

APPROVED
35-1982

Rockville, Maryland
July 26, 1982

The Board of Education met in regular session at the Educational Services Center, Rockville, Maryland, on Monday, July 26, 1982, at 8 p.m.

ROLL CALL Present: Mrs. Eleanor D. Zappone, President in
the Chair
Mr. Joseph Barse*
Mr. Richard Claypoole
Mr. Blair Ewing
Mr. Kurt Hirsch
Mrs. Suzanne Peyser
Mrs. Carol Wallace

Absent: Dr. Marian Greenblatt

Others Present: Dr. Edward Andrews, Superintendent of
Schools
Dr. Harry Pitt, Deputy Superintendent
Mr. Thomas S. Fess, Parliamentarian

Re: Agenda - July 26, 1982

Mrs. Wallace moved approval of the agenda for July 26, 1982, with the addition of an item on executive session. Mrs. Peyser seconded the motion.

Resolution No. 577-82 Re: Board Agenda - July 26, 1982

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Wallace seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted with Mrs. Peyser, Mrs. Zappone, Mrs. Wallace, and Mr. Claypoole voting in the affirmative; Mr. Ewing abstaining (Mr. Hirsch voting in the affirmative):

Resolved, That the Board of Education approve its agenda for July 26, 1982, as amended.

Re: Approval of Revised MCPS Program of
Studies Description for National,
State, and Local
Government (FAILED)

*A motion by Mr. Hirsch seconded by Mrs. Wallace to approve the following failed with Mrs. Zappone, Mrs. Wallace, and Mr. Ewing voting in the affirmative; Mr. Barse and Mrs. Peyser voting in the negative; Mr. Claypoole abstaining (Mr. Hirsch voting in the affirmative):

WHEREAS, The school laws of Maryland specify that the county superintendent shall prepare courses of study and recommend them for adoption by the county Board (The Annotated Code of the Public

General Laws of Maryland, Education Volume 6 Section 4-205); and

WHEREAS, The school laws of Maryland also state that the county Board, on the written recommendation of the county superintendent, shall establish courses

*Mr. Barse joined the meeting at this point.

of study for the schools under its jurisdiction (The Annotated Code of the Public General Laws of Maryland, Education Volume 6 Section 4-110; and

WHEREAS, Board of Education policy has resolved "that newly developed curriculum documents will be presented to the Board of Education for consideration approximately one month prior to the date on which approval will be sought and the superintendent of schools may extend this period to allow further time for citizen reaction to curriculum documents dealing with sensitive topics" (from Board Resolution No. 400-73, June 18, 1973); and

WHEREAS, The Program of Studies is the document which contains the prescribed curriculum elements, including instructional objectives of all MCPS curriculum programs and courses (MCPS Regulation 345-1 Development and Approval of Curriculum and Supporting Materials); and

WHEREAS, Excellence in curriculum can be maintained only by continuing attention to the need for curriculum change; and

WHEREAS, The superintendent recommends that the Board approve the revision presented to the Board on June 21, 1982; now therefore be it Resolved, That the Board of Education approve the revised MCPS Program of Studies description for National, State, and Local Government as part of the social studies 9-12 curriculum.

Resolution No. 578-82 Re: Approval of the Revised Curriculum:
Sociology A

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Wallace seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted with Mrs. Zappone, Mr. Ewing, Mrs. Wallace, and Mr. Claypoole voting in the affirmative; Mr. Barse, and Mrs. Peyser abstaining (Mr. Hirsch voting in the affirmative):

WHEREAS, The school laws of Maryland specify that the county superintendent shall prepare courses of study and recommend them for adoption by the county Board (The Annotated Code of the Public General Laws of Maryland, Education Volume 6 Section 4-205); and

WHEREAS, Board of Education policy has resolved "that newly developed curriculum documents will be presented to the Board of Education for consideration approximately one month prior to the date on which approval will be sought and the superintendent of schools may extend this period to allow further time for citizen reaction to curriculum documents dealing with sensitive topics" (from Board Resolution No. 400-73, June 18, 1973); and

WHEREAS, The Program of Studies is the document which contains the prescribed curriculum elements, including instructional objectives of all MCPS curriculum programs and courses (MCPS Regulation 345-1 Development and Approval of Curriculum and Supporting Materials); and

WHEREAS, Excellence in curriculum can be maintained only by continuing attention to the need for curriculum change; and

WHEREAS, The Council on Instruction, charged by the superintendent with considering recommendations for curriculum change, has expressed approval of a revision of the course entitled Sociology I; and

WHEREAS, The superintendent recommends that the Board approve the revision presented to the Board on June 21, 1982; now therefore be it Resolved, That the Board of Education approve the revised version of Sociology A (formerly Sociology I) for the MCPS Program of Studies as part of the social studies 9-12 curriculum.

