



In short, this year is one in which the Board should call on its most experienced and able leadership. Instead, I read in today's Washington Post that four members, without consultation with the other three or the student member, have determined that two of our numbers, neither with prior experience as a Board member, are to lead us. The only implication the public can read is one that the incoming president, regardless of her own ample capability, is to be but a titular head.

"I cannot vote for inexperienced leadership; I will not wish such impossible conditions on good leaders or on the school system about which I care so much. Therefore, I will follow the course I took last year of voting for myself, with neither hope nor desire of becoming an officer again, but feeling I must vote in protest."

Mr. Ewing stated that he agreed very strongly with Mrs. Spencer's statement, and he was particularly troubled by the notion that some members of the Board were neither consulted nor informed of the discussions that were going on. He said if this were an occasional matter, now and again occurring, he would not be much bothered by it. He said it had, however, become habitual, and on many issues there were consultations which involved four or five Board members, but certainly not all Board members. He thought that did not violate the law; however, it was clearly unethical. He said it was unethical because the Board was a body composed of seven members and the student member, and he thought it was incumbent on them when they were conducting and discussing public business to consult with one another. It forced those of them who were not being told what was being planned to make public statements of this sort and to take their case to the public at large. He said he would continue to do that, and he suspected Mrs. Spencer would, too, as long as he was on the Board and so long as his colleagues continued to behave in a highly unethical manner. He thought, therefore, the election today was an election which was flawed, flawed by the unethical behavior of those members of the Board, Mrs. Greenblatt, Mr. Barse, Mrs. Zappone, and Mrs. Peyser, not Mrs. Wallace because she, too, had not been a party to the consultations, not Mrs. Spencer or himself, not the student member. He thought it was close to becoming a scandal that the Board behaved in this fashion.

Resolution No. 1152-81

Re: Suspension of Local Board  
Rules Dealing with Board  
Elections

On motion of Mr. Ewing seconded by Mrs. Spencer, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the Board suspend that part of the local Board rules which requires the vote for Board officers to be by secret ballot.

Re: Election of  
Board Officers

The superintendent announced that on the first ballot for Board president, Mr. Barse, Dr. Greenblatt, Mrs. Peyser, and Mrs. Zappone had voted for Mrs. Zappone, Mrs. Spencer and Mrs. Wallace had voted for Mrs. Spencer, Mr. Ewing had voted for Mrs. Wallace, and Mr. Lipson (if counted) had voted for Mrs. Spencer. Mrs. Zappone was the new Board president. Mrs. Zappone announced that on the first ballot for vice president, Mr. Barse, Dr. Greenblatt, Mrs. Peyser, and Mrs. Zappone had voted for Mrs. Peyser, Mr. Ewing, Mrs. Spencer, and Mrs. Wallace had voted for Mrs. Spencer, and Mr. Lipson (if counted) had voted for Mrs. Wallace. Mrs. Peyser was the new Board vice president.

Re: Statement by Mrs. Zappone

Mrs. Zappone read the following into the record:

"Ladies and gentlemen of the Board, I thank you for the honor of serving as the Board president. As you know, I have not served in either of the two officer positions before, and therefore more than most new presiding officers I will need your help and cooperation. We have been through a challenging, stimulating, but stormy year with Mrs. Wallace in this chair. Our accomplishments have been many, the hours long. Occasionally our tempers have been strained. In this regard I am asking each member to speak only to the particular issue under consideration and in as brief a manner as possible. It is my intention to establish a reasonable agenda and to keep within the time frames indicated. It is my hope that this year we will give the staff and superintendent all of the support we can to ensure the consolidations ahead will be successful. We face many other challenges in this year: negotiating fair and equitable contracts with MCPS employees, adjusting to the new federal fiscal policies, as well as the possible impact of the final decision in Somerset vs. Hornbeck, to name a few. We cannot afford the luxury of personal rivalry or vindictiveness. The young people of this county need and deserve our united effort. Thank you."

Re: Statement by Mrs. Peyser

Mrs. Peyser made the following statement:

"I, too, of course am honored by my Board members and look forward to the opportunity of working very, very hard this year to support this Board's priorities and to focus on our primary responsibility which is the education of all the children in the county. I enthusiastically support everything that Mrs. Zappone just said and hope that all Board members will help us as we focus on this our primary responsibility, helping the teachers teach and the children learn. This Board of Education is not

responsible for commercial development in Silver Spring, in Bethesda, in Poolesville, or Damascus. The Board of Education is not responsible for housing patterns or for zoning laws and land use planning that created these housing patterns. We are responsible for education. The county executive, the County Council, and the Planning Board are responsible for those other things. I will do my best and dedicate all my efforts this year toward our responsibility which is educating the children and helping all of the children to learn more next year than they did this year and more in all of the years to come.

Re: Statement by Mr. Ewing

Mr. Ewing made the following statement:

"I would like to say that I think it needs to be noted that Mrs. Wallace has presided over the Board with fairness and with concern that every Board member should have the opportunity to have his or her say. In that, I think she has excelled, and I am pleased to congratulate her on that. I believe that is key to the function of the presidency, and as president, therefore, in my judgment she has been a success. I would say that the call for my cooperation is a call to which I will pay heed when I have evidence that the officers of the Board and the group that elected them are seeking my cooperation genuinely which means consulting me when they are making decisions."

Re: A Statement by  
Mrs. Wallace

Mrs. Wallace read the following into the record:

"Although many have said that this has been a very, very difficult year in which to serve in the presidency, I found it productive, gratifying, stimulating, and to say the least very tiring. In January the Board established priorities for the year. I won't take the time now to expand upon what the Board has accomplished this year, but I will say that most of these priorities have been met or are well underway. I would like briefly at this time to thank my vice president, Mrs. Spencer, who has certainly been a source of strength and comfort to me. To Mr. Ewing with whom I infrequently agree, thank you for your vote of confidence. My thanks and gratitude also to the superintendent for his support, staff members who have been most cooperative, Mr. Fess for his shoulder, ample though it may be, and to our wonderful Board staff who are so caring even under the most trying of circumstances. Also my thanks to the public who have for the most part interacted with dignity and understanding of the position of the president and of the difficulties sometimes inherent therein.

