### MONTGOMERY COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION Rockville, Maryland

September 13, 2011

#### **MEMORANDUM**

To: Members of the Board of Education

From: Patricia O'Neill, Chair, Board of Education Policy Committee

Subject: Final Action, Policy IOD, Education of English Language Learners

On March 8, 2011, the Montgomery County Board of Education tentatively adopted the updated Policy IOD, *Education of English Language Learners*. The tentatively adopted policy was distributed for public comment. Six comments were received from the public. Members of the Board of Education Policy Committee further discussed Policy IOD, *Education of English Language Learners*, on May 17, 2011, and reviewed the public comments. In response to public comments, a qualifying phrase was added to the policy to state that students should receive appropriate instruction regardless of disability. Additional language was added to emphasize that the expectations for students in the English for Speakers of Other Languages program "will be consistent with expectations for all students in Montgomery County Public Schools."

The Policy Committee recommended changes to the tentatively adopted policy that are reflected in the committee recommended draft as Attachment A. The public comments are summarized in Attachment B. The original public comments are included as Attachment C, and the draft for public comment is included as Attachment D.

The following resolution is provided for your consideration:

WHEREAS, Policy IOD, *Education of English Language Learners*, reaffirms the education of English language learners as a high priority for Montgomery County Public Schools and also ensures compliance with federal and state mandates regarding the education of English language learners; and

WHEREAS, The draft of Policy IOD, *Education of English Language Learners*, was tentatively adopted by the Montgomery County Board of Education on March 8, 2011, and was sent out for public comment; and

WHEREAS, The Montgomery County Board of Education has received comments and the Board of Education Policy Committee's recommendations; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Montgomery County Board of Education adopt Policy IOD, *Education of English Language Learners*, as updated in the attached committee recommended draft.

Present at the Board table for the discussion are Ms. Sylvia K. Morrison, director, Department of Instructional Programs; Dr. Karen C. Woodson, director, Division of ESOL/Bilingual Programs; and Mrs. Stephanie P. Williams, director, Department of Policy, Records and Reporting.

PO:hp

Attachments

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED DRAFT IOD

Related Entries: 1 IOD-RA Responsible Office: Deputy Superintendent of Schools 2 3 Curriculum and Instructional Programs 4 5 6 Education of English Language Learners 7 8 9 A. PURPOSE 10 To reaffirm the education of English language learners as a 11 12 high priority for Montgomery County Public Schools (MCPS). 13 compliance with federal and state mandates 14 To ensure 15 regarding the education of English language learners. 16 17 B. ISSUE 18 19 Success for every student is based on the premise that 20 quality education is a fundamental right of every child. 21 Language should not be a barrier that impedes English 22 in their effort language learners to secure equal 23 educational opportunities MCPS. English in language 24 learners have become an increasingly diverse group of students, representing many countries and languages, coming 25 26 from all socioeconomic levels, and having varied 27 educational and experiential backgrounds. There must be

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED DRAFT IOD

special instructional and support programs to meet the challenges faced by English language learners, including services such as counseling, parent outreach, and bilingual assessments that will help eliminate barriers that might prevent students from participating fully in educational programs. Instruction should enable students to learn English as quickly as possible while at the same time ensuring that they do not fall behind their grade-level peers in content areas.

37

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

3536

#### 38 C. POSITION

39

1. It is the intent of the Montgomery County Board of Education to:

42

43 a) Hold English language learners to the same high
44 expectations of learning established for all
45 students;

46

b) Assure that English language learners develop
full proficiencies in academic and interpersonal
English in the domains of listening, speaking,
reading, and writing, consistent with
expectations for all students;

52

53 c) Assure that English language learners reach 54 challenging content and performance standards in

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED DRAFT IOD

55	all content areas, including reading and language
56	arts, mathematics, social studies, science,
57	career and technology education, the fine arts,
58	health, and physical education, consistent with
59	those for all students;
60	
61 d)	Provide appropriate instruction to English
62	language learners regardless of their previous
63	education, cognitive abilities, or special needs
64	and that reflects their English language
65	proficiency levels;
66	
67 e)	Evaluate English language learners with
68	appropriate and valid assessments that are
69	aligned with federal, state, and local standards
70	and that take into account the English language
71	proficiency levels and cultural backgrounds of
72	the students; and
73	
74 f)	Recognize that the academic success of English
75	language learners is a responsibility shared by
76	the students, all educators, the family, and the
77	community.
78	
79	
80	

2. The English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL)

81

82	Program	
83		
84	a)	Each school, in collaboration with the Office of
85		Curriculum and Instructional Programs and the
86		Office of School Performance, will provide an
87		ESOL program to respond to the range of needs of
88		eligible English language learners. ESOL is a
89		specialized English language development
90		instructional program for eligible English
91		language learners who need to develop proficiency
92		in the four language skills of listening,
93		speaking, reading, and writing academic and
94		interpersonal standard American English.
95		
96	b)	The ESOL curriculum:
97		
98		(1) Is research based;
99		
100		
101		(2) Is based on age-appropriate levels of
102	Engl	ish language proficiency;
103		
104		(3) Is aligned with the English language
105		proficiency standards in the Maryland State
106		Department of Education English language
107		proficiency state curriculum;
108		

109		(4)	Is aligned with	the	reading	and writing	3
110			purposes	of	the	MCPS	3
111			English/Reading/L	anguage	Arts cu	rriculum;	
112							
113		(5)	Is reflective of	of the	many o	cultural and	£
114			linguistic back	kgrounds	s repr	esented by	Ţ
115			English language	learner	s; and		
116							
117		(6)	Is taught using t	the cont	tent, st	rategies, and	f
118			thinking process	es that	studen	ts encounter	_
119			as they pursue an	educat	ion in M	CPS.	
120							
121	c)	Rela	ed ESOL Services				
122							
123		(1)	Schools will emp	ploy ap	propriat	e, relevant,	,
124			and culturally	sensit	ive ap	proaches to	C
125			ensure that pa	rents	of Engl	ish language	3
126			learners are in	formed	about	and become	3
127			involved in their	chil	dren's e	ducation.	
128							
129	(2)	MCPS	will provide ESOL	counse	ling to	assist	
130		ESOL	program partici	pants :	in their	new school	L
131		and	community environ	ment.			
132							
133	(3)	MCPS	will provide	assessm	ents th	nat minimize	3
134		cult	ıral and lingui	stic }	biases	for English	1
135		lang	age learners who	are sı	uspected	of having a	a

