorities. It does not make sense to spend over \$4,000 per pupil when class sizes, particularly the academic classes, are getting larger. This is the price tag of a private school with class sizes twice as large. The former Board made tremendous progress in reducing class sizes. If we are committed to our children learning as much as they can this trend should be continued not reversed. I believe the taxpayers would support some increases that make educational sense, but this Board added positions in administration and other less essential nonclassroom categories, luxuries that are not realistic in 1983. This budget is still \$8 to \$9 million over the county executive's and County Council's target. This is without including over a half million dollars to operate Takoma Park Junior High School. From all indications, the Council will cut up to \$8 million from our budget. Traditionally they cut out the most from instruction, the most obvious target. We, not the Council, were elected to make educational decisions. We should have responded to the fiscal realities of 1983 and moved closer to the executive's target by reducing nonclassroom costs to assure that the negotiated contracts will be funded and that class sizes will be maintained or improved. Then the budget would have been more responsible and defensible.

3. Dr. Cronin stated that the county executive had given them an unrealistic target figure and had given them mixed messages. On the one hand they were to supported the negotiated agreement, and yet on the other hand they were also to provide for services needed by students. He believed the Board did take responsible action and that Board members were the ones to provide educational excellence for the children and must go to the Council asking for that. After the Council decided, the Board would come back and make changes.

4. Mrs. Shannon explained that with regard to the budget it was normal to determine the budget necessary to support the program and only after the mark came back to attempt to conform to that mark if they were unable to persuade those with the funds. She did not believe they should start off by cutting below what they now offered in terms of services and, in fact, the budget approved barely offered the same services.

Resolution No. 164-83

Re: Executive Session - March 8, 1983

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Praisner seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The Board of Education of Montgomery County is authorized by Article 76A, Section 11(a) of the Annotated Code of Maryland to conduct certain of its meetings in executive closed session; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education of Montgomery County hereby conduct its meeting in executive closed session beginning on March 8, 1983, at 9 a.m. to discuss, consider, deliberate, and/or otherwise decide the employment, assignment, appointment, promotion, demotion, compensation, discipline, removal, or resignation of employees, appointees, or officials over whom it has jurisdiction, or any other personnel matter affecting one or more particular individuals, to consult with legal counsel, and to comply with a specific constitutional, statutory or judicially imposed requirement protecting particular proceedings or matters from public disclosure as permitted under Article 76A, Section 11(a) and that such meeting shall continue in executive closed session until the completion of business; and be it further

Resolved, That such meeting continue in executive closed session at 12:30 p.m. to discuss the matters listed above as permitted under Article 76A, Section 11(A) and that such meeting shall continue in executive closed session until the completion of business.

Re: New Business

There was no new business.

Resolution No. 165-83

Re: Minutes of December 14, 1982

On motion of Mr. Hirsch seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following

resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the minutes of December 14, 1982, be approved.

Resolution No. 166-83 Re: Minutes of January 18, 1983

On motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the minutes of January 18, 1983, be approved.

Re: Policy on Student Performing Groups

On January 11, 1983, Mrs. Praisner moved and Mrs. Shannon seconded the following:

Resolved, That the Board of Education rescind its policy on student performing groups.

For the record, Mrs. Praisner stated that her vote should in no way be taken as a criticism of the program or as a lack of interest in student performances. She cited the problems in interrupting the students' days to bring them to a Board meeting for 15 minutes, and she thought it would be far better for Board members to view these programs in the usual school setting.

Re: A Motion by Mrs. Praisner on the
Policy on Student Performing Groups
(FAILED)

A motion by Mrs. Praisner that the Board of Education rescind its policy on student performing groups failed with Mr. Ewing, Mrs. Praisner, and Mrs. Shannon voting in the affirmative; Dr. Greenblatt, Mrs. Peyser, and Dr. Shoenberg voting in the negative; Dr. Cronin abstaining (Mr. Hirsch voting in the negative).

Resolution No. 166a-83 Re: Commemoration of Black History Week
and Month

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Peyser seconded by Mr. Hirsch, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The month of February has become known as Black History Month; and

WHEREAS, Abraham Lincoln (February 12) and Frederick Douglass (February 14) were both born in February, and the week in which their birthdays occur is known as Black History Week; and

WHEREAS, All Montgomery County public schools commemorate Black

History Week and Month with appropriate activities; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Montgomery County Board of Education hereby recognizes Black History Week and Month; and be it further

Resolved, That the Board commends teachers and other staff for their many exemplary programs for students to commemorate Black History Week and Month, and it urges staff to incorporate the concepts embodied in these observances into the ongoing programs of the Montgomery County Public Schools.