"Finally, my sincere appreciation and love to my husband, sons, and even friends who found that they saw less of me this year than they ever imagined possible. Hopefully this year the fast-

food or T.V. dinner will again become the exception rather than the rule in our home. Thank you."

Re: Statement by Mr. Barse

Mr. Barse read the following:

"I want to add my thanks to our officers of the past year, Mrs. Wallace and Mrs. Spencer, for dedicated and fine service in their positions. At the same time I do believe very strongly that the officers of the Board are historically and should continue to be rotated so that each member of the Board who is able to serve in those positions has an opportunity to do so. It is with that philosophy that I have cast my vote today because I believe that every member of this Board by virtue of being on the Board is qualified to be a Board officer. I don't think that issue is remotely involved. I think it is fair play to distribute the workload as much as it can be distributed among the Board members. Again I want to thank both Mrs. Wallace and Mrs. Spencer for doing excellent jobs in their officer positions for the past year."

Re: Statement by the  
Superintendent

Dr. Andrews read the following statement:

"To our newly elected officers, Mrs. Zappone and Mrs. Peyser, I assure you that my staff colleagues and I will work positively and productively with you helping you in every way we can, and we look forward to that task.

"To Mrs. Wallace and Mrs. Spencer, but primarily to Mrs. Wallace, I would like to say that I have never worked with anybody who has spent as much time or worked as diligently to carry out all the functions of the Board president as Mrs. Wallace did this past year. It has not been an easy year for anyone at this table. She has always been available and accessible at some great cost to her own family. She has provided, I believe, consistent and fair leadership to the Board, public, and staff during a period of some very difficult decision making, and she has always treated staff members cordially and with professional respect and that is something that those of my colleagues and I who probably put in as long a work hour as anyone feel is a very important factor that this whole Board has treated staff members in that way, particularly under the pressure you have all had this past year. Mrs. Wallace has virtually always retained her sense of humor and, believe me, that has been very helpful, and she has been my friend and a delightful person to work with. I appreciate very much what she did this past year."

On behalf of his colleagues on the Board as secretary-treasurer of the Board, he presented to the outgoing president, Mrs. Carol F. Wallace, an engraved gavel.

Re: Approval of the Agenda -  
December 8, 1981

Mrs. Peyser moved approval of the agenda, and Mr. Barse seconded the motion.

Resolution No. 1153-81

Re: An Amendment to the  
Agenda for December 8,  
1981

On motion of Mr. Lipson seconded by Mrs. Spencer, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the Board of Education amend its agenda for December 8, 1981 to give 15 minutes to the item on the procedures for the election of the student Board member.

Re: A Motion by Mr. Ewing to  
Amend the Agenda for  
December 8, 1981 (FAILED)

A motion by Mr. Ewing to amend the agenda for December 8, 1981, by deleting the item on Montgomery Blair High School failed with Mr. Ewing and Mrs. Spencer voting in the affirmative; Mr. Barse, Dr. Greenblatt, Mrs. Peyser, and Mrs. Zappone voting in the negative; Mrs. Wallace abstaining (Mr. Lipson voting in the affirmative).

Resolution No. 1154-81

Re: An Amendment to the  
Agenda for December 8,  
1981

On motion of Mrs. Wallace seconded by Mr. Ewing, the following resolution was adopted with Mr. Barse, Mr. Ewing, Dr. Greenblatt, Mrs. Spencer, Mrs. Wallace, and Mrs. Zappone voting in the affirmative; Mrs. Peyser abstaining (Mr. Lipson voting in the affirmative):

Resolved, That the Board of Education amend its agenda for December 8, 1981, by adding a discussion of the Kensington/Parkwood hearing.

Resolution No. 1155-81

Re: An Amendment to the  
Agenda for December 8,  
1981

On motion of Mrs. Wallace seconded by Mr. Ewing, the following resolution was adopted with Mr. Barse, Mr. Ewing, Dr. Greenblatt, Mrs. Peyser, Mrs. Spencer, and Mrs. Wallace voting in the affirmative; Mrs. Zappone abstaining (Mr. Lipson voting in the affirmative):

Resolved, That the Board of Education amend its agenda for

December 8, 1981, to add items on Georgetown Hill and Lake Normandy Elementary Schools.

Resolution No. 1156-81

Re: Agenda for December 8,  
1981

On motion of Mrs. Peyser seconded by Mr. Barse, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the Board of Education approve its agenda for December 8, 1981, as amended.

Re: Board Member Comments

1. Mrs. Wallace read the following statement into the record and requested that it be read at every meeting and all work sessions of the Board:

"Mrs. Wallace will be out of town attending to personal business from December 9 until approximately December 21, 1981. She promises to read all testimony on the Board hearings and will be briefed on all other meetings or worksessions."

2. Mr. Ewing reported that he had attended a forum on Saturday to which all Board members were invited; however, he was the only Board member present. It was a forum to review the decisions the Board had taken regarding school closures and quality education/racial balance. There were approximately 250 people present with a large number of elected officials, leaders of civic groups, representatives of religious groups, PTA leadership, and school principals in attendance. He said that one person attending was Rose Kramer who had been on the Board of Education when the Board was desegregating Montgomery County in the 1950's. He said the tone of the meeting was one of great determination to bring about changes and of great anger about what the Board had done. In opening remarks by Mr. Christeller he said that the Board of Education majority had acted to resegregate the public schools and that would do harm to the schools in that part of the county and to Silver Spring revitalization. Mrs. Kramer had said to him that in her memory since there had been elected Boards of Education in Montgomery County no Board of Education had been so negative, so destructive, so harmful, and so careless of the county's well being and reputation for decency as this one. He did not know what would be the result of all this, but he thought that Board members should hear this particularly because it was not a group exclusively called together from the Blair area. Furthermore, he had not called the group together. Mr. Nix made the observation in his opening remarks that it was indeed, an insult to the black community to suggest that anybody would, in fact, be able to arouse the black community. It was a suggestion that the black community did not know what its best interests were, and it took a white man to stir them up. This was not true.

Mr. Nix went on to say that what Mr. Ewing said about the Board majority was mild by comparison to what the black leaders said.