136			disability.
137			
138			
139			
140		d)	Staff development in the instructional needs of
141			English language learners is an integral
142			component of a quality ESOL program. Staff
143			development opportunities will be made available
144			to all staff.
145			
146	3.	Iden	tification and Completion
147			
148		a)	An English language proficiency assessment will
149			be administered by qualified and trained
150			assessors to all students whose home language is
151			not standard American English at the time they
152			initially enroll in MCPS to identify English
153			language learners eligible for the ESOL program
154			and determine their level of English proficiency.
155			
156		b)	Students participating in the ESOL program will
157			be considered English proficient and designated
158			as "Re-classified English language learners upon
159			meeting the criteria established by Maryland
160			State Guidelines.
161			
162		c)	Performance of students who have exited from the

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED DRAFT IOD

163		ESOL program r will be monitored by the English
164		language learner team in each school and
165		appropriate accommodations will be provided for a
166		period of two years.
167		
168		d) Identification and program completion procedures
169		will be evaluated periodically and revised as
170		necessary.
171		
172	D.	DESIRED OUTCOMES
173		
174		1. Services of the ESOL program will be offered to all
175		eligible English language learners and will be
176		designed to accommodate diversity in student
177		backgrounds and levels of English language
178		proficiency.
179		
180		2. The expectation for success for students
181		participating in the ESOL program will be consistent
182		with expectations for all students in MCPS.
183		
184	E.	IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES
185		

The superintendent of schools will:

186 187

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED DRAFT IOD

188	1.	Issue administrative procedures for the development,
189		maintenance, and evaluation of appropriate programs
190		for English language learners in MCPS;
191		
192	2.	Allocate staff and material resources annually, based
193		on documented needs and within existing budgetary
194		constraints;
195		
196	3.	Apply for appropriate federal financial assistance,
197		where available, to implement this policy; and
198		
199	4.	Work collaboratively with other county agencies and
200		community organizations to access resources and help
201		meet the needs of English language learners and their
202		families.
203		
204 F.	REVI	EW AND REPORTING
205		
206		
207	1.	Each year, the academic progress of ESOL program
208		participants will be reported to the Montgomery County
209		Board of Education through the annual report.
210		
211	2.	MCPS will fulfill all federal and state reporting

requirements for current and past ESOL program

212

213

214

participants.

8 of 9

215	3.	This poli	cy wil	l be r	eviewed	on an ongo	ing basis	s in
216		accordanc	ce with	n the	Board of	f Education	n policy	review
217		process.						
218								
219								
220	Policy Hi	story: R	esoluti	on No.	77-80,	January 2	1, 1980,	amended
221	by Resolu	ition No.	333-86	, June	12, 19	86; amende	ed by Res	solution
222	No. 599-9	99, Octob	er 14,	1999;	update	ed office	titles d	June 1,
223	2000; ame	nded.						

# Summary of Responses to Policy IOD, *Education of English Language Learners*

Public Response Number	Commentator	Issue/Concern Line #'s refer to the Draft for Public Comment	Staff Response Line #'s refer to the Committee
1	Allen Heald	This program should be eliminated altogether. There	Recommended Draft  Lau v. Nichols is a civil rights case that went to the
		won't be an appropriate number of teachers to teach it because of all of the budget cuts.	Supreme Court in 1974. The decision that followed requires school districts to provide services needed for students to learn English.
2	Flora E. Dirlik	The education process needs to be made equal. The minority students are now in the majority. Education dollars need to be used equitably.	[See response to #1]
3	Martin J. Mulhern, teacher	Lines 160–163, Language needs to be added to ensure that the instructional needs of disabled English language learners are addressed in the least restrictive environment, the ESOL classroom.	The consult model is the method for providing ESOL services to students receiving ESOL and special education services in the least restrictive environment. Through collaboration between ESOL and special education teachers, adjustments can be made to the student's instructional program to account for language acquisition needs with the student's educational environment.
4	Debra Farkas, parent	The policy needs to include a framework on how the policy will be achieved.	Regulations will be developed to implement the policy.
5	Anonymous	Editorial changes requested.	Staff disagrees with edits requesting changes in terminology from "English language learners" to "ESL students." The language used throughout the policy

Public Response Number	Commentator	Issue/Concern Line #'s refer to the Draft for Public Comment	Staff Response Line #'s refer to the Committee Recommended Draft
6	Fab Moran, parent	Concerned with language on line 177 that a student may be inappropriately identified for ESOL services when the student is proficient in English, but English and another language are spoken in the home.	
			multiple languages is highly valued in MCPS as an asset for students.

# PUBLIC COMMENTS FOR POLICY IOD, EDUCATION OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS

From:

Allen Heald [yalesville\_rd@hotmail.com]

Sent:

Friday, March 11, 2011 9:26 AM

To:

BOE

With all the budget cuts why not eliminate this program altogether. What good is it to have students learn English without an appropriate number of teachers to teach the academics? Just A thought!



From:

floradirlik@verizon.net

Sent:

Thursday, March 10, 2011 3:05 PM

To:

BOE

Subject:

English language

DEar Mr. or Ms Boe.

I just want to state that I feel that we have to make the education process equal in all cases.

My children, who are causcasian are now in the minority in the schools which they attend. I find it very hard to swallow that many education dollars are spent on so called "minority" students, often forgetting that education should be viewed as equal and we should not always assume that students are minority when certain ethnicities outnumber the caucasians, which years ago may have been viewed as the majority students in a school.