Resolution No. 579-82 Re: Elimination of the Unified
Mathematics Program from the
Program of Studies

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Wallace seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted with Mrs. Peyser, Mrs. Zappone, Mr. Ewing, Mrs. Wallace and Mr. Claypoole voting in the affirmative; Mr. Barse abstaining (Mr. Hirsch abstaining):

WHEREAS, The school laws of Maryland specify that the county superintendent shall prepare courses of study and recommend them for adoption by the county Board (The Annotated Code of the Public General Laws of Maryland, Education Volume 6 Section 4-205); and

WHEREAS, Board of Education policy has resolved "that newly developed curriculum documents will be presented to the Board of Education for consideration approximately one month prior to the date on which approval will be sought and the superintendent of schools may extend this period to allow further time for citizen reaction to curriculum documents dealing with sensitive topics" (from Board Resolution No. 400-73, June 18, 1973); and

WHEREAS, The Program of Studies is the document which contains the prescribed curriculum elements, including instructional objectives of all MCPS curriculum programs and courses (MCPS Regulation 345-1 Development and Approval of Curriculum and Supporting Materials); and

WHEREAS, Excellence in curriculum can be maintained only by continuing attention to the need for curriculum change; and

WHEREAS, The Council on Instruction, charged by the superintendent with considering recommendations for curriculum change, has recommended the phasing out of the Unified Mathematics program over

Resolved, That a contract for \$99,000 be awarded to G.W. Mechanical Contractors, Inc., to accomplish boiler replacement at Montgomery Blair High School in accordance with plans and specifications covering this work dated July 1, 1982, prepared by the Department of School Facilities.

Resolution No. 581-82 Re: Architectural Appointment - Program
Accessibility Modifications

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Hirsch seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, An architect is to be appointed to provide services for increased program accessibility for the handicapped; and

WHEREAS, Capital funds have been appropriated for this purpose and will be requested in future years; and

WHEREAS, Staff has employed the Architect/Engineer Selection Procedures approved by the Board of Education in November, 1975; and

WHEREAS, The nature of the Program Accessibility Modifications project is such that an hourly fee arrangement is most beneficial for the needs of MCPS; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the superintendent be authorized to enter into a contractual agreement with Arley J. Koran, Inc., to provide required design services and administer construction contracts for needed program accessibility modifications in accordance with the following fee schedule:

Principal	\$30 per hour
Project Architect	\$20 per hour
Draftsmen	\$12 per hour
Engineers	\$30 per hour
Clerical	\$4.50 per hour

and be it further

Resolved, That as future funds are appropriated to complete this work, the superintendent be authorized to extend the contractual agreement with Arley J. Koran, Inc., adjusted, if necessary, to include changes consistent with annual changes in the cost of living.

Resolution No. 582-82 Re: Architectural Appointment -
Washington Grove Elementary School
Modernization
(Area 3)

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Hirsch, seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, It is necessary to appoint an architect to provide required design services and administration of the construction contract for the Washington Grove Elementary School modernization project; and

WHEREAS, Staff has employed the Architect/Engineer Selection Procedures approved by the Board of Education in November 1975; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education enter into a contractual agreement with the firm of Thomas Clark Associates to provide required design services and administration of the construction contract for the lump sum of \$88,245 for the Washington Grove Elementary School project; and be it further

Resolved, That the State Interagency Committee for Public School Construction be informed of this appointment.

Resolution No. 583-82 Re: Change Order to Portable Classroom Relocation Contract

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Hirsch seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, MCPS is relocating locally-owned portable classroom units as follows:

- 2 Classrooms from Gaithersburg Elementary to Woodlin Elementary
- 1 Classroom from Montgomery Village Junior High to Redland Middle
- 1 Classroom from Whetstone Elementary to Gaithersburg Junior High

and

WHEREAS, It was originally planned to have the Division of Maintenance relocate the units, but the specialized equipment necessary to relocate these units is out of order and staff is not available to accomplish these moves; and

WHEREAS, The contractor relocating the state-owned portable unit from Montgomery Village Junior High to Woodlin Elementary has submitted a proposal to accomplish this work for \$2,989 each room; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the superintendent be authorized to issue a change order for \$11,956 to H & H Enterprises to relocate locally-owned portables (2 classrooms from Gaithersburg Elementary to Woodlin Elementary; 1 classroom from Montgomery Village Junior High to Redland Middle; and 1 classroom from Whetstone Elementary to Gaithersburg Junior High).