Resolution No. 167-83 Re: An Amendment to the Student Board
Member Election Procedures

On motion of Mr. Hirsch seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the Board of Education amend Resolution 880-82, dated December 14, 1983, to state that when more than two candidates file for election a convention should be convened to reduce the number of candidates to two.

Re: Commission on Children and Youth
Annual Report

Mr. Ewing welcomed the Commission to the meeting. Dr. Joan Wilson explained that their annual report was for 1981-82, and they were mandated to share this report with the county executive, County Council, and Board of Education to discuss policies, programs, and services to support children and youth in the county.

Ms. Nancy Dworkin, chairman of special issues, said that her priority was youth employment, not just senior high school youth but 14 and 15 year-olds as well. The Commission had speakers on this topic, conducted youth surveys, and surveyed county agencies. It was their objective to find out more about the magnitude of the problem and to prepare recommendations.

Ms. Tatyana Moss, chairman of public policy, stated that she wanted to address the Board on an issue which was not in the providence of the Commission. That issue was the MCPS health curriculum. The Commission had studied adolescent pregnancy as well as pregnancy prevention and had presented a report to the Board in 1980 with an update in 1981. Their report was concerned with services delivery and pregnancy prevention. They were pleased to see the pilot of the health curriculum and the positive responses of parents, students, and teachers. They thought it was a good move to put this curriculum into the eighth grade, and they were sorry that a decision was made by the Board to eliminate the part of the curriculum which they considered to be an important one. They hoped this matter could be reconsidered by the Board and recommended that it be implemented in its original form with the necessary changes.

Mr. Austin Heyman explained that he was substituting for the chairman of the projects committee. They were concerned with intergenerational interaction, using human resources for increased opportunities for personal fulfillment for both the young and the elderly and were considering sponsoring a workshop next fall.

Ms. Debbie Ehrenstein, chairman of the day-care committee, said that parents were making them aware of the need for day-care because nationally two-thirds of the mothers of preschool and school-aged children worked. They were doing a study to determine needs in the county, and they wanted the Board of Education to make a commitment to cooperate in planning. She said that in the next few months the Board would be called upon to make decisions that would have an impact on day-care. They felt that the Board should make a special effort to preserve day-care services when they made facilities decisions. Ms. Ehrenstein reported that her group was also concerned with teaching survival skills and with the education of adolescent parents.

Ms. Joyce Constantine said that on January 25 they had written to the Board about the Commission's concerns about the operating budget. They supported Chapter I, the Phoenix School, summer programs, consultant funds, elementary school counselors, and shelter homes. The Commission would be working with the coalition to support the school system's budget.

Dr. Cronin thanked the members of the Commission for their presentation. He called attention to a bill in the legislature regarding the age of compulsory school attendance which had implications for funding. He also noted that day-care was beyond the Board's mandate and asked for the Commission's support before the County Council. Ms. Ehrenstein replied that they could not comment on the school age bill. In regard to day-care, they did see this as a need that cut across the responsibilities of other departments of the county.

Mrs. Praisner noted that they had mentioned support for the Phoenix program and asked whether they had lobbied for the PACT II program. Ms. Constantine replied that they had not specifically done that.

Dr. Cronin hoped that they would not wait until next year before coming in with recommendations to involve the members of the older community with youth. Dr. Shoenberg noted that they had called for cooperative relationships among the various agencies in the community regarding day-care, and he wondered how they defined "community."

Ms. Ehrenstein replied that she would not presume to define "community," but the school system defined it as the area in which the system would transport students. She said that the ideal solution was a day-care center in every school needing one. However, they did not know whether every school needed such a facility and had to make do with piecemeal plans. Therefore, they had to have a facility where transportation could be worked out.

Dr. Cronin asked whether the Board had the eighth grade health curriculum before it, and Mr. Ewing replied that this issue had not been raised. The superintendent indicated that it would take a new business item to place the curriculum before the Board.

Dr. Richard Towers commented that the Commission did send a letter supporting PACT II. He thought that the county executive would be recommending continuing some part of this program but looking for ways to do this in a more efficient manner.