Please do not forget that the so called minority students are now a majority of the student population, most specifically in the downcounty consortia of Montgomery County.

We need to have fair and equal education, and if that means some money goes to adding educators to help the average student do well in classes with a smaller class size, it would be a better use of our education money.

If you would like to discuss this issue further with me, please call me at 240 447 2573.

Thank you,

Flora e. Dirlik



From:

Mulhern, Martin J.

Sent:

Thursday, March 10, 2011 4:05 PM

To:

BOE

Subject:

**ESOL Policy Comments** 

Although the draft policy provides for assessment of English language learners who are suspected of having a disability, it fall short in the provisioning of instruction for English Language learners once that a disability has been identified. Our school based programs are school based program are not trained nor staffed with highly qualified dully certified teachers in Special Education and ESLO. Consequently student with disabilities are not being provided appropriate instruction in the least restrictive environment as required by state and federal law. In practice, if services are being provided they are outside the general education environment in small Resource classes/ groups without the enrichment of the ESOL classroom environment. The re-visioning of this policy provided an opportunity to correct this glaring mistake by adding language ensuring that the instructional needs of Disabled English language learners are addressed in the least restrictive environment, the ESOL classroom.

Thank you for your attention to this matter

Martin J Mulhern

Special Education Resource Teacher Seneca Valley HS

147 6. c) Related ESOL Services

148

DRAFT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

IOD

6 of 9

159

- 160 b) (3) MCPS will provide assessments that minimize
- 161 cultural and linguistic biases for English
- 162 language learners who are suspected of having
- 163 a disability.

Dear Board of Education Members,

I am a parent at Takoma Park Elementary School with one child in first grade and another in Kindergarten. I have just read the ESOL policy draft and would like to voice my opinion. It is obvious that many knowledgeable people put their time and energy in on the draft, and I know many schools, many administrators, and many teachers will use it. At this point I think the policy very clearly states all that will be done; however, I think the document will be far more useful if it were to include a framework on how the policy will be achieved. It is important that schools have the freedom to implement a directive in a way that suits their communities, although without any guidelines or general structure schools use valuable time reinventing the wheel.

There are many ESL educational methods and philosophies that the district could flesh out in the policy, emphasizing when they are best used for which populations. The board may instead chose one general method but with enough room to allow for autonomy at the community level. I am unaware of so much in the schools and district, perhaps there is an additional document already covering my concerns. I read and reacted to a paper that essentially informed me 'there are some laws we need to follow, we will, and we will make sure English language learners learn both English and content area' but said with nicer words and in many different ways. It is not substantially sufficient.

Thank You for taking into account my input, please contact me if I can clarify anything.

Debra Farkas
TPES parent of
Naomi and Jonah Mcdonald

1	Relat	ted Entries: IOD-RA
2	Respo	onsible Office: Deputy Superintendent of Schools
3		Curriculum and Instruction—and Programs
4	<del>Deve</del> !	<del>.opment</del>
5		
6		
7		Education of English Language Learners
8		
9		
10	Α.	PURPOSE
11		eligible (ESC)
12		To reaffirm the education of English language learners as a
13		high priority for Montgomery County Public Schools (MCPS)
14		
15		To ensure compliance with federal and state mandates regarding
16		the education of English language learners
17		
18	В.	ISSUE
19		
20		Success for Eevery Sstudent is based on the premise that
21		quality education is a fundamental right of every child.
22		Language should not be a barrier that impedes English language
23		learners in their effort to secure equal educational
24	<i>i</i> -	opportunities in MCPSthe Montgomery County Public Schools.
Cuggol	e ESL	Highish language learners have become an increasingly diverse
26		group of students, representing many countries and languages,
27		coming from all socioeconomic levels, and having varied
28		educational and experiential backgrounds. There must be
29		special instructional and support programs to meet the
30		challenges faced by these students English language learners, ESL studen

Т	OD.	

including services such as counseling, parent outreach, ar
including services such as comiseing, parent outleach, at
bilingual assessments that Will help eliminate barriers that
Of the might prevent students from participating fully in educations
programs. Instruction should enable students to learn Englis
as quickly as possible, while at the same time ensuring tha
they do not fall behind their grade-level peers in conter
areas.

#### C. POSITION

 It is the intent of the Montgomery County Board of Education of the Montgomery County Public Schools to:

a) Hold English language learners to the same high expectations of learning established for all students;

 lugbie ESC students
b) Assure that English language learners develop full

 proficiencies in academic and interpersonal English in the domains of listening, speaking, reading, and writing, consistent with expectations for all students:

chyble ESC studyst

challenging content and performance standards in all content areas, including reading and language arts, mathematics, social studies, science, career and technology education, the fine arts, health, and physical education, consistent with those for

60 all students;

61		eliable & S/ Str. Mont
62	d) F	Provide instruction to English language learners
63	t	that builds on their previous education, and
64	C	cognitive abilities, a <del>nd that reiffet</del> s their English
65	1	language proficiency levels;
66		strate GCI Tillant
67	e) E	Evaluate English language learners with appropriate
68	ē	and valid assessments that are aligned with
69	Í	federal, state, and local standards and that take
70	j	into account the <del>language acquisition stages<b>English</b></del>
71	ב	language proficiency levels and cultural
72	ŀ	packgrounds of the students; and
73		lly the don
74	<del>2.</del> f) F	Recognize that the academic success of English
75	J	language learners is a Aresponsibility shared by the
76	S	students, all educators, the family, and the
77	C	community.
78		
79	2. Defini	<del>itions</del>
80		
81	<del>-2)</del>	English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL) is a
82	ť	specialized English language instructional program
83	. 4	for non-native speakers of English that emphasizes
84	4	the four language skills, listening, speaking,
85	-3	reading, and writing.
86		
87	<del>b) 1</del>	Inglish Language Learners (ELL) is the term
88	Ĭ.	preferred by professionals in the field of second
89	ā	language teaching to describe students whose native
90	4	language is not English and who have sufficient