3. Mr. Barse stated that now that Lynnbrook and Rosemary Hills Elementary Schools have been closed it was important to recognize that by these decisions the Board has created a new design within the B-CC group of elementary schools. He said that the shape of the larger design was clear because the new school consolidation/integration plan was about to arrive in the B-CC area. The Board had strengthened four of the remaining seven B-CC feeders with the high probability that the other schools would receive attention. He believed they had markedly improved educational opportunity for all those students who would be assigned to the four schools. He said the new consolidation plan had three major advantages. The first was better education, the second was better integration of minorities, and the third was better utilization of school facilities. He said it was better education because each one of the four schools would now have an all-day kindergarten. All the schools involved would now have all the grades. With K-6 there would be greater continuity in the instructional program and it would be easier to recruit parent volunteers and school safety patrols. He was convinced that the K-6 form of organization was more popular with most parents than the split grades. He said that MCPS was in competition for students with private schools in the area. He said that the new plan resulted in better integration of minorities because more majority children were being included in the new plan than would have come in if they left things as they were or adopted any of the other recommendations. As a result of the plan proportions of minority students enrolled at the four schools will all be about the same and much closer to the countywide average. He said it was integration which was fair because as schools were closed both high minority and high majority schools took some of the burdens of adjustment and transportation. He stated that Rosemary Hills as well as Lynnbrook were closed. He said that when Rosemary Hills K-2 students were reassigned they were reassigned in the main according to assignment patterns already in effect. He explained that the new plan was a better utilization of school facilities because it reduced the number of empty seats in elementary schools. He said that as a result of these decisions there was now an opportunity to benefit the taxpayers by a net reduction in expenditures of \$100,000 per year for this area or to use those funds for utility bills. He said there were important transitional details to be worked out. He was sure that the superintendent and his staff had a list. He felt that the Board first needed to decide such matters as student transfers and bus transportation among the four B-CC group schools. In the budget decisions the Board ought to recommend levels of QIE resources and staffing for these schools. The Board should urge the superintendent to put together and publicly identify staff leadership assignments and responsibilities for assuring a smooth transition. He said they needed to have a detailed plan to get the official word out to the participating

communities to explain just what the new arrangements were, and he suggested flyers, brochures, school meetings held, open houses arranged, for example. He said that if they did all these things they would have a successful transition and a new integration plan in place.

4. Mr. Lipson commented that to the well-trained eye the Board appeared to be fairly divided by its "political desires" and intentions. He said that as student member he always tried to stay out of that, but he hoped that the Board would try in the future to act less along political lines and along lines that he thought were more for the benefit of students. He asked that members of the Board try to look objectively at the issues before them and not in the light of whether they represent conservative or liberal ideology. He said they were here to educate and not be political.

5. Dr. Greenblatt reported that yesterday she had attended the Maryland Association of Boards of Education executive board meeting, and there were two issues raised. She thought that possibly these should go on a future agenda or have testimony prepared. MABE was concerned about whether local boards were taking positions on the Values Commission. There was to be testimony on the social studies curriculum and the implications of the state role in curriculum on a local level.

6. Dr. Greenblatt observed that there had been discussion about particular closures the Board had made. She had looked back in history and on August 5, 1975, there was a situation where the staff had brought forward and the Board was considering the closure of Takoma Park Elementary School and the school was going to be consolidated with Piney Branch. At the August 5, 1975 Board meeting, the Board was delayed two hours in starting its evening session by a group of about 50 Takoma Park residents who staged a noisy protest. About two dozen county police were on hand when the Board reconvened and the rest of the meeting proceeded without incident. The Takoma Park residents were opposed to one of the ten resolutions the Board had adopted in principle on June 26 to give guidance to the area planning committees and local evaluation committees in the study of small schools and racial imbalance. Resolution No. 7 asked the committee to consider the closure of Takoma Park Elementary School, moving most of the students to Piney Branch and creating a K-5, 6-8, 9-12 grade organization. Because of the delay in starting the meeting, those resolution were never considered. That occurred on August 5. On August 19, the Board deleted "to close the existing Takoma Park Elementary School building and convert Piney Branch Middle to serve students in K through Grade 5." On September 4, 1975, there was a statement in the minutes which said that the Board agreed by consensus that they were going to build a new elementary school building and seek funds from the LAC. In September 1979 the school opened a block and a half from Piney Branch.

7. Mrs. Wallace pointed out that the Board had received an information item on Values Education. She said that if Dr. Greenblatt desired she might recommend to put this on a future agenda.

8. Mrs. Zappone reported that they had been informed by the superintendent that the Martin Luther King Junior High School had been selected by the American Association of School Administrators for exhibition of school architecture in New Orleans from February 26 to March 1. She said that this was an honor for the project architect, Duane and Duane.

Re: Executive Session  
Resolution

Dr. Greenblatt moved approval of the resolutions on executive session and Mrs. Peyser seconded the motion.

Resolution No. 1157-81

Re: An Amendment to the  
Proposed Resolution  
on Executive Session  
for December 21, 1981

On motion of Mrs. Spencer seconded by Dr. Greenblatt, the following resolution was adopted with Mr. Ewing, Dr. Greenblatt, Mrs. Peyser, Mrs. Spencer, Mrs. Wallace, and Mrs. Zappone voting in the affirmative; Mr. Barse abstaining (Mr. Lipson voting in the affirmative):

Resolved, That the proposed resolution on executive session for December 21, 1981, be amended to add personnel matters.

Resolution No. 1158-81

Re: Executive Session -  
December 12, 1981

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Greenblatt seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The Board of Education of Montgomery County is authorized by Article 76A, Section 11(a) of the Annotated Code of Maryland to conduct certain of its meetings in executive closed session; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education of Montgomery County hereby conduct its meeting in executive closed session beginning on December 12, 1981, at 9 a.m. to discuss collective bargaining negotiations as permitted under Article 76A, Section 11(a) and that such meeting shall continue in executive closed session until the completion of business.

Resolution No. 1159-81

Re: Executive Session -  
December 15, 1981

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Greenblatt seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The Board of Education of Montgomery County is authorized by Article 76A, Section 11(a) of the Annotated Code of Maryland to conduct certain of its meetings in executive closed session; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education of Montgomery County hereby conduct its meeting in executive closed session beginning on December 15, 1981, at 8:30 p.m. to discuss collective bargaining negotiations as permitted under Article 76A, Section 11(a) and that such meeting shall continue in executive closed session until the completion of business.