Resolution No. 584-82 Re: Storm Drainage Easement at the Burnt Mills School Site

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Hirsch

seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Representatives of the DuMont Oaks Corporation, owner of the land lying adjacent to the Burnt Mills School property, have requested a permanent storm drainage easement and right-of-way across Board of Education property for the purpose of developing the neighboring DuMont Oaks residential subdivision; and

WHEREAS, The engineering firm of Greenhorne and O'Mara has prepared the required documents and drawings, all in accordance with the guidelines established by the Montgomery County Department of Transportation and the Montgomery County Planning Board staff; and

WHEREAS, The county government staff, acting as current tenant and future owner of the subject property, has reviewed and approved the proposed terms of the right-of-way agreement and recommended approval; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Education still retains title to the Burnt Mills Elementary School property and thus must serve as the agent in any land transaction relating to the legal recordation of parcel alterations; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the president and secretary be authorized to execute a grant of slope easement, storm drain easement and right-of-way to Montgomery County, Maryland, consisting of approximately 1.5 acres of land from the former Burnt Mills Elementary School property.

Resolution No. 585-82 Re: Award of a Contract Under Bid
110-82, Bread and Rolls

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Hirsch seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Funds have been budgeted for the purchase of bread and rolls; now therefore be it

Resolved, That having been duly advertised May 26, 1982, the contract totaling \$261,264 for the furnishing of bread and rolls for the period of August 12, 1982, through August 11, 1983, under Invitation To Bid 110-82 be awarded to:

Schmidt Baking Company, Baltimore, Maryland,

low bidder meeting specifications.

Resolution No. 586-82 Re: Award of Contracts Under Bid
119-82, Fuel Oil

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Hirsch seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Funds have been budgeted for the purchase of fuel oil; now therefore be it

Resolved, That having been duly advertised June 11, 1982, the contracts totaling \$4,655,005 for the furnishing of fuel oil for the

period of July 27, 1982, through June 30, 1983, under Invitation to Bid 119-82 be awarded to:

Colonial Fuel Company, Washington, D.C.
Steuart Petroleum Company, Washington, D.C.

low bidders meeting specifications.

Resolution No. 587-82 Re: Award of Contracts Under Bid 121-82
Frozen Ground Beef Patties and
Sliced Wafer Steak

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Hirsch seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Funds have been budgeted for the purchase of frozen ground beef patties and sliced wafer steak; now therefore be it
Resolved, That having been duly advertised July 1, 1982, the contracts totaling \$167,859 for the furnishings of frozen ground beef patties and sliced wafer steak for the period of August 1, 1982, through November 19, 1982, under Invitation To Bid 121-82 be awarded to:

Silver Springs Farm, c/o Chaimson Brokerage Co., Inc., Balt., MD
Smelkinson Bros. Corporation, Jessup, MD

low bidders meeting specifications.

Resolution No. 588-82 Re: Award of Contract Under Bid 122-82,
French Fried Potatoes

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Hirsch seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Funds have been budgeted for the purchase of french fried potatoes; now therefore be it

Resolved, That having been duly advertised July 1, 1982, the contract totaling \$155,852 for the furnishing of french fried potatoes, for the period of August 1, 1982, through July 31, 1983, under Invitation To Bid 122-82 be awarded to:

Frost-Rite Frozen Foods, Inc., Baltimore, Maryland,

low bidder meeting specifications.

Resolution No. 589-82

Re: Award of Contract Under Bid 127-82,
Frozen Fish

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Hirsch seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Funds have been budgeted for the purchase of frozen fish; now therefore be it

Resolved, That having been duly advertised July 1, 1982, the contracts totaling \$20,565 for the furnishing of frozen fish for the period of August 1, 1982, through November 19, 1982, under Invitation To Bid 127-82 be awarded to:

Frederick Produce Company, Frederick, Maryland,

low bidder meeting specifications.

Resolution No. 590-82

Re: Award of Contracts Under Bid
129-82, Canned Fruits, Vegetables,
and Soups

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Hirsch seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Funds have been budgeted for the purchase of canned fruits, vegetables, and soups; now therefore be it

Resolved, That having been duly advertised July 1, 1982, the contracts totaling \$149,443 for the furnishing of canned fruits, vegetables, and soups for the period of August 1, 1982, through November 30, 1982, under Invitation To Bid 129-82 be awarded to:

Frederick Produce Co., Frederick, Maryland
Mazo-Lerch Co., Inc., Alexandria, Virginia
John Sexton and Co., Landover, Maryland
Smelkinson Bros. Corp., Jessup, Maryland,

low bidders meeting specifications.