Mr. Hirsch asked whether the Commission had taken a position on the student Board member vote. Dr. Wilson replied that they had not taken a position because they did not have the full document on the bill.

Ms. Dworkin reported that they had done a study of six schools, and the next to the top issue was the issue of employment. This year they were concerned about summer employment for students. Ms. Moss said that the Commission had tried very hard to involve its youth members in the total Commission efforts. This year they had made positive efforts to reach youth so that they could have more direct input and did meet with students in the schools.

Ms. Dana Shoenberg reemphasized the Commission's concern for the student Board member vote and hoped that the Board would consider that again. They were also concerned about communication between all children and adults and hoped their outreach program would be successful. Mr. Heyman noted that there were seven student members on the Commission, and he thought they had made progress in involving students in the work of the Commission. Ms. Dworkin reported that youth designed the youth questionnaire on employment.

Mr. Ewing commented that the Commission could expect that the Board would want to consider the eighth grade health curriculum. With respect to day-care, he said that the Board had in effect backed into the issue of day-care without developing a policy statement. He was pleased to see that the Commission was calling for the development of a policy statement. He felt that the Board needed to work with the Commission and other agencies of the county government to develop a sensible policy. He was delighted to hear that the Commission was going to support the school system budget because, if the budget was not supported in the way the Board presented it, they would be faced with some difficult choices.

Dr. Wilson explained that their day-care committee was an ad hoc committee. Presently they were exploring other needs for infant/toddler care programs with the need for employer involvement. Dr. Greenblatt said they were faced with two problems. One was teenage unemployment and the need for care for latchkey children. She asked whether there had been any effort to coordinate the two and employ teenagers in the home on a regular basis. Ms. Ehrenstein thought this was an interesting suggestion and one she would share with her committee.

Mr. Ewing hoped that the Board and Commission would work together in the future. He welcomed any suggestions or recommendations the Commission might have about the school system.

Re: A Motion by Mrs. Peyser on the
Churchill Cluster

A motion by Mrs. Peyser that the Board of Education take tentative action to consolidate Lake Normandy into Wayside Elementary was seconded by Mrs. Praisner.

Resolution No. 168-83 Re: An Amendment to the Proposed
Resolution on the Churchill Cluster

On motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the proposed resolution on the Churchill cluster be amended to add:

Resolved, That the community be requested to comment on both the elementary and intermediate school assignment in the period subsequent to the tentative action.

Resolution No. 169-83 Re: Churchill Cluster

On motion of Mrs. Peyser seconded by Dr. Greenblatt, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the Board of Education take tentative action to consolidate Lake Normandy into Wayside; and be it further
Resolved, That the community be requested to comment on both the elementary and intermediate school assignment in the period subsequent to the tentative action.

Mrs. Praisner assumed the chair.

Re: A Motion by Mr. Ewing Regarding the
Churchill Cluster (FAILED)

A motion by Mr. Ewing to rescind the closure of Lake Normandy Elementary School and close Seven Locks Elementary School failed for lack of a second.

Mr. Ewing assumed the chair.

Re: A Motion by Dr. Cronin Regarding the
Churchill Cluster (FAILED)

A motion by Dr. Cronin that the Board of Education close Seven Locks Elementary School, effective June 1984, failed for lack of a second.

For the record, Mr. Ewing stated the following:

I think it is important for me, as I look at Georgetown Hill in comparison with Bells Mill, that the Bells Mill school is in better condition. In addition, the point I think is for me important is that the closing of the Georgetown Hill school does in fact permit us to assure that there is a school satisfactorily arranged for the handicapped, and I think it is important finally to note that I do favor the closing, and did have the closing of Seven Locks in a two-school closing pattern for reasons that have to do, I think, primarily with two factors. It has the lowest condition rating, and it is also a school in danger of having too few students to provide a quality educational program as I think we ought to have. Now let me say this to you. I think it is important for all of us to note that the policy under which the Board operates deals with a certain set of criteria. It is not a policy that all members of the Board agree with, but we have not yet changed that policy. Nevertheless, I think it is clear that what the Board wants to do is begin a process of working with all of the affected communities to develop and improve the educational programs within the cluster at every school that remains. I think it is quite incorrect for you to say, for anybody to say, that the Board is not interested in educational quality and quality of programs. The Board will be hard at work through staff and with you to plan and develop the very best that we can develop in the way of educational programs for every school in the cluster, and I think that is a commitment that I can make on behalf of every Board member and every staff member.