~	$\sim$
- 1	[ 31 )

91.		difficulty speaking, reading, writing, or
92		understanding the English language and whose
93		difficulties may deny such individuals the
94		opportunity to learn successfully in classrooms
95		where the language of instruction is English.
96		_
97	<u></u>	c) Transition studentsare those who have been exited
98		from the ESOL program for less than one year.
99		
100		-d) Limited English Proficient (LEP) is the official
101		term found in federal and state legislation to
102		describe English language learners.
103		
104	<del>3</del> 2.	CurriculumThe English for Speakers of Other Languages
105		(ESOL) Program
106		
107		a) Each school, in collaboration with the Office of
108		Instruction and Program DevelopmentCurriculum and
109		Instructional Programs and the Office of School
110		Performance, will provide an <b>ESOL</b> - appropriate,
111		clearly defined and articulated program to respond
112		to the range of needs of eligible English-language
113		(St Students learners. ESOL is a specialized English language
114		development instructional program for eligible
115		ESC Students English language learners who need to develop
116		proficiency in the four language skills of
117		listening, speaking, reading, and writing academic
118		and interpersonal standard American English.
119		

	b)	The	ESOL curriculum:
		(1)	Is research based;
	<del>b) -</del>	Inco	exporates the principles and guidelines set
		fort	h in the ESL Standards for Students PrcK-12
	<del>c)</del>	(2)	Is based on age-appropriate levels of English
			language proficiency;
		(3)	Is aligned with the English language
			proficiency standards in the Maryland State
			. (MSAE) Department of Education English language
			proficiency state curriculum;
	<del>d)</del>	(4)	Is aligned with the reading and writing
			purposes of the MCPS English/Reading/Language
			Arts curriculum;
	<del>e)</del>	(5)	Is reflective of the many cultural and
			linguistic backgrounds represented by
			Est students  ELLEnglish Language learners-students; and
	<del>1 )</del>	(6)	Is taught using the content, strategies, and
			thinking processes that students encounter as
			they pursue an education in MCPS.
6.	c)	Rela	ated ESOL Services
	€	c) e)	(1) b) Ineconfort  c) (2)  (3)  c) (4)  e) (5)

149			(1)	Schools will employ appropriate, relevant, and
1.50				culturally sensitive approaches to ensure that
151				parents of <del>English language learners</del> are
152				informed about and become involved in their
153				children's education.
154				and ESDE parent lupp
155		<del>a)</del>	(2)	MCPS will provide ESOL counseling to assist
156				English language learnersESOL program
157				participants in their new school and community
158				environment.
159				
160		<del>b)</del>	(3)	MCPS will provide assessments that minimize
1.61				cultural and linguistic biases for English
162				<del>language learners</del> who are suspected of having
163				a disability, through due process.
164				C 4
165	4-;	Progr	<del>ram</del>	
166				
167		<del>b)</del> d)		f development in the instructional needs of
168		_	Engl.	L Student is an integral component
169			of a	a quality <b>ESOL</b> program. Staff development
170			oppo.	rtunities will be <del>offeredmade <b>available</b> to all</del>
171			staf	f.
172				
173	<del>5</del> 3.	Iden	tific	ation and Completion
174				
175		a)	An E	nglish language proficiency assessment will be
176			admi	nistered by qualified and trained assessors to
177			a11	students whose <del>native or primaryhome</del> language

		<u> A</u>
178		is not standard American English, At the time they
179		initially enroll in MCPS, to identify English
180	MCPSW	Il dentity ESL Structure and language learners eligible for the ESOL program and
181.		determine their level of English proficiency,
182		determine their level of English proficiency, at MtPS the time of enrollment through the MtPS Interest office.
183	b)	
184		the ESOL program will be considered English
185		proficient and designated as "transition" Re-
186		classified English language learners students upon
1.87		meeting the criteria established by Maryland State $?mSDE$ ,
188		Guidelines.
189		LOO M
190	c)	Performance of students who have Nexited from the
1.91		ESOL program transition students will be monitored ESL teacher and EMT team? by ESOL staffthe English language learner team in
192		by ESOL staffthe English language learner team in
193		each school and appropriate accommodations will be
1.94	·	provided for a period of onetwo years.
195		
196	d)	Identification and program completion procedures
197		will be evaluated periodically and revised as
198		necessary, by the Office of Curriculum to
199		necessary, by the Office of Curriculum to Instruction (or a school level?)
200	D. DESIRED	OUTCOMES
201		
202		ervices of the ESOL program will be offered to all
203	el	igible English language learners and will be designed
204	to	accommodate diversity in student backgrounds and
205	le	evels of English language proficiency.

206

207		2.	The expectation for success for students receiving
208			participating in the ESOL program services of the ESOL
209			program will be the same as for all students in MCPS.
210			Undiotent with expectations for all Atudents,
211	Ε.	IMPI	LEMENTATION STRATEGIES Aludento,
212			
213		The	superintendent of schools will:
214			
215		1.	Issue administrative procedures for the development,
216			maintenance, and evaluation of appropriate programs for
217			the ESL Studento English language learners in MCPS Montgomery County
218			Public-Schools;
219			$\cdot$
220		2.	Allocate staff and material resources annually, based on
221			documented needs and within existing budgetary
222			constraints;
223			
224		3.	Apply for appropriate federal financial assistance, where
225			available, to implement this policy; and
226			
227		4.	Work collaboratively with other county agencies and
228			community organizations to access resources and help meet
229			the needs of E <del>nglish language learners</del> and their
230			families.
231			
232	F.	REV]	IEW AND REPORTING
233			
234		1	- Annually report to the Board of Education the number of
235			English language learners being served, by program and by