Resolution No. 591-82

Re: Award of Contracts Under Bid
130-82, Frozen Foods

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Hirsch seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Funds have been budgeted for the purchase of frozen foods; now therefore be it

Resolved, That having been duly advertised July 1, 1982, the contracts totaling \$22,615 for the furnishing of frozen foods for the

period of August 1, 1982, through November 30, 1982, under Invitation To Bid 130-82 be awarded to:

Edward Boker Frosted Foods, Inc., Laurel, Maryland
Doughties Barbecue of Md., Inc., Tuxedo, Maryland
Frederick Produce Company, Inc., Frederick, Maryland
Frost-Rite Frozen Foods, Inc., Baltimore, Maryland
Smelkinson Brothers Corporation, Jessup, Maryland,

low bidders meeting specifications.

Resolution No. 592-82 Re: Award of Contracts Under Bid
131-82, Groceries

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Hirsch seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Funds have been budgeted for the purchase of growWHEREAS, Funds have been budgeted for the purchase of groceries; now therefore be it

Resolved, That having been duly advertised July 1, 1982, the contracts totaling \$138,126 for the furnishing of groceries, for the period of August 1, 1982, through January 31, 1983, under Invitation To Bid 131-82 be awarded to:

Frederick Produce Company, Inc., Frederick, Maryland
Mazo-Lerch Company, Inc., Alexandria, Virginia
John Sexton and Company, Landover, Maryland
Smelkinson Brothers Corporation, Jessup, Maryland
Wechsler Coffee Corporation, Baltimore, Maryland,

low bidders meeting specification.

Resolution No. 593-82 Re: Award of Contracts Under Bid
132-82, Cereals, Spices, Tuna Fish,
Crackers and Taco Shells

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Hirsch seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Funds have been budgeted for the purchase of cereals, spices, tuna fish, crackers, and taco shells; now therefore be it Resolved, That having been duly advertised July 1, 1982, the contracts totaling \$37,421 for the furnishing of cereals, spices, tuna fish, crackers, and taco shells, for the period of August 1, 1982, through August 31, 1982, under Invitation To Bid 132-82 be awarded to:

Foodservice Specialists, Inc., Baltimore, Maryland
Frederick Produce Co., Inc., Frederick, Maryland
Schoenfeld and Sons, Inc., McLean, Virginia

John Sexton and Co., Landover, Maryland
Smelkinson Bros. Corp., Jessup, Maryland,

low bidders meeting specifications.

Resolution No. 594-82 Re: Recommended Resolution on Award of
Contracts Under Bid 134-82, Poultry
Products

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Hirsch
seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted
unanimously:

WHEREAS, Funds have been budgeted for the purchase of poultry
products; now therefore be it
Resolved, That having been duly advertised July 1, 1982, the
contracts totaling \$45,020 for the furnishing of poultry products for
the period of August 1, 1982, through November 19, 1982, under
Invitation To Bid 134-82 be awarded to:

Dutterer's of Manchester Corporation, Baltimore, Maryland
Gilbert Connections Inc., Columbia, Maryland
Shenandoah Food Processors Corporation, Bridgewater, Virginia,

low bidders meeting specifications.

Resolution No. 595-82 Re: Award of Contracts Under Bid
135-82, Meat Products, Fresh and
Processed

On recommendation of the suprintendent and on motion of Mr. Hirsch
seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted
unanimously:

WHEREAS, Funds have been budgeted for meat products, fresh and
processed; now therefore be it

Resolved, That having been duly advertised July 1, 1982, the
contracts totaling \$32,545 for the furnishing of meat products, fresh
and processed, for the period of August 1, 1982, through November 19,
1982, under Invitation To Bid 135-82 be awarded to:

Doughtie's Barbecue of Maryland, Tuxedo, Maryland
Dutterer's of Manchester Corp., Baltimore, Maryland
Flavor Inn, c/o Capitol Food Sales, Inc., Laurel, Maryland
George A. Hormel and Co., Riverdale, Maryland
Smelkinson Bros. Corp., Jessup, Maryland
Washington Beef Co., Washington, D.C.,

low bidders meeting specifications.

Resolution No. 596-82 Re: Award of a Contract Under RFP
82-14, Microcomputer Maintenance
Agreement

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Hirsch seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Funds have been budgeted for the maintenance of Ohio Scientific Instruments microcomputers; now therefore be it Resolved, That having been duly advertised June 23, 1982, the contract totaling \$14,530 for the maintenance services on microcomputers for the period of July 27, 1982, through July 26, 1983, under RFP 82-14 be awarded to:

Community Computers, Arlington, Virginia,

low bidder meeting specification.