Re: Summer School Fees

Mr. Hirsch moved approval of the following, and Mrs. Shannon seconded the motion:

Resolved, That fees for the FY 84 summer school program be established at \$32 for elementary, middle/junior high, special and alternative education, and senior high (half credit) classes, \$64 for senior high (full credit) classes, and \$37 for Lifetime Sports (two weeks), based on the 5 percent cost of living adjustment provided for day school teachers; and that beginning in FY 85, an adjustment to these fees be made each year equal to the percent of increase determined for total pupil costs by grade span for the day school student; and be it further

Resolved, That the following fee schedule for the Adult Education Program be approved for FY 84 and that adjustments be made each year to increase tuition costs by the percent of the cost of living adjustment provided for day school teachers.

Adult Education Fee Schedule

8-week Session

(Rates Based on a Minimum of 18 Students)

Number of Students	One Night Per Week		Two Nights Per Week	
	FY 83	Proposed	FY 83	Proposed

18	\$22	\$25	\$44	\$50
17	\$23	\$26	\$46	\$52
16	\$24	\$27	\$48	\$54
15	\$26	\$29	\$52	\$58
14	\$27	\$31	\$54	\$62
13	\$29	\$34	\$58	\$68
12	\$31	\$37	\$62	\$74
11	\$34	\$37	\$68	\$74
10	\$37	\$37	\$74	\$74

Special Programs

(All Rates Based on a Minimum of 18 Students)

	FY 83	Proposed	(Enrollment less than 18)
1 Two-hour Session	\$ 8	\$ 9	
1 Three-hour Session	\$ 8	\$ 9	
2 Two-hour Sessions	\$ 9	\$10	Add 50[per student
3 Two-hour Sessions	\$12	\$13	Add 50[per student
4 Two-hour Sessions	\$14	\$15	Add 50[per student
Day Workshop or Seminar 4-6 Hours	\$14	\$15	

Re: A Substitute Motion by Mrs. Peyser on
Summer School Fees

Mrs. Peyser moved to change the first Resolved in the second line from \$32 to \$50 for elementary, in senior high change \$64 to \$100 and \$37 to \$50 for lifetime sports, delete "based on the 5 percent cost of living adjustment provided for day school teachers" and substitute "be increased by 20 percent each year until the summer school program is fully self-supporting" for "be made each year equal to the percent of increase determined for total pupil costs by grade span for the day school student."

Mrs. Peyser asked that the question be divided.

Re: A Substitute Motion by Mrs. Peyser on
Summer School Fees (FAILED)

A substitute motion by Mrs. Peyser to charge \$50 for elementary, \$100 for senior high, and \$50 for lifetime sports failed with Dr. Greenblatt and Mrs. Peyser voting in the affirmative; Dr. Cronin, Mr. Ewing, Mrs. Praisner, Mrs. Shannon, and Dr. Shoenberg voting in the negative (Mr. Hirsch voting in the negative).

Re: A Substitute Motion by Mrs. Peyser on
Summer School Fees (FAILED)

A substitute motion by Mrs. Peyser to increase summer school fees by 20 percent until the summer school program was self-supporting failed with Dr. Greenblatt and Mrs. Peyser voting in the affirmative; Dr. Cronin, Mr. Ewing, Mrs. Praisner, Mrs. Shannon, and Dr. Shoenberg

11	\$34	\$37	\$68	\$74
10	\$37	\$37	\$74	\$74

Special Programs

(All Rates Based on a Minimum of 18 Students)

	FY 83	Proposed	(Enrollment less than 18)
1 Two-hour Session	\$ 8	\$ 9	
1 Three-hour Session	\$ 8	\$ 9	
2 Two-hour Sessions	\$ 9	\$10	Add 50[per student
3 Two-hour Sessions	\$12	\$13	Add 50[per student
4 Two-hour Sessions	\$14	\$15	Add 50[per student
Day Workshop or Seminar 4-6 Hours	\$14	\$15	

and be it further

Resolved, That the staff comment on why summer school tuition should be set at this particular rate of support rather than a higher one and, if appropriate, what the higher rate might be and the consequences of that higher rate.