237 County Public Schools.  238  21. Each year, the academic progress of ESOL progration participants will be reported to the Montgomery County  240 Board of Education through the annual report. Annual report to the Board of Education the results of English language learners' academic progress with the same measures used by all students, including comparisons with other Montgomery County students.  246  25 MCPS will fulfill all federal and state freporting requirements for current and past ESOL progrations.  250  251  3. This policy will be reviewed on an ongoing basis in accordance with the Board of Education policy review process.  254  255  256 Policy History: Resolution No. 77-80, January 21, 1980, amended in Resolution No. 333-86, June 12, 1986; amended by Resolution No. 599-99, October 14, 1999; updated office titles June 1, 2006			
238 239 21. Each year, the academic progress of ESOL progres 240 241 241 242 242 243 244 244 244 244 255 256 251 251 252 256 251 256 251 256 251 256 257 256 257 256 257 256 257 256 257 256 257 258 257 258 257 258 258 258 258 258 258 258 258 258 258	236		native language spoken, within the total Montgomery
239 21. Each year, the academic progress of ESOL progres 240 participants will be reported to the Montgomery Count 241 Board of Education through the annual report. Annual 242 report to the Board of Education the results of Englis 243 language learners' academic progress with the ser 244 measures used by all students, including comparisons with 245 other Montgomery County students. 246 247 2. MCPS will fulfill all federal, and state Areporting 248 requirements for current and participants. 250 251 3. This policy will be reviewed on an ongoing basis in 252 accordance with the Board of Education policy review 253 process. 254 255 256 Policy Mistory: Resolution No. 77-80, January 21, 1980, amended 19 257 Resolution No. 333-86, June 12, 1986; amended by Resolution No. 258 599-99, October 14, 1999; updated office titles June 1, 2006	237		County Public Schools.
participants will be reported to the Montgomery Count Board of Education, through the annual report. Annual report to the Board of Education the results of English language learners' academic progress with the same measures used by all students, including comparisons with other Montgomery County students.  246 247 2. MCPS will fulfill all federal and state preporting requirements for current and past ESOL programments.  250 251 3. This policy will be reviewed on an ongoing basis in accordance with the Board of Education policy reviews process.  254 255 256 257 Policy History: Resolution No. 77-80, January 21, 1980, amended in Resolution No. 333-86, June 12, 1986; amended by Resolution No. 599-99, October 14, 1999; updated office titles June 1, 2006	238		
Board of Education through the annual report. Annual report to the Board of Education the results of English language learners' academic progress with the same measures used by all students, including comparisons with other Montgomery County students.  246  247  2. MCPS will fulfill all federal and state Areporting requirements for current and past ESOL programments.  249  250  251  3. This policy will be reviewed on an ongoing basis in accordance with the Board of Education policy review process.  254  255  256  Policy History: Resolution No. 77-80, January 21, 1980, amended to Resolution No. 333-86, June 12, 1986; amended by Resolution No. 599-99, October 14, 1999; updated office titles June 1, 2006	239	<del>2</del> 1.	Each year, the academic progress of ESOL program
242 report to the Board of Education the results of English 243 language learners' academic progress with the sar 244 measures used by all students, including comparisons with 245 other Montgomery County students. 246 247 2. MCPS will fulfill all federal, and state freporting 248 requirements for current and past ESOL progra 249 participants. 250 251 3. This policy will be reviewed on an ongoing basis in 252 accordance with the Board of Education policy review 253 process. 254 255 256 Policy History: Resolution No. 77-80, January 21, 1980, amended in 257 Resolution No. 333-86, June 12, 1986; amended by Resolution No. 258 599-99, October 14, 1999; updated office titles June 1, 2006	240		participants will be reported to the Montgomery County
language learners' academic progress with the sar measures used by all students, including comparisons with other Montgomery County students.  246  247  2. MCPS will fulfill all federal, and state proportion requirements for current and past ESOL progra participants.  250  251  3. This policy will be reviewed on an ongoing, basis in accordance with the Board of Education policy review process.  254  255  256  Policy History: Resolution No. 77-80, January 21, 1980, amended in Resolution No. 333-86, June 12, 1986; amended by Resolution No. 258  599-99, October 14, 1999; updated office titles June 1, 2006	241		Board of Education through the annual report. Annually
measures used by all students, including comparisons will other Mentgomery County students.  246  247  2. MCPS will fulfill all federal, and state Areporting requirements for current and purious ESOL prograte 249  250  251  3. This policy will be reviewed on an ongoing basis in accordance with the Board of Education policy review 253  254  255  256  Policy History: Resolution No. 77-80, January 21, 1980, amended by Resolution No. 333-86, June 12, 1986; amended by Resolution No. 258  599-99, October 14, 1999; updated office titles June 1, 2006	242		report to the Board of Education the results of English
245 246 247 2. MCPS will fulfill all federal, and state requirements for current and past ESOL prograted participants. 249 250 251 3. This policy will be reviewed on an ongoing basis in accordance with the Board of Education policy reviewed process. 254 255 256 251 257 258 259 259 259 259 259 259 259 250 251 250 251 250 251 250 251 250 250 251 250 250 251 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250	243		language learners' academic progress with the same
246  2. MCPS will fulfill all federal, and state Areporting requirements for current and post ESOL programments.  249  250  251  3. This policy will be reviewed on an ongoing, basis in accordance with the Board of Education policy review process.  254  255  256  Policy History: Resolution No. 77-80, January 21, 1980, amended by Resolution No. 333-86, June 12, 1986; amended by Resolution No. 599-99, October 14, 1999; updated office titles June 1, 2006	244		measures used by all students, including comparisons with
247 2. MCPS will fulfill all federal, and state reporting requirements for current and part ESOL programments.  249 250 251 3. This policy will be reviewed on an ongoing basis in accordance with the Board of Education policy review process.  254 255 256 257 Resolution No. 333-86, June 12, 1986; amended by Resolution No. 599-99, October 14, 1999; updated office titles June 1, 2006	245		other Montgomery County students.
requirements for current and past ESOL prograted participants.  250 251 3. This policy will be reviewed on an ongoing basis in accordance with the Board of Education policy review process.  254 255 256 Policy History: Resolution No. 77-80, January 21, 1980, amended by Resolution No. 333-86, June 12, 1986; amended by Resolution No. 599-99, October 14, 1999; updated office titles June 1, 2006	246		and local
requirements for current and past ESOL prograted participants.  250 251 3. This policy will be reviewed on an ongoing basis in accordance with the Board of Education policy review process.  254 255 256 Policy History: Resolution No. 77-80, January 21, 1980, amended by Resolution No. 333-86, June 12, 1986; amended by Resolution No. 599-99, October 14, 1999; updated office titles June 1, 2006	247	2.	MCPS will fulfill all federal, and state Areporting
250 251 3. This policy will be reviewed on an ongoing basis in accordance with the Board of Education policy reviewed process. 253 254 255 256 Policy History: Resolution No. 77-80, January 21, 1980, amended by Resolution No. 333-86, June 12, 1986; amended by Resolution No. 599-99, October 14, 1999; updated office titles June 1, 2006	248		requirements for current and past ESOL program
3. This policy will be reviewed on an ongoing basis in accordance with the Board of Education policy reviewed process.  253 process.  254  255  256 Policy History: Resolution No. 77-80, January 21, 1980, amended by Resolution No. 333-86, June 12, 1986; amended by Resolution No. 599-99, October 14, 1999; updated office titles June 1, 2006	249		Students.
accordance with the Board of Education policy reviews process.  254 255 256 Policy History: Resolution No. 77-80, January 21, 1980, amended No. 333-86, June 12, 1986; amended by Resolution No. 599-99, October 14, 1999; updated office titles June 1, 2006	250		
process.  254  255  256 Policy History: Resolution No. 77-80, January 21, 1980, amended 8  257 Resolution No. 333-86, June 12, 1986; amended by Resolution No. 599-99, October 14, 1999; updated office titles June 1, 2006	251	3.	This policy will be reviewed on an ongoing basis in
254 255 256 Policy History: Resolution No. 77-80, January 21, 1980, amended B 257 Resolution No. 333-86, June 12, 1986; amended by Resolution No. 258 599-99, October 14, 1999; updated office titles June 1, 2000	252		accordance with the Board of Education policy review
Policy History: Resolution No. 77-80, January 21, 1980, amended B Resolution No. 333-86, June 12, 1986; amended by Resolution No. 599-99, October 14, 1999; updated office titles June 1, 2006	253		process.
Policy History: Resolution No. 77-80, January 21, 1980, amended Resolution No. 333-86, June 12, 1986; amended by Resolution No. 599-99, October 14, 1999; updated office titles June 1, 2006	254		
257 Resolution No. 333-86, June 12, 1986; amended by Resolution No. 258 599-99, October 14, 1999; updated office titles June 1, 2000	255		
258 599-99, October 14, 1999; updated office titles June 1, 2000	256	Policy His	story: Resolution No. 77-80, January 21, 1980, amended by
	257	Resolutio	n No. 333-86, June 12, 1986; amended by Resolution No.
	258	599-99, C	October 14, 1999; updated office titles June 1, 2000;
259 amended.	259	amended.	