Resolution No. 597-82 Re: Lease/Purchase of IBM 8100 Word Processing Systems

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Hirsch seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The Montgomery County Public Schools has successfully utilized and experienced significant benefits from word processing since May, 1979, and

WHEREAS, The Office of the Superintendent, Office of the Deputy Superintendent, Area Offices (3), Office of the Associate Superintendent for Instruction and Program Development, Office of the Associate Superintendent for Special and Alternative Education, Department of Instructional Resources, Department of Human Relations, Department of Educational Accountability, Department of Financial Services, Department of Personnel Services, Division of Procurement and the Department of Academic Skills have all received approval in the FY 1983 operating budget to expand/install word processing equipment; and

WHEREAS, The Long-Range Plan for Future Use of Computer Technology, as presented to the Board of Education on August 11, 1981, calls for the installation of a cohesive and compatible word processing network permitting the interchange of text and data; and

WHEREAS, The current users of word processing have saturated the capacity of the two installed IBM 8100 word processing systems; and

WHEREAS, The Montgomery County Government has solicited bids and awarded a contract (No. MC6733) to the IBM Corporation to provide this requisite equipment; and

WHEREAS, MCPS, in cooperation with the county government, can order equipment under the prices and provisions of the county's existing contract; and

WHEREAS, The final agreement executed with the IBM Corporation will be subject to the fiscal year funding out clause; now therefore be it Resolved, That MCPS order five additional IBM 8100 systems, including one 8130 CPU, four 8140 CPUs, thirty-six 3732 CRT stations, and five 5210 printers on a 60-month installment purchase plan for \$11,758 per month plus \$3,446 per month for maintenance; and be it further

Resolved, That MCPS convert the two existing IBM 8100 systems from state and local lease payments to 60-month installment purchase agreements for an annual savings of \$34,176; and be it further

Resolved, That the superintendent be authorized to lease/purchase additional CRT stations and printers up to the maximum capacity of the CPUs when additional equipment and fund trade offs can both be provided and justified.

Resolution No. 598-82 Re: Repair of an Air Conditioning Unit
 at the Takoma Park Elementary
 School

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Hirsch seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, An air conditioning unit in the Takoma Park Elementary School developed a leak and requires major repairs and replacement of absorbers and condensers; and

WHEREAS, Only one source of supply, Bryan West Associates, can provide the parts and labor; and

WHEREAS, The exact cost cannot be determined until the equipment is disassembled, and a letter quotation from the sole source, Bryan West Associates, indicates that the replacement of parts and repair of the unit will cost \$12,377; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the superintendent is authorized to award a contract not to exceed a cost of \$12,237 to Bryan West Associates, McLean, Virginia, to repair an air conditioning unit at the Takoma Park Elementary School.

Resolution No. 599-82 Re: Award of Contracts Under Bid
 133-82, Cafeteria Disposable
 Supplies

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Hirsch seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Funds have been budgeted for cafeteria disposable supplies; now therefore be it

Resolved, That having been duly advertised July 1, 1982, the

contracts totaling \$273,375 for the furnishing of cafeteria disposable supplies, for the period of August 1, 1982, through January 31, 1983, under Invitation To Bid 133-82 be awarded to:

Acme Paper and Supply Co., Inc., Savage, Maryland
S. Freedman & Sons, Inc., Landover, Maryland
Kahn Paper Co., Inc., Hyattsville, Maryland
Leonard Paper Co., Baltimore, Maryland
Monumental Paper Co., Baltimore, Maryland,

low bidders meeting specifications.

Resolution No. 600-82 Re: Award of a Contract Under Bid 1-83,
Snack Items

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Hirsch seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Funds have been budgeted for snack items; now therefore be it

Resolved, That having been duly advertised July 6, 1982, the contract totaling \$12,262 for the furnishing of snack items, for the period of August 13, 1982, through August 12, 1983, under Invitation to Bid 1-83 be awarded to:

Herr's Potato Chips, Inc., Jessup, Maryland,

low bidder meeting specifications.