Resolution No. 172a-83 Re: HB938-Ethics--Local School Boards and Employees

On motion of Dr. Cronin seconded by Dr. Shoenberg, the following resolution was adopted with Dr. Cronin, Mr. Ewing, Dr. Greenblatt, Mrs. Praisner, and Dr. Shoenberg voting in the affirmative; Mrs. Peyser and Mrs. Shannon abstaining (Mr. Hirsch voting in the affirmative):

Resolved, That the Board of Education support HB938 - Ethics.

Resolution No. 173b-83 Re: HB962 - Compulsory Attendance

On motion of Mrs. Peyser seconded by Mrs. Praisner, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the Board of Education oppose HB962 - Compulsory Attendance.

Resolution No. 173-83 Re: HB926/SB572 - Handicapped Children

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Peyser seconded by Dr. Greenblatt, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the Board of Education oppose HB926/SB572 - Handicapped Children.

Resolution No. 174-83 Re: HB1096 - Special Education - Tuition Reimbursement

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Peyser seconded by Dr. Greenblatt, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the Board of Education oppose HB1096 - Special Education - Tuition Reimbursement.

Resolution No. 175-83 Re: HB709 - Wage Attachment Fees

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Peyser seconded by Dr. Greenblatt, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the Board of Education oppose HB709 - Wage Attachment Fees.

Resolution No. 176-83 Re: HB1009 - Anne Arundel County - Binding Arbitration of Grievances

On motion of Mrs. Peyser seconded by Dr. Greenblatt, the following resolution was adopted with Dr. Greenblatt, Mrs. Peyser, Mrs. Shannon, and Dr. Shoenberg voting in the affirmative; Mr. Ewing voting in the negative; Dr. Cronin and Mrs. Praisner abstaining (Mr. Hirsch abstaining):

Resolved, That the Board of Education oppose HB1009 - Anne Arundel County - Binding Arbitration of Grievances.

Re: Nonrecommended Budget Reductions
 Required to Reach Two Budget Levels
 Specified by the Montgomery County Council
 for FY 1984 Operating Budget

Mrs. Praisner moved approval of the following which was seconded by Dr. Cronin:

WHEREAS, On December 3, 1982, the County Council requested the Board to submit in addition to its recommended Fiscal 1984 Operating Budget (\$372,432,763) alternative budget levels of \$364.0 and \$353.1 million; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Education has the legal responsibility to respond to this request according to the provisions of the Annotated Code of the Public General Laws of Maryland, Education Volume, Section 5-101(f), which state:

In addition to all other information required by this section, the Montgomery County Board of Education, on request of the

county executive and County Council, shall provide with the annual budget the program implications of recommendations for reductions to or increases in its annual budget, at whatever different levels of funding and accompanied by whatever reasonable supporting detail and analysis, as may be specified by the county executive and County Council...;

and

WHEREAS, The Board of Education also, by law, has the responsibility to bargain with its employees, and the Board recommended Operating Budget of \$372,432,763 was developed to include contractual agreements reached through collective bargaining; and

WHEREAS, Because the Board of Education is obligated by law to respond to the Council's request, it has no choice but to submit reductions that will affect the negotiated agreement; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education submit information as directed by the Montgomery County Council with the following stipulations:

1. This list is not recommended by the Board of Education.
2. The Board's only budget recommendations for FY 1984 are those contained in the budget request agreed upon by the Board of Education on February 17, 1983, totalling \$372,432,763.

and be it further

Resolved, That upon the request of the County Council, the Board has divided the information to be supplied into the following two groups, totaling \$19.3 million, the size of which caused the Board to include items in the negotiated agreement:

- o Group A, reductions totalling \$8.4 million, which would reduce the Board's request to a total of approximately \$364.0 million
- o Group B, reductions totalling \$10.9 million, which together with Group A items would reduce the Board's request to a total of \$353.1 million

and be it further

Resolved, That the Board's rationale in developing the list is solely to comply with the law and the Board recognizes that it cannot support such a list because of the negotiation requirement with the legally recognized employee organizations.