Resolution No. 1160-81

Re: Executive Session -  
December 17, 1981

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Greenblatt seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The Board of Education of Montgomery County is authorized by Article 76A, Section 11(a) of the Annotated Code of Maryland to conduct certain of its meetings in executive closed session; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education of Montgomery County hereby conduct its meeting in executive closed session beginning on December 17, 1981, at 8 p.m. to discuss collective bargaining negotiations as permitted under Article 76A, Section 11(a) and that such meeting shall continue in executive closed session until the completion of business.

Resolution No. 1161-81

Re: Executive Session -  
December 21, 1981

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Greenblatt seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The Board of Education of Montgomery County is authorized by Article 76A, Section 11(a) of the Annotated Code of Maryland to conduct certain of its meetings in executive closed session; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education of Montgomery County hereby conduct its meeting in executive closed session beginning on December 17, 1981, at 9 p.m. to discuss the employment, assignment, appointment, promotion, demotion, compensation, discipline, removal, or resignation of employees, appointees, or officials over whom it has jurisdiction, or any other personnel matter affecting one or more particular individuals and to

discuss collective bargaining negotiations as permitted under Article 76A, Section 11(a) and that such meeting shall continue in executive closed session until the completion of business.

Re: Minutes of November 23,  
24, and 30, 1981

Board members postponed adoption of the minutes until the next meeting. There was agreement that the unofficial minutes be distributed to the attorneys and the communities.

Re: Student Board Member  
Election Process

Mrs. Wallace moved approval of the following which was seconded by Mr. Lipson:

WHEREAS, A small task force was formed to develop a plan for the direct election of the student Board member; and

WHEREAS, Students were invited to serve on this task force with the understanding that their participation did not represent approval of the Montgomery County Region (MCR) of the Maryland Association of Student Councils or by the Montgomery County Junior Council; and

WHEREAS, The task force thoroughly reviewed the feasibility of a direct open election and a modified open election; and

WHEREAS, It is recommended that the direct student election not be held because of the loss of instructional time for all students, the disruption of school programs, the time commitment required by candidates to gain adequate exposure to the student constituency, the cost factors to the candidates, and the cost factors to the Montgomery County Public Schools; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education adopt the modified open election process to elect the student member of the Board of Education as recommended by the Task Force on Student Member Election Process; and be it further

Resolved, That this resolution be transmitted to MCR requesting early consideration and action on this proposed procedure.

Resolution No. 1162-81

Re: An Amendment to the  
Student Board Member  
Election Process

On motion of Mr. Lipson seconded by Mrs. Wallace, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the proposed resolution on the student Board member election process be amended to add:

"Resolved, That the superintendent undertake a follow-up study to correct deficiencies in the election process and that funds would be provided for such a study."

Re: A Motion by Mr. Barse  
to Amend the Proposed  
Resolution on Student  
Board Member Election  
Process

Mr. Barse moved, and Mrs. Peyser seconded, that the proposed resolution on student Board member election procedures be amended to add:

"Resolved, That all Grade 7-12 students in MCPS are eligible to vote in the election, and that the Montgomery County Board of Supervisors of Elections datavote equipment and procedures shall be employed in the election."

Mrs. Wallace asked that the question be divided.

Resolution No. 1163-81

Re: An Amendment to the  
Proposed Resolution on  
Student Board Member  
Election Process

On motion of Mr. Barse seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the proposed resolution on the student Board member election process be amended by adding:

"Resolved, That all Grades 7-12 students in MCPS are eligible to vote in the election."

Re: A Motion by Mr. Barse  
to Amend the Proposed  
Resolution on Student  
Board Member Election  
Process (FAILED)

A motion by Mr. Barse to amend the proposed resolution on the student Board member election process by adding "and that the Montgomery County Board of Supervisors of Elections datavote equipment and procedures shall be employed in the election" failed with Mr. Barse and Dr. Greenblatt voting in the affirmative; Mr. Ewing, Mrs. Peyser, Mrs. Spencer, and Mrs. Wallace voting in the negative; Mrs. Zappone abstaining (Mr. Lipson abstaining).

Resolution No. 1164-81

Re: Student Board Member  
Election Procedure

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Wallace seconded by Mr. Lipson, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, A small task force was formed to develop a plan for the direct election of the student Board member; and

WHEREAS, Students were invited to serve on this task force with the understanding that their participation did not represent approval of the Montgomery County Region (MCR) of the Maryland Association of Student Councils or by the Montgomery County Junior Council; and

WHEREAS, The task force thoroughly reviewed the feasibility of a direct open election and a modified open election; and

WHEREAS, It is recommended that the direct student election not be held because of the loss of instructional time for all students, the disruption of school programs, the time commitment required by candidates to gain adequate exposure to the student constituency, the cost factors to the candidates, and the cost factors to the Montgomery County Public Schools; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education adopt the modified open election process to elect the student member of the Board of Education as recommended by the Task Force on Student Member Election Process; and be it further

Resolved, That all Grades 7-12 students in MCPS are eligible to vote in the election; and be it further

Resolved, That the superintendent undertake a follow-up study to correct deficiencies in the election process and that funds would be provided for such a study; and be it further

Resolved, That this resolution be transmitted to MCR requesting early consideration and action on this proposed procedure.

Resolution No. 1164-81

Re: Bid 16-82, School Bus  
Glass Replacement

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Lipson seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Funds have been budgeted for the purchase of school bus glass replacement; now therefore be it

Resolved, That having been duly advertised October 7, 1981, the contract totaling \$8,673, for the furnishing of school bus glass replacement for the period of January 1, 1982, through December 31, 1982, under Invitation to Bid 16-82 be awarded to:



WHEREAS, Funds have been budgeted for the purchase of musical instruments; now therefore be it

Resolved, That having been duly advertised October 28, 1981, the contracts totaling \$20,589 for the furnishing of musical instruments for the period of December 9, 1981, through December 8, 1982, under Invitation to Bid 26-82 be awarded to:

Dale Music Company, Inc., Silver Spring, Maryland  
Music & Arts Center, Inc., Rockville, Maryland  
Washington Music Center, Inc., Wheaton, Maryland  
Zavarella's Music, Arlington, Virginia,

low bidders meeting specifications.