Resolution No. 601-82 Re: Award of a Contract Under Bid 3-83,
Fresh Eggs, Fresh Fruits,
Vegetables, and Salad Mixes

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Hirsch seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Funds have been budgeted for the purchase of fresh eggs, fresh fruits, vegetables, and salad mixes; now therefore be it
Resolved, That having been duly advertised July 8, 1982, the contract totaling \$277,000 for the furnishing of fresh eggs, fresh fruits, vegetables, and salad mixes for the period of August 24, 1982, through August 23, 1983, under Invitation to Bid 3-83 be awarded to:

Hampshire Open Air Market, Inc., Takoma Park, Maryland,

low bidder meeting specifications.

Re: Board/Press/Visitor Conference

Mr. Malcolm Lawrence appeared before the Board.

Re: Methods for Assessing School
Effectiveness

The superintendent invited Dr. Frankel and Dr. Frechtling to the table. He said that the main interest in presenting this paper to the Board at this time was to answer questions from Board members and then to set a time to work through it. He said they had tried to develop a comprehensive system which has instruction as a cornerstone. The report outlined a whole series of items designed to give dimensions of program effectiveness in each of the individual schools, and he thought it was a way of helping principals and teachers to be more effective in working with their students.

Dr. Frankel explained that this paper was in response to the recently adopted K-8 policy with certain of the amendments relating to feedback, and with the presentation of Mr. Barse's resolution. He said that if they in MCPS were to look at the relative effectiveness of different schools what would be the best way of doing this and they had contacted a lot of people and done a lot of research. He referred to section II of the report, and said there was no common agreement among researchers as to how one went about measuring effectiveness and what to look for. Three different techniques had been used, i.e., standardized test scores, school climate measures, and "expert judgment". There is no one method that will do the trick, and no common agreement as to which schools should be compared in assessing effectiveness, e.g., test scores. He said that if you try to take all schools in the system and rank them in order of test scores, often what you will end up with is a relative indicator of wealth, and you will also end up penalizing schools that have youngsters who start with many disadvantages and they may be doing a fantastic job. Another method is to use some kind of a base line measure, i.e., scores at a given grade level, and see what changes you get at cross years. But, no matter what you do, there are disadvantages with any of these approaches. He stated that what they had tried to do briefly was lay out what some of these approaches are and the strengths and weaknesses of each one. The third weakness of such a program is that the cost and effort which go into a really good comprehensive school assessment program, if you are not really careful, can outweigh the benefits. It's a very expensive, time consuming thing to do. He stated that unless you can get feedback into the instructional program and have something you feel will improve things for youngsters, it probably is not best to go down this path at all. To get around these problems, he recommended that you look at how to develop an effective school assessment program: first, the measures which you use have to have a high degree of face validity; be understandable to the general public; be fairly difficult to manipulate; and be methodologically sound. Every measure that you use ought to be able to stand by itself in order to meet the test of common sense. Schools should not be grouped on the basis of what children bring to school with them but on a basis of a baseline level of performance against which you should look at improvement. He said he felt it is the only equitable way and the only way of getting a handle on what the schools are doing through

the assessment program.

Dr. Frankel outlined some of the measures they have used and which he thinks are worth looking at: first, test scores supplemented with criterion reference tests which have a much better fix on what schools are teaching youngsters in this county; second, parent satisfaction; third, teacher and student ratings relating to climate and policy; fourth, graduate follow-up, including elementary, junior high and middle schools; and finally, audits of program implementation. He stated that none of this was new to Montgomery County, however, they have never tried to capture the data systemwide and tried to put it altogether into a unified form. If the Board decides to go ahead with this, he said that would be the one change that he would recommend. He went on to say that one of the things that we would not get out of it would be a single score saying that X school was a 93 and Y school was a 32. Instead, we might have between five and sixteen different areas we were concerned with coming out of these techniques and we might say that a given school was very strong in its academics but very poor in school climate and is merely doing an average job in another one. He said he thought this would give a much better view of a school, almost a profile.

Dr. Frankel said that Appendix A showed a preliminary design for an elementary school testing program. He recommended that at three different intervals, the beginning of a student's career, at third grade, then at sixth grade we do really comprehensive workups of what an individual student looks like. He said this was only an example, it was not the last word, but it was the best he was able to come up with and he believed it had some things to recommend it.

Mrs. Zappone mentioned that The Fifteen Thousand Hours contained a great deal about measuring time on task of students in the classroom and wondered where that fit into the survey. Dr. Frankel answered that time on task could go into two different ways, either the audit technique where people went into a school and looked at the instructional program. Also, from surveys of students and teachers.