Nonrecommended Reductions in the FY1984 Board of Education
Operating Budget to Reach the Council/Executive
Budget Targets of \$364 and \$353.1 Million

Rank	Item	Pos.	Amount
ALL REQUESTED EXPANSION OR IMPROVEMENT EXCEPT THE NEW			

VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL CENTER:

1	Area and Central Support	1.8	\$ 79,000
	IMPACT: Secretary in student affairs office remains half-time; Employee Assistance staff reduced 25%; special education appeal preparation slowed		
2	Central Computer Support	2.0	415,000
	IMPACT: Attendance Accounting System not developed and Special Education Case Management System delayed; abandon plans to develop New Financial Accounting System, Procurement Support System and additional "productivity tools", interconnect to County computer, general system and data security support, and \$25,000 deficit in paper supplies		
3	Improved Control of Plant Operations	5.0	128,000
	IMPACT: Eliminate implementation of MORE Study recommendations to improve control and direction of custodial services		
4	Elementary Assistant Principals	4.0	143,000
	IMPACT: Failure to meet standards for assistant principals in schools where enrollments are increased by consolidations, and eliminate training opportunities for new principals		
5	Coordination of Efforts for Gifted and Talented	4.4	89,000
	IMPACT: Eliminates one period for one teacher in each high school to coordinate efforts for gifted and talented students, (i.e., identification, communication to parents and students, locating curriculum materials; planning teacher in-service)		
6	Elementary Counselors	8.0	183,000
	IMPACT: Eliminates expansion of elementary counseling services to 16 elementaries, serving approximately 6,400 students		
7	English Composition Aides	16.9	224,000
	IMPACT: Prevents phase-in of Language/Writing Workshop 2, a required intensive course in writing instruction in Grade 11		
8	Computer-Related Instruction Expansion	3.0	125,000
	IMPACT: Eliminates development of three semester elective courses in high school which would introduce programming beyond the elementary level; delays development and implementation of K-8 computer literacy curriculum; reduces technical support for developing and maintaining computer software for K-12 program		
9	Expansion of 7-Period Day to Remaining High Schools	41.5	855,000
	IMPACT: The eight high schools scheduled to begin a 7-period day could not do so, affecting course selections for approximately 9,000 students		
	Sub-total, Improvement Items	86.6	\$2,241,000

REDUCTION OR ELIMINATION OF CURRENT PROGRAMS OR SERVICES

10	Allocation for Gasoline	-	50,000
	IMPACT: Some restrictions likely would have to be placed on use of school buses		
11	Replacement of Maintenance Vehicles	-	100,000
	IMPACT: Postpones replacement of Maintenance vehicles which need to be traded-in		
12	Tuition for Private Placements of Handicapped	-	250,000
	IMPACT: Reduces allocation below present level and may severely restrict number and type of placements available		
13	Textbook and Instructional Materials	-	200,000
	IMPACT: Reduces allocation and future purchases below current levels		
14	Driver Education	22.0	800,000
	IMPACT: Approximately 5,800 16-18 year-olds each year would have to take driving instruction from private schools, at a cost of over \$100 each; \$350,000 in state revenue lost (\$65 per student); require lay-off of many long-time teachers.		
15	Coordination of Efforts for Gifted and Talented	6.2	125,000
	IMPACT: Eliminates 4.2 positions that provide one period daily for one teacher in each junior high to coordinate school efforts (See Item #5 for description of function); eliminates 2 area teacher-specialists who work with 100-150 elementary students weekly as well as training elementary teachers.		
16	Existing 7-Period Day in High Schools	82.0	1,600,000
	IMPACT: Eliminates the opportunity for over 15,000 students in 14 high schools to choose a seventh period; will require lay-off of many long-time teachers		
17	Increase K-8 Class Size	86.0	1,700,000
	IMPACT: Increase in class size by one student at all levels except high school would mean less individual instruction for each student, greater workloads for teachers, less flexibility to adjust class sizes, an increase in the number of large classes and lay-off of many long-time teachers		
18	Reduce Negotiated Agreement	-	2,275,000
	IMPACT: A reduction will require renegotiation of agreements; amount would approximate a 20% reduction in the 5% cost-of-living increase (\$200 for an employee earning \$20,000); or would eliminate all stipends for extracurricular activities		
	Sub-total, Reduction or Elimination	196.2	9,341,000
	DEDUCT the cost of unemployment insurance for approximately 150 employees to be laid off		
		-	(900,000)
	TOTAL TO REACH \$364 MILLION LEVEL	282.8	8,441,000

Additional Non-Recommended Item to Reach a \$353.1 Million Level

19 Reduce Negotiated Agreement - 10,900,000

IMPACT: A reduction will require renegotiation of agreements; a reduction of this magnitude, if taken all from the cost-of-living provision, would approximate an 80% reduction in the 5% cost-of-living increase (\$800 for an employee earning \$20,000) leaving employees with a 1% cost-of-living increase; or other changes in agreement items such as the Employee

Benefit Plan or extracurricular activity stipends would have to be renegotiated.