Resolution No. 1168-81

Re: Bid 27-82, Magazine  
Subscriptions

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Lipson seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, Funds will be requested in the superintendent's FY 1983 budget for the purchase of magazine subscriptions; now therefore be it

Resolved, That having been duly advertised November 6, 1981, the contract totaling \$162,272, for the furnishing of magazine subscriptions for the period of December 9, 1981, through December 8, 1982, under Invitation to Bid 27-82 be awarded to:

Popular Subscription Service, Terre Haute, Indiana,

low bidder meeting specifications.

Resolution No. 1169-81

Re: Evaluation/Selection of  
Computer Equipment Bid  
in RFP 81-07

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mr. Lipson seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, MCPS staff analysis, the MORE Study, the Physical Facility Study for Data Processing, and the Task Force on Long-Range Planning for Future Use of Computer Technology uniformly agree that the current computer system is "overloaded and that no option to relieve this situation without replacement of central computer exists"; and

WHEREAS, The construction of the new MCPS Data Center (as approved by the Board of Education on August 24, 1981) anticipated that MCPS would be able to specify which computer will be installed by December, 1981, so that proper air

conditioning and related cooling equipment may be ordered, delivered, and installed by June 1982; and

WHEREAS, Computer equipment must be procured by January 1982, in order to be delivered and installed to coincide with completion of the Data Processing Center; and

WHEREAS, The superintendent's preliminary budget submission for FY 1983 includes funds for the replacement of the central computer; and

WHEREAS, Requests for price quotations (RFP 81-07) were sent to 19 companies and 5 did supply responsive bid quotations good until December 31, 1981; and

WHEREAS, An evaluation team composed of MCPS and Montgomery County government staff uniformly applied evaluation criteria, established by an independent consultant and MCPS, to each vendor's proposal; and

WHEREAS, The IBM Corporation, Goldsboro Road, Bethesda, Maryland, was the low overall bidder meeting specifications; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the IBM Corporation be awarded a conditional contract, subject to final FY 83 budget approval, for the lease/purchase of an IBM 3033N and associated peripherals/software for \$660,401 per year for four years, i.e., the most cost/beneficial price option to MCPS contained in their bid, commencing in FY 83; and be it further

Resolved, That the IBM Corporation be required to fulfill the terms and conditions of the contract in June 1982 at the lesser of the then current market prices or the prices contained in their bid dated September 14, 1981.

Resolution No. 1170-81

Re: FY 1982 Categorical  
Transfers for Education  
of All Handicapped  
Programs

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Peyser seconded by Mr. Lipson, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the superintendent of schools be authorized, subject to County Council approval, to effect the following categorical transfers within the FY 1982 Education for All Handicapped programs under P.L. 94-142 from the Maryland State Department of Education:

	<u>Category</u>	<u>From</u>	<u>To</u>
03	Instructional Other		\$31,312

05	Special Education	\$62,286	
06	Pupil Transportation		4,000
07	Operation of Plant and and Equipment		500
09	Fixed Charges	_____	<u>26,474</u>
	Total	<u>\$62,286</u>	<u>\$62,286</u>

and be it further

Resolved, That the county executive be requested to recommend approval of this resolution to the County Council and that a copy be sent to the county executive and County Council.

Re: Board/Press/Visitor  
Conference

The following individuals appeared before the Board:

1. Mrs. Barbara Cantor
2. Mrs. Diane Pick, Harmony Hills PTA
3. Mrs. Judy Tankersley, Parkland PTA
4. Mrs. Margaret Hammar, Highland PTA
5. Mrs. Marie Sippel
6. Mrs. Barbara Feigin, Woodlin/Woodside PTA
7. Mrs. Penny Trusty, Richard Montgomery PTSA
8. Mrs. Eunice Weixel, Peary High School PTA

Re: Monthly Personnel Report

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Peyser seconded by Mr. Barse, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the following appointments, resignations, and leaves of absence for professional and supporting services personnel be approved: (TO BE APPENDED TO THESE MINUTES).

Resolution No. 1172-81

Re: Extension of Sick Leave

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Mrs. Peyser seconded by Mr. Barse, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

WHEREAS, The employees listed below have suffered serious illness; and

WHEREAS, Due to the prolonged illness, the employees' accumulated sick leave has expired; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education grant an extension of sick leave with three-fourths pay covering the period indicated:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position and Location</u>	<u>Number of Days</u>
-------------	------------------------------	-----------------------

Olga Booth	Classroom Teacher Takoma Park Junior High	15
Anne M. Radin	Classroom Teacher Fairland Elementary	11
Joyce Schoeppach	Carpenter I Division of Maintenance	20

Re: Progress Report on Board  
of Education Policies

The superintendent explained that this was one of the Board-adopted priorities; however, the time for completion of the project had to be extended. Mrs. Wallace stated that she was vitally interested in this priority, and for the record, it was the only priority held off this way. She hoped that the new Board officers would continue with this. She thought that the end result should be a policy book that was much more cohesive and easier to work with.

Mr. Clifford Baacke, director of the Division of Administrative Analysis and Audits, explained that what had held them up were the attachments to some of the old policies. It used to be the practice of the Board to adopt resolutions which said they adopted the substance of the attachments and the Board minutes did not have the text of the attachment. He said that they said they would then assemble books to go to the Administrative Team for a review so that the superintendent could come to the Board with a package set of recommendations on how to handle this. They would bring the package to the Board with a cover memo from the superintendent suggesting what should be published or rescinded and recommending the on-going review process. He said they expected to have the package after the Board completed action on the budget.