He said, however, he was not referring to the time on task but some of the other things such as what the school looked like and what seemed to affect the way students acted in a school. Mrs. Zappone referred to the question of money and whether it was worth doing this and asked if Dr. Frankel had formed any elementary kinds of judgment about what it might cost and the results, and would they be worth the effort expended and the dollars. Dr. Frankel said that to come up with a good estimate of time and cost, if the Board should decide to go ahead, they would develop a formal design which would show cost and time parameters. As to whether it was worth doing, he felt it was worth looking at and making sure it was not worth doing rather than throwing out the idea. Dr. Frechtling said she placed more value on audits and parent surveys rather than testing. She said she has heard very strong support from the people she had talked to who deal with parent surveys, student surveys, and especially the audit approach in really looking at programs and program implementation.

In terms of the kind of feed-in information it gets, it gets schools, teachers, and principals interested about what it is they should change. She feels that if you get a high test score or a low test score, the score itself doesn't tell very much about what is being done wrong or right. You get an awful lot of information from talking to parents and students about what is not working on the instructional level. The depth of information received is really quite fabulous. Mr. Ewing said he felt it was essential for the Board to find a way to assess how well the school system is doing, and he felt that if this was going to be done it should be done well and not rely exclusively on one dimension. He wondered what would happen to the results and what would be the consequences for the people who run the system or parts of it if those results were not attended to and something done about them when they were indicative that something should be done. He was concerned, not with the paper, but with who was going to use this system, for what end, who would interpret the results, and who will make something as a consequence of the results being obtained. He felt they should not go forward with something like this without being clear about what the body of research which has been done in this field says to them at this juncture. He felt it was important that the Board have an analytic paper which examines what they know about this subject before they go forward on it. Dr. Frankel responded that he felt it should be part of the management system administered through the office of the deputy superintendent. Dr. Andrews said that these were some of the technical parts in an initial thinkpiece, e.g., the principals in the county are very much interested in the paper and have had some initial discussions in the administrative team. He felt it might be put into a report similar to the high schools middle states report consisting of the public report, a profile of the criteria, a report from the staff of the school as to their analysis of strengths indicated and what they would do to build on those. The next report might include a comparison to see what had happened since the initial report. He felt that that if the Board wished to pursue this matter they ought to establish the setting, the process, the results, the steps, and how it should be monitored. Mr. Barse said he thought it was an excellent paper and was exactly what was needed at this stage. His conclusion was that the superintendent should proceed with steps 1, 2 and 3 as outlined in the covering memorandum attached to the report to develop a formal design document. Dr. Andrews asked for a consensus of the Board as to how to proceed. Mrs. Zappone said there appeared to be a number of Board members who were very interested in the subject and she felt it should be pursued. Mr. Ewing felt that before the Board acts on this subject that they invite comment from the administrators and teaching staff through their employee organizations so that the Board has that kind of knowledge.

Re: Report of the Committee on
Smoking Areas

The superintendent introduced Mr. Robert Hacker as chairperson of the committee and said that the report would come before the Board in September for action. He felt that the committee had done an excellent job, but he personally felt that smoking areas and

possession of tobacco should be banned from schools. Mr. Hacker introduced Joyce Constantine and Phyllis Brush from MCCPTA and John Lewis, assistant principal at Peary high school. He referred to the recommendations contained on page 10, and said that the majority of the committee felt the present policy on smoking areas should be continued. Mrs. Zappone asked if anyone had investigated the availability of the ecolizer machine which had been used in New Hampshire and what it would cost. Mr. Hacker said that the committee had not, but Dr. Pitt said that they could do that. Mrs. Peyser asked if all the smoking areas were outside the buildings and inquired what the smokers did on very, very cold winter days. Mr. Hacker said he did not know but that the girls lavatories were used for smoking in the winter. She asked if there was any kind of a check on parental approval, or if ninth graders were smoking. Mr. Hacker said this was rarely done. They were not allowed to smoke on class time, but he has noticed that the incidence of smoking by students has decreased. Mrs. Wallace said that even although she was a smoker she was supportive of keeping youngsters from smoking and if it required a second hall monitor she would vote for that. Mr. Barse inquired if the statement that approximately half of the students at Rockville high school who smoke do smoke over ten cigarettes per day was correct. Mr. Hacker responded that he thought it said that if 15% of the students smoked, then 7% would smoke over ten cigarettes per day. Mr. Barse said he concluded from the report that those addicted smokers were going to find some way to smoke no matter what was done, and they would be the offenders in attempting to enforce a policy. He inquired if there was any implication that a smoking ban would apply everywhere on the school grounds and in the building, including faculty and staff areas. Mr. Hacker said the committee's charge was to address student smoking but that staff smoking did get into it a little bit. Mr. Ewing said he felt that if the Board were to act to prohibit student smoking and did not act to prohibit smoking by others in the school building, that the hazards would not be as fully reduced, and there would be a good deal of anger on the part of students about a double standard. He felt that if the Board were going to ban smoking they should have an enforcement policy and an educational policy. He thought that MCPS should look at other school systems where a smoking ban had been enforced with success.