TOTAL, ALL ITEMS TO REACH \$353.1 MILLION 282.8 \$19,341,000

Re: A Motion by Dr. Shoenberg to Amend the
Nonrecommended Budget Reductions
(FAILED)

A motion by Dr. Shoenberg to amend the proposed resolution on nonrecommended budget reductions by increasing the reduction in the negotiated agreement enough to fund the positions being lost in items 14 through 17 failed for lack of a second.

Resolution No. 177-83 Re: Nonrecommended Budget Reductions
Required to Reach Two Budget Levels
Specified by the Montgomery County
Council for FY 1984 Operating Budget

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Praisner seconded by Dr. Cronin, the following resolution was adopted with Dr. Cronin, Mr. Ewing, Dr. Greenblatt, Mrs. Peyser, Mrs. Praisner, and Dr. Shoenberg voting in the affirmative; Mrs. Shannon abstaining (Mr. Hirsch abstaining):

WHEREAS, On December 3, 1982, the County Council requested the Board to submit in addition to its recommended Fiscal 1984 Operating Budget (\$372,432,763) alternative budget levels of \$364.0 and \$353.1 million; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Education has the legal responsibility to respond to this request according to the provisions of the Annotated Code of the Public General Laws of Maryland, Education Volume, Section 5-101(f), which state:

In addition to all other information required by this section, the Montgomery County Board of Education, on request of the county executive and County Council, shall provide with the annual budget the program implications of recommendations for reductions to or increases in its annual budget, at whatever different levels of funding and accompanied by whatever reasonable supporting detail and analysis, as may be specified by the county executive and County Council...;

and

WHEREAS, The Board of Education also, by law, has the responsibility to bargain with its employees, and the Board recommended Operating Budget of \$372,432,763 was developed to include contractual agreements reached through collective bargaining; and

WHEREAS, Because the Board of Education is obligated by law to respond to the Council's request, it has no choice but to submit reductions that will affect the negotiated agreement; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education submit information as directed by the Montgomery County Council with the following stipulations:

1. This list is not recommended by the Board of Education.
2. The Board's only budget recommendations for FY 1984 are those contained in the budget request agreed upon by the Board of Education on February 17, 1983, totalling \$372,432,763.

and be it further

Resolved, That upon the request of the County Council, the Board has divided the information to be supplied into the following two groups, totaling \$19.3 million, the size of which caused the Board to include items in the negotiated agreement:

- o Group A, reductions totalling \$8.4 million, which would reduce the Board's request to a total of approximately \$364.0 million
- o Group B, reductions totalling \$10.9 million, which together with Group A items would reduce the Board's request to a total of \$353.1 million

and be it further

Resolved, That the Board's rationale in developing the list is solely to comply with the law and the Board recognizes that it cannot support such a list because of the negotiation requirement with the legally recognized employee organizations.

Non-recommended Reductions in the FY1984 Board of Education Operating Budget to Reach the Council/Executive Budget Targets of \$364 and \$353.1 Million

Rank	Item	Pos.	Amount
ALL REQUESTED EXPANSION OR IMPROVEMENT EXCEPT THE NEW VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL CENTER:			
1	Area and Central Support IMPACT: Secretary in student affairs office remains half-time; Employee Assistance staff reduced 25%; special education appeal preparation slowed	1.8	\$ 79,000