Resolution No. 1173-81

Re: Assignment of Students  
in the Derwood Station  
Subdivision (Magruder and  
Richard Montgomery High  
Schools, Redland and  
Julius West Middle  
Schools, and Candlewood  
and College Gardens  
Elementary Schools)

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Greenblatt seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the following resolutions be amended:

Resolution No. 811-81 -- Attendance Area Changes for Candlewood  
Elementary School

Substitute " Continue to receive students from the townhouse and  
garden apartments portion of the Derwood Station subdivision" for  
" Receive from College Gardens Elementary School all students in  
the new Derwood Station subdivision"

Resolution No. 816-81 -- Attendance Area Change for Redland  
Middle School

Substitute " Continue to receive students from the townhouse and  
garden apartments portion of the Derwood Station subdivision" for  
" Reassign from Julius West Middle School Grades 7-8 students  
from the new Derwood Station subdivision"

Resolution No. 772-81 -- Attendance Area Change for Col. Zadok  
Magruder High School

Substitute " Continue to receive students from the townhouse and  
garden apartment portion of the Derwood Station subdivision" for  
" Assign all students from the Derwood Station subdivision to  
Col. Zadok Magruder High School from Richard Montgomery High  
School in September 1982"

Resolution No. 770-81 -- Attendance Area Change for Richard  
Montgomery High School

Substitute " Receive students from the yet to be developed  
single-family house portion of the Derwood Station subdivision"  
for " Assign all students from the Derwood Station subdivision  
to Col. Zadok Magruder High School"

Resolution No. 895-81 -- Attendance Area Changes for Julius West  
Middle School

Substitute " Receive students from the yet to be developed  
single-family house portion of the Derwood Station subdivision"  
for " Reassign students from the new Derwood Station subdivision  
to Redland Middle School"

and be it further

Resolved, That College Gardens Elementary School receive students  
from the yet to be developed single-family house portion of the  
Derwood Station subdivision.

Resolution No. 1174-81

Re: Attendance Areas for  
Brookhaven and Harmony  
Hills Elementary Schools

and for Schools Affected  
by the Decision to  
Continue Both Brookhaven  
and Harmony Hills in  
Operation (Bel Pre,  
Strathmore, and Weller  
Road)

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Greenblatt seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the following resolutions be amended:

Resolution No. 936-81 -- Attendance Area Changes for Harmony Hills Elementary School

Substitute " Continue the existing attendance area" for " Receive from Brookhaven Elementary School all students except those living in the Aquarius subdivision who will attend Bel Pre Elementary School in September 1983 Send to Strathmore Elementary School students living in September 1983 Send to Weller Road Elementary School students living south of the proposed Rockville Freeway in September 1983"

Resolution No. 974-81 -- First Bullet on Bel Pre Elementary School

RESCINDED

Resolution No. 976-81 -- Attendance Area Change for Strathmore Elementary School

RESCINDED

Resolution No. 934-81 -- Attendance Area Changes for Weller Road Elementary School

Delete " Receive from Harmony Hills Elementary School students living south of the proposed Rockville Freeway in September 1983"

Resolution No. 971-81 -- Second Resolved Clause - Saddlebrook Elementary School Closure

Delete " Continue Strathmore as a K-6 school; receive students from Georgian Colonies, Georgian Court, Aspen Crossing, and North Gate subdivisions in September 1983. Continue the Peppertree option to Strathmore from Georgian Forest"

Resolution No. 1175-81

Re: Rock Creek Valley  
Elementary School Area --  
Secondary School

Attendance Pattern

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Greenblatt seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the following resolution be amended:

Resolution No. 763-81 -- Wheaton High School

Amend the second bullet to read:

Receive students from the existing Wheaton Woods Elementary School attendance area and the Parkland Junior High School portions of the existing Harmony Hills and Brookhaven elementary schools attendance areas as soon as feasible.

Resolution No. 764-81 -- Rockville High School

Amend the bullet to read:

Receive students from the portion of the Barnsley Elementary School service area now attending Parkland Junior High School and from all of the Rock Creek Valley Elementary School attendance area as soon as feasible, along with the ninth grade mild handicapped and all auditory students from Peary High School

Resolution No. 937-81 -- Parkland Intermediate School

Amend the third bullet to read:

Send to Earle B. Wood Junior High School all students from the existing Lucy Barnsley Elementary and Rock Creek Valley Elementary School areas, beginning with Grade 7 students in September 1982

Resolution No. 938-81 -- Earle B. Wood Junior High School

Amend the bullet to read:

Receive students from Parkland Junior High School portions of Lucy Barnsley Elementary and Rock Creek Valley Elementary school areas beginning with Grade 7 in September 1982

Resolution No. 1176-81

Re: Assignment of Students  
Living in the Former  
Larchmont Elementary  
School Area

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr.

Greenblatt seconded by Mr. Barse, the following resolution was adopted with Mr. Barse, Dr. Greenblatt, Mrs. Peyser, and Mrs. Zappone voting in the affirmative; Mr. Ewing, Mrs. Spencer, and Mrs. Wallace voting in the negative (Mr. Lipson voting in the negative):

Resolved, That Resolution No. 994-81 be rescinded; and be it further

Resolved, That Resolution No. 1001-81 be amended by the addition of the following bullet:

Receive Grades K-6 students from the former Larchmont Elementary School attendance area west of Connecticut Avenue, except that those now attending Parkwood Elementary School would be allowed to continue there

Re: Building and Site  
Conveyance

Dr. Greenblatt moved approval and Mrs. Peyser seconded the following:

Resolved, That the Northwood High School building and site are no longer needed for school purposes; and be it further

Resolved, That the Northwood High School building and site be conveyed to the County Government on July 1, 1984, or as soon thereafter as possible, subject to the approval of the state superintendent of schools; and be it further

Resolved, That the Francis Scott Key Junior High School building and site are no longer needed for school purposes; and be it further

Resolved, That the Francis Scott Key Junior High School building and site be conveyed to the County Government on July 1, 1984, or as soon thereafter as possible, subject to the approval of the state superintendent of schools; and be it further

Mr. Ewing asked that the question be divided.

Resolution No. 1177-81

Re: Northwood High School  
Building and Site

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Greenblatt seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted with Mr. Ewing, Dr. Greenblatt, Mrs. Peyser, Mrs. Spencer, and Mrs. Zappone voting in the affirmative; Mr. Barse and Mrs. Wallace voting in the negative (Mr. Lipson voting in the affirmative):

Resolved, That the Northwood High School building and site are no

longer needed for school purposes; and be it further

Resolved, That the Northwood High School building and site be conveyed to the County Government on July 1, 1984, or as soon thereafter as possible, subject to the approval of the state superintendent of schools; and be it further

For the record, Mrs. Wallace stated she voted against this because she voted against the closure of Northwood; however, this had no implications for the proposed closure of Blair which the Board would be discussing.