Mrs. Constantine said that the committee was very concerned with the issue of devoting sufficient money and resources to enforce the policy. Mr. Claypoole noted on page 9 the reference to the report from the California School Boards bulletin and inquired if that was

likely to occur in this area. Mrs. Brush said there was always a handful of students who would assert their independence.

Re: Oral Argument in the Matter of BOE
1982-2

The Board met in executive session to hear oral argument in the matter of BOE 1982-2.

Re: Board Member Comments

Mrs. Wallace said that she was very, very disturbed at the schedule for the Board hearings on July 27, 1982, which established the last speaker to appear at 1:05 a.m. and she hoped that in the future the schedule could be arranged for such meetings to start earlier.

Re: Date of September Meeting

Mrs. Zappone asked for the consensus of the Board on the date of the September all day meeting, and it was agreed to change the date of that meeting to Thursday, September 16.

Resolution No. 603-82 Re: Executive Session - August 23, 1982

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Wallace seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted with Mrs. Wallace, Mrs. Peyser, Mrs. Zappone, Mr. Claypoole and Mr. Ewing voting in the affirmative; Mr. Barse abstaining (Mr. Hirsch voting in the affirmative):

WHEREAS, The Board of Education of Montgomery County is authorized by Article 76A, Section 11(a) of the Annotated Code of Maryland to conduct certain of its meetings in executive closed session; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education of Montgomery County hereby conduct its meeting in executive closed session beginning on August 23, 1982, at approximately 9:30 p.m. to discuss, consider, deliberate, and/or otherwise decide the employment, assignment, appointment, promotion, demotion, compensation, discipline, removal, or resignation of employees, appointees, or officials over whom it has jurisdiction, or any other personnel matter affecting one or more particular individuals and to comply with a specific constitutional, statutory or judicially imposed requirement protecting particular proceedings or matters from public disclosure as permitted under Article 76A, Section 11(a) and that such meeting shall continue in executive closed session until the completion of business.

Resolution No. 604-82 Re: Executive Session - July 28, 1982

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Peyser seconded by Mr. Claypoole, the following resolution was adopted with Mr. Barse, Mrs. Peyser, Mrs. Zappone, Mr. Claypoole and Mrs. Wallace voting in the affirmative; Mr. Ewing abstaining (Mr. Hirsch abstaining):

WHEREAS, The Board of Education of Montgomery County is authorized by Article 76A, Section 11(a) of the Annotated Code of Maryland to conduct certain of its meetings in executive closed session; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education of Montgomery County hereby conduct its meeting in executive closed session beginning on July 28, 1982, at 6 p.m. to consult with legal counsel; to consult with staff

personnel, consultants, attorneys, or other persons in connection with pending or potential litigation with regard to school closure actions and the implementation of State Board of Education decisions; and to comply with a specific constitutional, statutory or judicially imposed requirement protecting particular proceedings or matters from public disclosure as permitted under Article 76A, Section 11(a) and that such meeting shall continue in executive closed session until the completion of business.

Re: New Business

1. Mrs. Peyser proposed a resolution and Mr. Barse seconded that the rate of tuition for the children of full time employees who reside outside of the county will be one half of the annual rate of tuition for all other nonresidents.

2. Mrs. Wallace said she had asked previously for a breakdown of the additional costs for transportation for the change in school opening times and said that the response she received did not apparently include every school and she requested that this be addressed and brought to the Board table as soon as possible with a complete update on the schools that are not included in the memo and she felt that the Board should sit down and discuss it. The superintendent responded that he did not think that was a major task and he had discussed this with his staff earlier today.

Resolution No. 605-82 Re: Minutes of June 1, 1982

On motion of Mrs. Peyser seconded by Mr. Hirsch, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the minutes of June 1, 1982, be approved.

Resolution No. 606-82 Re: Minutes of July 1, 1982

On motion of Mrs. Peyser seconded by Mr. Hirsch, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the minutes of July 1, 1982, be approved.

Re: Items of Information

Board members received the following items of information:

1. 1981-82 Report on Child Abuse/Neglect Referrals
2. Report on Nonresident Tuition
3. Land Dedication - Highland View Elementary School
4. Report on the 1981 Basic Skills Summer School Program

Re: Adjournment

The president adjourned the meeting at 1:52 a.m.

President

Secretary

EA:lmg