2	Central Computer Support	2.0	415,000
	IMPACT: Attendance Accounting System not developed and Special Education Case Management System delayed; abandon plans to develop New Financial Accounting System, Procurement Support System and additional "productivity tools", interconnect to County computer, general system and data security support, and \$25,000 deficit in paper supplies		
3	Improved Control of Plant Operations	5.0	128,000
	IMPACT: Eliminate implementation of MORE Study recommendations to improve control and direction of custodial services		
4	Elementary Assistant Principals	4.0	143,000
	IMPACT: Failure to meet standards for assistant principals in schools where enrollments are increased by consolidations, and eliminate training opportunities for new principals		
5	Coordination of Efforts for Gifted and Talented	4.4	89,000
	IMPACT: Eliminates one period for one teacher in each high school to coordinate efforts for gifted and talented students, (i.e., identification, communication to parents and students, locating curriculum materials; planning teacher in-service)		
6	Elementary Counselors	8.0	183,000
	IMPACT: Eliminates expansion of elementary counseling services to 16 elementaries, serving approximately 6,400 students		
7	English Composition Aides	16.9	224,000
	IMPACT: Prevents phase-in of Language/Writing Workshop 2, a required intensive course in writing instruction in Grade 11		
8	Computer-Related Instruction Expansion	3.0	125,000
	IMPACT: Eliminates development of three semester elective courses in high school which would introduce programming beyond the elementary level; delays development and implementation of K-8 computer literacy curriculum; reduces technical support for developing and maintaining computer software for K-12 program		
9	Expansion of 7-Period Day to Remaining High Schools	41.5	855,000
	IMPACT: The eight high schools scheduled to begin a 7-period day could not do so, affecting course selections for approximately 9,000 students		
	Sub-total, Improvement Items	86.6	\$2,241,000

REDUCTION OR ELIMINATION OF CURRENT PROGRAMS OR SERVICES

10	Allocation for Gasoline	-	50,000
	IMPACT: Some restrictions likely would have to be placed on use of school buses		

11	Replacement of Maintenance Vehicles	-	100,000
	IMPACT: Postpones replacement of Maintenance vehicles which need to be traded-in		
12	Tuition for Private Placements of Handicapped	-	250,000
	IMPACT: Reduces allocation below present level and may severely restrict number and type of placements available		
13	Textbook and Instructional Materials	-	200,000
	IMPACT: Reduces allocation and future purchases below current levels		
14	Driver Education	22.0	800,000
	IMPACT: Approximately 5,800 16-18 year-olds each year would have to take driving instruction from private schools, at a cost of over \$100 each; \$350,000 in state revenue lost (\$65 per student); require lay-off of many long-time teachers.		
15	Coordination of Efforts for Gifted and Talented	6.2	125,000
	IMPACT: Eliminates 4.2 positions that provide one period daily for one teacher in each junior high to coordinate school efforts (See Item #5 for de- scription of function); eliminates 2 area teacher-specialists who work with 100-150 elementary students weekly as well as training elementary teachers.		
16	Existing 7-Period Day in High Schools	82.0	1,600,000
	IMPACT: Eliminates the opportunity for over 15,000 students in 14 high schools to choose a seventh period; will require lay-off of many long-time teachers		
17	Increase K-8 Class Size	86.0	1,700,000
	IMPACT: Increase in class size by one student at all levels except high school would mean less individual instruction for each student, greater workloads for teachers, less flexibility to adjust class sizes, an increase in the number of large classes and lay-off of many long-time teachers		
18	Reduce Negotiated Agreement	-	2,275,000
	IMPACT: A reduction will require renegotiation of agreements; amount would approximate a 20% reduction in the 5% cost-of-living increase (\$200 for an employee earning \$20,000); or would eliminate all stipends for extracurricular activities		
	Sub-total, Reduction or Elimination	196.2	9,341,000
	DEDUCT the cost of unemployment insurance for approximately 150 employees to be laid off		
		-	(900,000)
	TOTAL TO REACH \$364 MILLION LEVEL	282.8	8,441,000

Additional Non-Recommended Item to Reach a \$353.1 Million Level

19 Reduce Negotiated Agreement - 10,900,000

IMPACT: A reduction will require renegotiation of agreements; a reduction of this magnitude, if taken all from the cost-of-living provision, would approximate an 80% reduction in the 5% cost-of-living increase (\$800 for an employee earning \$20,000) leaving employees with a 1% cost-of-living increase; or other changes in agreement items such as the Employee Benefit Plan or extracurricular activity stipends would have to be renegotiated.

TOTAL, ALL ITEMS TO REACH \$353.1 MILLION 282.8 \$19,341,000

Re: Items of Information

The Board received the following item of information:

Evaluation of the ESOL/Bilingual Program: Validation and Reliability of the Assessment Measures

Re: Adjournment

The president adjourned the meeting at 11:45 p.m.

President

Secretary

EA:mlw