Resolution No. 1178-81

Re: Key Building and Site

On recommendation of the superintendent and on motion of Dr. Greenblatt seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted with Dr. Greenblatt, Mrs. Peyser, Mrs. Spencer, and Mrs. Wallace voting in the affirmative; Mr. Barse voting in the negative; Mr. Ewing and Mrs. Zappone abstaining (Mr. Lipson voting in the affirmative):

Resolved, That the Francis Scott Key Junior High School building and site will no longer be needed for school purposes; and be it further

Resolved, That the Francis Scott Key Junior High School building and site be conveyed to the County Government on July 1, 1983, or as soon thereafter as possible, subject to the approval of the state superintendent of schools; and be it further

Re: Sligo Junior High School

Dr. Greenblatt moved approval of the following which was seconded by Mrs. Peyser:

Resolved, That Sligo Junior High School be reorganized as a Grades 7-9 school in September 1983.

Resolution No. 1179-81

Re: An Amendment to the  
Proposed Resolution on  
Sligo Junior High School

On motion of Mrs. Wallace seconded by Mrs. Spencer, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That the proposed resolution on Sligo be amended to state that Sligo be reorganized as a Grades 7-9 school in September 1984, with the entering ninth grades in September 1983 to be assigned to Einstein High School.

Resolution No. 1180-81

Re: Sligo Junior High School

On motion of Dr. Greenblatt seconded by Mrs. Peyser, the following resolution was adopted with Mr. Barse, Mr. Ewing, Dr.

Greenblatt, Mrs. Peyser, Mrs. Wallace, and Mrs. Zappone voting in the affirmative; Mrs. Spencer abstaining (Mr. Lipson voting in the affirmative):

Resolved, That Sligo Junior High School be reorganized as a Grades 7-9 school in September 1984, with the entering ninth graders in September 1983, being assigned to Einstein High School.

Re: Desegregation Clusters

The superintendent stated that Mr. Ewing had raised a question about the former desegregation clusters, and the staff had tried to show their understanding of the Board's actions. He said that they were concerned about transportation provisions and the enrollment at Rollingwood Elementary School.

Mr. Ewing remarked that he assumed that the area of concern regarding Rollingwood was based on actions the Board had just taken, and the superintendent agreed that the action did not change the situation. The superintendent said that the Rollingwood enrollment would be around the 200 level, and in addition they had received a letter from a number of people in Chevy Chase saying they did not want their children to attend Rollingwood.

Mr. Barse stated that they should heed the superintendent's concern to the extent that they could reconsider all the "grandfathering" for all the schools in the B-CC cluster. He said they ought to consider the fallback position of using the transfer policy and letting this be an administrative decision. He felt that the Board also needed more discussion with its attorney on these matters.

Dr. Greenblatt questioned the need to provide transportation through the 1987-88 school year and suggested it should be only to 1983-84. Mrs. Wallace said that perhaps they could "grandfather" only grades 4 to 6. Mrs. Spencer remarked that they had a deeper issue because the Rosemary Hills group of schools is a desegregation cluster. If they intended to continue their commitment to racially balanced schools, then transportation was an inexpensive way of ameliorating the burdens they were placing on these families. Mr. Ewing asked whether the superintendent would have recommendations before the Board, and the superintendent replied that he would be willing to provide this if the Board wanted to set a time for action. Mr. Barse felt that they should make decisions in the next couple of meetings. He explained that his intent would be to dissolve the cluster, but he would retain North Chevy Chase and Rollingwood as a pair. He would not favor continuing the transportation among the other schools.

Mrs. Zappone requested that the superintendent come back with recommendations for Board action.

Re: Parkwood/Kensington  
Public Hearing

Mr. Ewing moved approval of the following which was seconded by Mrs. Wallace:

Resolved, That the Board seek legal advice on the issues raised by the Parkwood community; and be it further

Resolved, That the matter be back for further discussion and/or action on December 21; and be it further

Resolved, That the 60-day period not start until action was taken.

Re: Substitute Motion on  
Parkwood/Kensington  
Public Hearing

Dr. Greenblatt moved approval of the following substitute motion which was seconded by Mr. Barse:

Resolved, That the superintendent and Board officers set the date for the hearing and grant Parkwood one hour and Kensington 15 minutes.

Resolution No. 1181-81

Re: Tabling of Motions on the  
Parkwood/Kensington  
Public Hearing

On motion of Mrs. Spencer seconded by Mr. Lipson, the following resolution was adopted with Mr. Barse, Mr. Ewing, Mrs. Peyser, Mrs. Spencer, and Mrs. Wallace voting in the affirmative; Dr. Greenblatt and Mrs. Zappone abstaining (Mr. Lipson voting in the affirmative):

Resolved, That the two motions on the Parkwood/Kensington hearing be tabled until December 21 with the intent of having the superintendent satisfied that he had received adequate legal counsel.

Re: Georgetown Hill and Lake  
Normandy Elementary  
Schools Buildings and  
Sites

Dr. Greenblatt moved approval of the following which was seconded by Mrs. Peyser:

Resolved, That the Georgetown Hill Elementary School building and site are no longer needed for school purposes; and be it further

Resolved, That the Lake Normandy Elementary School building and



WHEREAS, Planning money is already appropriated and available for capital improvements at Blair High School; and

WHEREAS, The County Council approved resolution 9-1260 on May 15, 1981, stipulating in part on the project description form that funds for the Woodward High School auditorium project

"may be reconsidered for supplemental appropriation in FY 1982, if approved 15 Year Comprehensive Facilities Master Plan indicates viability of project."

now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Education approves a FY 1983 Capital Budget of \$8,663,000 of which \$2,951,000 is requested from the state and \$5,712,000 from the county; and be it further

Resolved, That the Board of Education approved the Five-Year Capital Improvements Program (FY 1984 - FY 1988); and be it further

Resolved, That the Board of Education approves the following priority list for state-eligible projects:

1. Gaithersburg Elementary School - Modernization  
Reimbursement
2. Woodlin Elementary School Addition Planning
3. Bradley Elementary School Modernization Planning
4. Washington Grove Elementary School Modernization  
Planning

and be it further

Resolved, That the superintendent, subject to approval by the County Council, be authorized to receive and expend a FY 1982 state supplemental appropriation of \$1,142,000 for the state's share of movable furniture and equipment for the Wheaton High School/Regional Vocational-Technical Facility and \$36,000 for the relocation of four portable classrooms to Woodlin Elementary School; and be it further

Resolved, That the County Council be requested to approve a local supplemental appropriation to the FY 1982 Capital Budget in accordance with resolution 9-1260, dated May 15, 1981, in the amount of \$30,000 to finalize architectural planning of the Woodward High School auditorium addition project.