From: Sent:

Sequeira, Martha on behalf of BOE Tuesday, April 05, 2011 9:51 AM

To:

Potosky, Harriet E.

Subject:

FW: Comment on policy on the education of English Language Learners

FYL

Martha C. Sequeira
Administrative Secretary
Office of The Board of Education
301-279-3617
Fax: 301-279-3860

From: Fab Moran [mailto:fabumd02@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, April 04, 2011 10:42 PM

To: BOE

Subject: Comment on policy on the education of English Language Learners

I am writing to voice my comments regarding the policy draft titled *Education of English Learners*. By way of introduction, I am a parent who had a child in the MCPS ESOL program for 2 years and was transitioned out earlier this year. My child started ESOL in Kindergarten and was transitioned out at the beginning of 2nd grade.

The verbiage I would like to comment on is found on line 177. This is under the section titled "Identification and Completion." It states that "...an English language proficiency assessment will be administered... to all students whose home language is not standard American English at the time they initially enroll in MCPS..."

In the current draft of the policy, the statements around line 177 seem to avoid making a distinction in cases where children may have deliberately been brought up in a multilingual home, a situation that has become more common as parents encourage their children to learn other languages. The thinking goes that having multiple language abilities enhances one's vocabulary astuteness and overall understanding of language. In fact, for many PhD programs, knowledge of a second language is mandatory.

In our case, my son has proficiency in two languages with English being the more dominant. Yet upon entering Kindergarten, he was identified as needing to be enrolled in the program despite scoring high on the ESOL assessment. It was stated that it was set policy and that he would eventually be transition out once his English language proficiency was established. By the end of Kindergarten, my son was reading above grade level. He also read above his grade level in first grade. And yet, he was continued on the ESOL program in first grade as well. By full admission, I am not a pedogagical expert, but it would seem that there was a disconnect between ESOL enrollment and his above grade level reading proficiency.

In second grade, he was again placed in ESOL. By this time, I did not see how this could be possible. And so we chose to formally request to transition him out from the ESOL program. He is finishing up his second grade ar has maintained his reading proficiency at or above grade level.

We are grateful for the quality of the MCPS system in general and appreciate the high quality of its instructors.



And we are aware that the best intentions are always in mind. However, we had to disagree with the decision to maintain ESOL enrollment for our son for another year. It seemed apparent to us that it was not necessary. And now in hindsight, we suspect that it may never have been required at all. It seemed that the main determinant for identifying our son as an ESOL candidate was the fact that he was bilingual.

The case for a multilingual upbringing has recently been addressed in literature from the sciences (psychology, neuroscience, psychiatry, etc). Such studies have stated that acquiring multiple languages at an early age may be beneficial to early learning and brain development. And in some cases, studies have shown benefits in brain activity well beyond the early years (see 2004 article by Bialystok et al., Bilingualism, aging, and cognitive control: Evidence from the Simon task. *Psychology and Aging*, 19, No. 2, 290-303).

If this sounds like a complaint, in part it is and apologies for that. However, I wanted to provide my insight into a portion of the policy that I can relate to. I propose revising the language on line 177 and 178 such that it allows for children who are proficient in more than one language, one of them being English, to "test-out" of the ESOL program. In our case, *one* of the languages spoken at home was indeed standard American English. We realize that each student assessment is a unique case and may differ from our situation. We feel, however, that some consideration should be given to early multilingual proficiency and the possibility that ESOL enrollment may not be needed.

Best Regards,

Fab Moran

### DRAFT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

IOD

1	Related Entries: IOD-RA
2	Responsible Office: Deputy Superintendent of Schools
3	Curriculum and Instructional and Programs
4	<del>Development</del>
5	
6	
7.	Education of English Language Learners
8	
9	
10	A. PURPOSE
11	
12	To reaffirm the education of English language learners as a
13	high priority for Montgomery County Public Schools (MCPS)
14	
15	To ensure compliance with federal and state mandates
16	regarding the education of English language learners
17	
18	B. ISSUE
19	
20	Success for <b>Ee</b> very <b>Ss</b> tudent is based on the premise that
21	quality education is a fundamental right of every child.
22	Language should not be a barrier that impedes English
23	language learners in their effort to secure equal
24	educational opportunities in MCPSthe Montgomery County
25	Public Schools. English language learners have become an
26	increasingly diverse group of students, representing many
27	countries and languages, coming from all socioeconomic

### DRAFT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT IOD

levels, and having varied educational and experiential backgrounds. There must be special instructional and support programs to meet the challenges faced by these students English language learners, including services such as counseling, parent outreach, and bilingual assessments that will help eliminate barriers that might prevent students from participating fully in educational programs. Instruction should enable students to learn English as quickly as possible while at the same time ensuring that they do not fall behind their grade-level peers in content areas.

#### 40 C. POSITION

1. It is the intent of the **Montgomery County** Board of Education of the Montgomery County Public Schools to:

a) Hold English language learners to the same high expectations of learning established for all students:

b) Assure that English language learners develop
full proficiencies in academic and interpersonal
English in the domains of listening, speaking,
reading, and writing, consistent with
expectations for all students;

### DRAFT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT IOD

55	c)	Assure that English language learners reach
56		challenging content and performance standards in
57		all content areas, including reading and language
58		arts, mathematics, social studies, science,
59		career and technology education, the fine arts,
60		health, and physical education, consistent with
61		those for all students;
62		
63	d)	Provide instruction to English language learners
64		that builds on their previous education and
65		cognitive abilities and that reflects their
66		English language proficiency levels;
67		
68	e)	Evaluate English language learners with
69		appropriate and valid assessments that are
70		aligned with federal, state, and local standards
71		and that take into account the language
72		acquisition stagesEnglish language proficiency
73		levels and cultural backgrounds of the students;
74		and
75		
76	<del>2.</del> f)	Recognize that the academic success of English
77		language learners is a responsibility shared by
78		the students, all educators, the family, and the
79		community.

81 <del>2. Definitions</del>

80

# DRAFT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT IOD

82		
83		a) English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL) is
84		a specialized English language instructional
85		<del>program for non-native speakers of English that</del>
86		emphasizes the four language skills, listening,
87		speaking, reading, and writing.
88		
89		b) English Language Learners (ELL) is the term
90		preferred by professionals in the field of second
91		language teaching to describe students whose
92		native language is not English and who have
93		sufficient difficulty speaking, reading, writing,
94		or understanding the English language and whose
95		difficulties may dony such individuals the
96		opportunity to learn successfully in classrooms
97		where the language of instruction is English.
98		<u> </u>
99		c) Transition studentsare those who have been exited
100		from the ESOL program for less than one year.
101		
102		d) Limited English Proficient (LEP) is the official
103		term found in federal and state legislation to
104		describe English language learners.
105		
106	<del>3</del> 2.	CurriculumThe English for Speakers of Other Languages
107		(ESOL) Program
108		

### DRAFT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT IOD

109		a)	Each	school,	in col	laborat	tion	with t	the O	ffice	of
110			Instr	<del>uction a</del>	nd Prog	<del>jram Do</del>	evelo	<del>pment</del> C	urric	ulum	and
111			Instr	uctional	Progra	uns and	d the	e Offi	.ce of	f Sch	001
112			Perfo	rmance,	will p	rovide	an	esol ·	<del>-appr</del>	<del>opria</del>	<del>te,</del>
113			<del>clear</del> :	l <del>y defi</del>	<del>ned a</del>	<del>.nd - a1</del>	<del>rticu</del>	<del>lated</del>	prog	gram	to
114			respo	nd to th	e range	of ne	eeds	of <b>eli</b>	.gible	Engl	ish
115			langu	age lear	ners.	ESOL i	is a	specia	lized	Engl	ish
116			langu	age deve	elopmen	t ins	truct	ional	prog	ram	for
117			eligi	ble Engl	Lish la	ınguage	lea	rners	who	need	to
118			devel	op profi	ciency	in th	ne fo	ur la	nguage	e ski	lls
119			of 1	istening	, spea	aking,	read	ding,	and	writ	ing
120			acade	mic and	l inte	rperso	nal	stand	ard	Ameri	can
121			Engli	sh.							
122											
123		b)	The E	SOL curr	iculum:						
124											
125	<del>a)</del>		(1)	Is resea:	rch bas	ed;					
126			•								
127		<del>b)</del>	- <del>Incor</del>	<del>porates</del>	the p	<del>rincipl</del>	les a	<del>ınd gı</del>	<del>:ideli</del>	<del>lnes</del>	<del>set</del>
128			forth	in the	<del>ESL Sta</del>	<del>ndards</del>	for	<del>Studer</del>	<del>lts P</del> r	<del>:cK-12</del>	<u>t</u>
129				-							
130		<del>c)</del>	(2)	Is base	ed on	age-a	appro	priate	e le	vels	of
131		Engl	ish	lan	guage p	rofici	ency;				
132											
133			(3)	Is alig	gned '	with	the	Engl:	ish	langu	.age
134			:	proficie	ncy sta	ındards	in	the M	aryla	nd St	ace
135				Departme	nt of	Educa	ation	Engl	.ish	langu	age