For the record, Mr. Ewing stated that he voted for the CIP although he was not in favor of the auditorium for Woodward High School.

Re: A Motion by Mr. Barse to  
Recess for Lunch (FAILED)

A motion by Mr. Barse that the Board recess for lunch failed for lack of a second.

Re: New Business

Mrs. Zappone announced that the following resolution introduced by Mr. Barse was on the table:

Resolved, That the Board directs the superintendent to develop within 45 days a new master plan alternative or alternatives based on the closure of Blair High School instead of Northwood, including a proposed pattern of student reassignments to Einstein and Northwood following such a Blair closure.

Re: A Substitute Motion by  
Mrs. Spencer

Mrs. Spencer moved the following which was seconded by Mr. Lipson:

Resolved, That the Board directs the superintendent to develop within 45 days a new master plan alternative or alternatives to the Barse/Greenblatt plan based on the closure of Blair High School instead of Northwood, including a proposed pattern of student reassignments to Einstein and Northwood following such a Blair closure; and also a new master plan arts magnet; and also a new master plan alternative or alternatives as proposed by the superintendent and staff which would not close Blair but realign its student assignment areas.

Resolution No. 1185-81

Re: An Amendment to Mrs.  
Spencer's Substitute  
Motion

On motion of Mr. Barse seconded by Dr. Greenblatt, the following resolution was adopted with Mr. Barse, Dr. Greenblatt, Mrs. Peyser, and Mrs. Zappone voting in the affirmative; Mr. Ewing and Mrs. Spencer voting in the negative; Mrs. Wallace abstaining (Mr. Lipson abstaining):

Resolved, That Mrs. Spencer's motion be amended by deleting the names.

Resolution No. 1186-81

Re: An Amendment to Mr.  
Barse's Proposed  
Resolution on Montgomery  
Blair High School

On motion of Mrs. Spencer seconded by Mr. Lipson, the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That Mr. Barse's motion on Blair High School be amended to read:

Resolved, That the Board directs the superintendent to develop within 45 days a new master plan alternative or alternatives according to the plan based on the closure of Blair High School instead of Northwood, including a proposed pattern of student reassignments to Einstein and Northwood following such as Blair closure; and also a new master plan alternative or alternatives along the lines of the performing arts magnet; and also a new master plan alternative or alternatives as proposed by the superintendent and staff which would not close Blair but realign its student assignment areas.

Resolution No. 1187-81

Re: Montgomery Blair  
High School

On motion of Mr. Barse seconded by Dr. Greenblatt, the following resolution was adopted with Mr. Barse, Dr. Greenblatt, Mrs. Peyser, Mrs. Spencer, and Mrs. Zappone voting in the affirmative; Mr. Ewing voting in the negative; Mrs. Wallace abstaining (Mr. Lipson abstaining):

Resolved, That the Board directs the superintendent to develop within 45 days a new master plan alternative or alternatives according to the plan based on the closure of Blair High School instead of Northwood, including a proposed pattern of student reassignments to Einstein and Northwood following such as Blair closure; and also a new master plan alternative or alternatives along the lines of the performing arts magnet; and also a new master plan alternative or alternatives as proposed by the superintendent and staff which would not close Blair but realign its student assignment areas.

Resolution No. 1188-81

Re: Resolution on Personnel

On motion of Dr. Greenblatt seconded by Mr. Barse, the following resolution was adopted with Mr. Barse, Dr. Greenblatt, Mrs. Peyser, and Mrs. Zappone voting in the affirmative; Mr. Ewing voting in the negative; Mrs. Spencer abstaining; Mrs. Wallace being temporarily absent (Mr. Lipson abstaining):

WHEREAS, The Board of Education has voted to close 27 schools and deferred one decision for sixty days; and

WHEREAS, Some of these decisions will not be implemented for a few years; and

WHEREAS, Many staff members are concerned about their positions upon consolidation of these schools; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the superintendent review the personnel policies and practices affecting closed schools at a Board meeting.

Re: A Motion by Mrs. Spencer  
Regarding Legal Documents

(FAILED)

A motion by Mrs. Spencer that the Board of Education release to the public the two legal documents which Mr. Derby had presented to the Board failed with Mr. Ewing and Mrs. Spencer voting in the affirmative; Mr. Barse, Dr. Greenblatt, Mrs. Peyser, Mrs. Wallace, and Mrs. Zappone voting in the negative (Mr. Lipson voting in the affirmative).

Re: Rollingwood/North Chevy Chase

Mr. Barse introduced the following which was seconded by Mrs. Peyser:

Resolved, That Rollingwood and North Chevy Chase Elementary Schools are to be considered a pair of schools, each offering a different educational program for the purpose of enlarging the range of educational choice for all students residing in the service areas of both schools and for the purpose of enhancing quality integrated education; and be it further

Resolved, That a transfer request between the two schools for any student residing in either school service area will be granted as freely as possible, provided the minority percentages at both schools are within the Board's QIE guidelines, and provided that the net impact of all approved transfers tends to equalize minority percentage at both schools are within the Board's QIE guidelines, and provided that the net impact of all approved transfers tends to equalize minority at both schools; and be it further

Resolved, That upon the approval of a student's transfer request, transportation will be provided to the receiving school if the student lives beyond the maximum walking distance.

Re: Values Education

Mrs. Wallace moved and Mr. Barse seconded the following:

Resolved, That the issue of values education be brought to the Board table in a timely fashion.

Re: Desegregation Clusters

Mr. Ewing moved and Mrs. Spencer seconded the following:

Resolved, That the matter of action on the desegregation clusters as discussed by the Board be brought to the Board in the form of recommendations of the superintendent at one of the regular business meetings in January.

Re: Items of Information

Board members received the following items of information:

1. Mental Health Referrals
2. Construction Progress Report
3. Annual Department Reports for FY 1981: Office of the Associate Superintendent for Supportive Services
4. Annual Department Reports for FY 1981: Office of the Associate Superintendent for Special and Alternative Education

Re: Adjournment

The president adjourned the meeting to executive session at 3:10 p.m.

President

Secretary

EA:mlw