### DRAFT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

IOD

136				proficiency state curriculum;
137				
138		<del>d)</del>	(4)	Is aligned with the <b>reading and writing</b>
139				purposes of the MCPS
140				English/Reading/Language Arts curriculum;
141				
142		<del>e)-</del>	(5)	Is reflective of the many cultural and
143				linguistic backgrounds represented by
144				ELLEnglish language learners-students; and
145				
146		<del>f)</del>	(6)	Is taught using the content, strategies, and
147				thinking processes that students encounter
148				as they pursue an education in MCPS.
149				
150	<del>6.</del>	c)	Rela	teđ ESOL Services
151		N.		
152			(1)	Schools will employ appropriate, relevant,
153				and culturally sensitive approaches to
154				ensure that parents of English language
155				learners are informed about and become
156				involved in their children's education.
157				
158		<del>a)</del>	(2)	MCPS will provide ESOL counseling to assist
159				English — language learnersESOL program
160				participants in their new school and
161				community environment.
162				

### DRAFT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT IOD

163		<del>b)-</del>	(3) MCPS will provide assessments that minimize
164			cultural and linguistic biases for English
165			language learners who are suspected of
166			having a disability.
167			
168	4	Prog	<del>ram</del>
169			
170		<del>b)</del> d)	Staff development in the instructional needs of
171			English language learners is an integral
172			component of a quality <b>ESOL</b> program. Staff
173			development opportunities will be offeredmade
174			available to all staff.
175			
176	<b>53</b> .	Iden	tification and Completion
177			
178		a)	An English language proficiency assessment will
179			be administered by qualified and trained
180			assessors to all students whose <del>native or</del>
181			primaryhome language is not standard American
182			English at the time they initially enroll in MCPS
183			to identify English language learners eligible
184			for the ESOL program and determine their level of
185			English proficiency.
186			
187		b)	English language learnersStudents participating

188

189

in the ESOL program will be considered English

proficient and designated as "transition"Re-

### DRAFT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT IOD

190				classif:	ied E	nglish	ı lar	nguage	lear:	ners	stude	<del>nts</del>
191				upon me	eting	the c	riter:	ia est	ablish	ed by	/ Maryl	.and
192				State G	uideli	nes.						
193												
194			c)	Performa	ance o	f stu	dents	who h	ave ex	rited	from	the
195				ESOL I	prograi	m <del>tr</del>	<del>ansit</del> :	<del>ion (</del>	student	<del>.s</del> r	will	be
196				monitor	ed by	r <del>ESO</del>	L-st	<del>aff</del> the	e Engi	lish	langu	ıage
197				learner	team	in	each	scho	<b>ol</b> an	d aj	ppropri	.ate
198				accommo	dation	s wil	l be	provid	ded fo	r a	period	of
199				<del>one</del> two	year <b>s</b> .							
200												
201			d)	Identif	icatio	n and	prog	gram co	omplet:	ion j	procedu	ıres
202				will be	e eval	luated	per:	iodica:	lly ar	ıd r	evised	as
203				necessa	ry.							
204												
205	D.	DESI:	RED OU	TCOMES								
206												
207		1.	Servi	ces of	the E	SOL p	rogra	m will	l be c	ffer	ed to	al1
208			eligi	<b>ble</b> En	glish	lang	ruage	lear	ners	and	will	be
209			desig	ned t	o ac	commo	date	dive.	rsity	in	stud	lent
210			backg	rounds	and	lev	rels	of	Engl	ish	langı	ıage
211			profi	ciency.								
212												
213		2.	The	expectat	cion i	for s	ucces	s for	stude	ents	<del>recei</del> t	<del>ring</del>
214			parti	cipatin	g in t	he ES	OL pro	ogram ·	<del>scrvic</del>	e <del>s oi</del>	<del>f the I</del>	<del>ISOL</del>
215			<del>progr</del>	<del>am</del> will	be th	e same	as f	or all	stude	nts .	in MCPS	3.
216												

8 of 10

### DRAFT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT IOD

217	E. :	MPLEMENTAT	ION STRATEGIES
218			
219	The	superinte	ndent of schools will:
220			
221	:	. Issue	administrative procedures for the development,
222		mainte	nance, and evaluation of appropriate programs
223		for E	nglish language learners in MCPS Montgomery
224		County	Public Schools;
225			
226		. Alloca	te staff and material resources annually, based
227		on do	cumented needs and within existing budgetary
228		constr	aints;
229			
230		. Apply	for appropriate federal financial assistance,
231		where	available, to implement this policy; and
232			
233		. Work	collaboratively with other county agencies and
234		commun	ity organizations to access resources and help
235		meet t	the needs of English language learners and their
236		famili	es.
237			
238	F.	REVIEW AND	REPORTING
239			
240		Annual	ly report to the Board of Education the number
241		<del>of Eng</del>	glish language learners being served, by program
242		<del>and k</del>	y native language spoken, within the total
243		Montae	mery County Public Schools.

### DRAFT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT IOD

2	4	4	
_	,		

245

246

247

248

249

250

21. Each year, the academic progress of ESOL program participants will be reported to the Montgomery County Board of Education through the annual report.

Annually report to the Board of Education the results of English language learners' academic progress with the same measures used by all students, including comparisons with other Montgomery County students.

251252

253 2. MCPS will fulfill all federal and state reporting 254 requirements for current and past ESOL program 255 participants.

256

257 3. This policy will be reviewed on an ongoing basis—in
258 accordance with the Board of Education policy review
259 process.

260

261

Policy History: Resolution No. 77-80, January 21, 1980, amended by Resolution No. 333-86, June 12, 1986; amended by Resolution No. 599-99, October 14, 1999; updated office titles June 1, 265 2000; amended.